

FOREWORD

It was in 1890 that Japan introduced a constitution incorporating the principles of rule of law and representative government. This Constitution provided for the separation of powers and the protection of civil liberties. Under it, criminal justice was administered according to law as enacted by the national legislature. However, the Emperor was sovereign and held absolute power over the country. Since the end of World War II, the Emperor has been prescribed in the Constitution as a symbol of national unity. The Constitution of 1946 established a democratic government and confirmed the principle of rule of law. Constitutional safeguards for fundamental human rights were strengthened. This booklet is an attempt to present, in as simple terms as possible, a concise picture of the administration of criminal justice as actually practiced in Japan.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATIONS OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION	1
I. POLICE	1
<i>A. Introduction</i>	1
<i>B. Outline of the Present Police Organization</i>	1
1. National Public Safety Commission	1
2. National Police Agency	1
3. Prefectural Police	2
<i>C. Police Functions</i>	2
1. Criminal Investigation	2
2. Police Work re Juveniles	4
II. PROSECUTION	4
<i>A. Qualification</i>	4
<i>B. Organization</i>	4
<i>C. Functions and Jurisdiction</i>	5
<i>D. Status (Independence and Impartiality)</i>	5
III. COURTS	6
<i>A. Structure</i>	6
1. Introduction	6
2. The Supreme Court	6
3. High Court	7
4. District Court	7
5. Family Court	7
6. Summary Court	7
<i>B. Independence of the Judiciary</i>	7
1. Appointment of Judges	8
2. Qualifications and Categories of Judges	8
IV. CORRECTIONS	9
<i>A. Organization of the Correctional Administration</i>	9
<i>B. Size of Penal Institutions</i>	9
<i>C. Correctional Officials</i>	10
V. REHABILITATION	10
<i>A. Organization and Function</i>	10
<i>B. Probation Officers</i>	10
<i>C. Extensive Use of Volunteers</i>	11
1. Volunteer Probation Officer (VPO)	11
2. Juridical Person for Offenders Rehabilitation	12

3. Cooperative Volunteer Organization	12
CHAPTER 2 CRIME TRENDS	13
I. PENAL CODE OFFENCES	13
A. <i>Trends in Penal Code Offences</i>	13
B. <i>Trends in Some Major Crimes</i>	15
II. SPECIAL LAW OFFENCES	15
CHAPTER 3 PRE-TRIAL CRIMINAL PROCEDURE	16
I. INTRODUCTION	16
II. CONSTITUTIONAL SAFEGUARDS	16
III. PROCEDURE FROM ARREST TO TRIAL	17
A. <i>Investigative Agencies</i>	17
B. <i>Investigation Process</i>	17
1. Outline	17
2. Arrest	17
3. Pre-Indictment Detention	18
4. Collection of Evidence	19
5. Defence Counsel's Communication with the Suspect	19
6. Bail	19
C. <i>DISPOSITION OF CASES</i>	20
1. Initiation of Prosecution	20
2. Monopolization of Prosecution	20
3. Non-prosecution	20
4. Notification Program to Victims	21
5. Inquest of Prosecution (Prosecution Review Commission)	21
6. Hansoku-kin (Pecuniary Penalty against Traffic Infractions) Procedure	22
CHAPTER 4 TRIAL PROCESS	23
I. PRINCIPLE	23
A. <i>Presumption of Innocence</i>	23
B. <i>Public Trials</i>	23
II. CRIMINAL TRIAL PROCEDURE	23
A. <i>Indictment</i>	23
B. <i>Preparation for Trial</i>	24
1. Preparation by the Parties and the Court	24
2. Discovery of Evidence	24
C. <i>Trial Procedure</i>	24
1. Opening Proceedings	24
2. Examination of Evidence	25

3. Questioning of Defendant	25
4. Closing Arguments	25
D. <i>Evidentiary Rules</i>	25
1. Hearsay Evidence	25
2. Confession	26
3. Exclusionary Rule	26
E. <i>Adjudication</i>	27
F. <i>Sentencing</i>	27
1. Punishment	27
2. Statutory Punishment	27
3. Sentencing Practice	28
4. Sentencing Outcome	28
G. <i>Speedy Trial</i>	29
III. APPEALS	29
A. <i>Kouso Appeals</i>	29
B. <i>Joukoku Appeals</i>	30
IV. EXTRAORDINARY REMEDIES	30
V. PUNISHMENT	30
A. <i>Categories</i>	30
B. <i>Suspension of Execution of Sentence</i>	31
C. <i>Parole</i>	32
VI. COMPENSATION FOR INNOCENCE	32
VII. SPECIAL PROCEDURES FOR JUVENILE CASES	32
CHAPTER 5 CORRECTIONAL SERVICE	34
I. INTRODUCTION	34
II. EFFECTIVE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS	34
A. <i>Trends of Prison Population</i>	34
B. <i>Philosophy on the Treatment of Prisoners</i>	34
C. <i>Progressive Treatment and Classification System</i>	35
D. <i>Prison Industry and Vocational Training</i>	35
E. <i>Educational Programs</i>	35
F. <i>Grievance System</i>	36
G. <i>Well-maintained Order and Discipline</i>	36
H. <i>Public Perception toward the Treatment of Offenders</i>	36
III. TREATMENT OF INMATES IN JUVENILE TRAINING SCHOOLS	37
A. <i>Living Guidance</i>	37
B. <i>Vocational Guidance</i>	38
C. <i>Academic Education</i>	38
D. <i>Physical and Health Education</i>	38

<i>E. Medical Care</i>	38
CHAPTER 6 REHABILITATION OF OFFENDERS	39
I. PROBATION AND PAROLE	39
<i>A. Probation</i>	39
1. Juvenile Probationer	39
2. Adult Probationer	39
<i>B. Parole System</i>	39
1. Subjects of Parole	39
2. Parole Procedure	40
<i>C. Probation and Parole Conditions</i>	41
<i>D. Supervision and Process</i>	41
1. Supervision and Treatment	41
2. Intensive Supervision by PPOs	42
3. Special Treatment Schemes	43
<i>E. Termination of Probation and Parole</i>	44
<i>F. Material Aids in Probation and Parole</i>	44
<i>G. Outcome of Supervision</i>	44
II. AFTERCARE OF DISCHARGED OFFENDERS	45
III. PARDONS	45
IV. CRIME PREVENTION ACTIVITIES	45

CRIMINAL JUSTICE IN JAPAN

CHAPTER 1 STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATIONS OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION

I. POLICE

A. Introduction

The police, as an agency charged with the functions of protecting the rights and the freedom of individuals and maintaining public peace and order, must carry out its duties efficiently and in conformity with the democratic principles upon which the present Japanese Constitution is based. As in other democratic countries, the organization and activities of the police in Japan are guided by the two principles of supremacy of law and democratic administration. The Police Law was enacted in 1954, conforming with these principles. This law also was intended to provide a more efficient police organization, paying considerable respect to the principle of local autonomy. From this point of view, the national police service and the municipal police units of cities, towns and villages established by the Police Law of 1948 were integrated into each Prefectural Police Headquarters.

B. Outline of the Present Police Organization

1. National Public Safety Commission

The National Public Safety Commission is an administrative board under the jurisdiction of the Prime Minister. It is composed of a chairman and five members, having five year terms, who are appointed by the Prime Minister with the consent of both Houses of the Diet. The Chairman is a State Minister, who convenes the Commission and presides over its affairs, but is not a member.

The National Public Safety Commission controls the National Police Agency with respect to such matters as presiding over police training, communications, criminal statistics, equipment, and other coordination of police administration, as well as matters of police operations affecting the national public safety, which the Police Law prescribes as follows:

- 1) Matters relating to serious natural disasters creating public unrest.
- 2) Matters relating to civil disturbances disrupting peace in local areas.

The National Public Safety Commission has the power to appoint or dismiss the Commissioner-General of the National Police Agency with the approval of the Prime Minister. Appointments and dismissals of Chiefs of Prefectural Police Headquarters are also made by the Commission with the consent of the Prefectural Public Safety Commission. As for the Chief (Superintendent-General) of the Tokyo Metropolitan Police Department, the further approval of the Prime Minister together with the consent of the Commission and the Tokyo Metropolitan Public Safety Commission are required. Prefectural Public Safety Commissions may, when necessary, submit recommendations to the National Public Safety Commission with respect to the dismissal of or disciplinary action against the Chief of a Prefectural Police Headquarter.

2. National Police Agency

The National Police Agency has been established under the control of the National Public Safety Commission as a national agency headed by a Commissioner-General. The Agency performs prescribed duties which cover the same scope as those of the National Public Safety Commission. The Commissioner-General directs and supervises the Prefectural Police in matters within the scope of the duties of the National Police Agency. The National Police Agency is made up of the Commissioner-General's Secretariat and five

Bureaus, namely the Community Safety Bureau, the Criminal Investigation Bureau, the Traffic Bureau, the Security Bureau and the Communications Bureau. In addition, as separate institutions attached to the Agency, there are the National Police Academy, the National Research Institute of Police Science and the Imperial Guard Headquarters. There are 7 Regional Police Bureaus established as local offices of the National Police Agency, whose jurisdiction extends to all districts except the areas of Tokyo Prefecture and Hokkaido.

3. Prefectural Police

The term “Prefectural Police” includes both the Prefectural Police organizations and the Prefectural Public Safety Commissions which control the police organizations. The Prefectural Public Safety Commissions are established under the jurisdiction of the respective Prefectural Governors. They are usually composed of three members (five members in the case of Tokyo Prefecture and the prefectures in which there are cities with population of over one million each), whose appointments are made by the Governor with the consent of the Prefectural Assembly. All Prefectural Police Departments come under the control of the aforementioned Commissions.

The jurisdiction of the Prefectural Police is generally confined to their respective prefectures. The authorized personnel strength of the Prefectural Police is determined by Prefectural Ordinance, but the number of police officers must conform to the standards fixed by Cabinet Order. According to this Cabinet Order, the total strength was set at 263,000 persons as of April 1999. The Prefectural Police officers who hold a rank higher than senior superintendent are designated as “local senior police officials” and they hold the same status as national public officials. They are appointed and dismissed by the National Public Safety Commission with the consent of the respective Prefectural Public Safety Commission. There are 47 Prefectural Police Departments in Japan. The largest is the Tokyo Metropolitan Police Department with the next being the Osaka Police Headquarters. The population estimate for Japan as of September 1999 is about 126,630,000.¹ Since the total police officers authorized are 263,000, which includes the officers of the National Police Agency and the Imperial Guard as well as the officers of the Prefectural Police, there is one police officer for every 480 persons in this country.



Tokyo Metropolitan Police Department

C. **Police Functions**

The Police Law stipulates the duties of the police as “protection of life, person and property of individuals; prevention, suppression and detection of crime and apprehension of suspects; control of traffic; and other functions necessary to maintain public peace and order”. Of these functions, those which most directly relate to criminal justice are crime detection and the apprehension of suspects, that is to say, criminal investigation.

1. Criminal Investigation

Crime detection is one of the primary duties of the police, prescribed by the Police Law. This is the duty of all police officers, including patrolmen, in all Prefectural Police jurisdictions. Every Police Department, whether it is a Prefectural Police Headquarters or a local Police Station, has officers and units specializing in crime detection. These specialized units are engaged mainly in the investigation of cases

¹ Statistics Bureau & Statistic Center, Management and Coordination Agency of Japan

which require extended or large-scale investigation or special investigative techniques. These specialized units in the Police Headquarters direct and assist local police stations in the investigation of important cases, and sometimes conduct the investigation independently.

Criminal investigation, as well as police activities in other fields, must conform to the provisions of laws and ordinances. The Law for Execution of Police Duties was created to provide a general standard of performance for police officers. The Code of Criminal Procedure was created to control the procedures of criminal investigation including arrest of suspects, as well as criminal proceedings in general, as will be described in the Chapter 3.

In this connection it should be noted that the present Constitution prescribes that “no person shall be convicted or punished in cases where the only proof against him is his own confession” (Article 38). Investigation to obtain legal evidence, therefore, is particularly important in criminal cases, and consequently it is and has been highly important to establish carefully systematized and scientific crime-detection methods.

In addition to the nature of recent crimes, which are becoming complex and sophisticated, and are being committed nationwide or transnationally, material and human factors have made criminal investigations difficult. Mass-production and mass-distribution of commercial goods presents obstacles to investigation in terms of linking materials of the crime scene evidence to suspects. Further, people in big cities tend to be more indifferent and less cooperative, making it difficult for police to gather information from them. To combat this, the police are promoting measures to improve their capabilities as follows²;

(1) Trans-prefectural Investigation

- Developing cooperative arrangements and information sharing among prefectures
- Quickly deploying investigative units across prefectural borders

(2) Expertise Development

- Training investigators for advanced skills development
- Recruiting experts from the private sector
- Making prefectural police specialists available as investigators and instructors nationwide

(3) Internationalization

- Securing necessary interpreters for non-Japanese speaking suspects
- Training investigators in transnational crime investigative techniques
- Developing closer cooperation with international organizations and law enforcement agencies overseas

(4) Scientific Investigation

- Developing computer assisted systems such as automatic vehicle license plate number reading system, automatic fingerprint identification systems, and a photographic suspect reference system
- Upgrading criminal identification and examination such as on-the-spot micro identification, forensic science and DNA analysis

(5) Citizen cooperation

- More deeply considering the viewpoint of the victim
- Securing citizen's understanding and cooperation in investigative activities through public relation campaigns

2. Police Work re Juveniles

Mention should be made of the special procedures followed in juvenile cases. In Japan a “juvenile” is defined as a person under 20 years of age. Children under 14 years of age, even though they violate the Penal Code, may not be held criminally responsible. The police are concerned not only with those juveniles who have committed crimes, but also with other juveniles who come under any of the categories prescribed by the Juvenile Law and who are regarded as prone to commit offenses in view of their character or environment. The police also have a responsibility in connection with any juveniles who have behavioral problems, or who may be in need of protection. Foremost, the police have a responsibility as a part of their duty to prevent delinquency, and to protect and rehabilitate the juveniles.

II. PROSECUTION

A. Qualification

In Japan, a private attorney, a judge and a public prosecutor have quite the same qualifications. To become a Japanese legal practitioner, in principle, the applicants must pass the National Bar Examination. Around 1,000 candidates (about 3 percent of those who take the Examination) pass each year. After passing the Examination, they must take the 18-month training course as legal trainees at the “Legal Research and Training Institute of the Supreme Court”. After completing such training, trainees can become a private attorney, an assistant judge or a public prosecutor. If a judge or a public prosecutor quits their job, they can become private attorneys, and most of them do so. Similarly, a private attorney also can become a judge or a public prosecutor. As of 1999, there are about 2,100 judges, 1,200 public prosecutors and 17,000 private attorneys in Japan.³



Ministry of Justice & Public Prosecutors Office

B. Organization

There is the Supreme Public Prosecutors Office (headed by the Prosecutor-General), 8 High Public Prosecutors Offices (headed by a Superintending Prosecutor), 50 District Public Prosecutors Offices (headed by a Chief Prosecutor) and 203 branches, and 438 Local Public Prosecutors Offices (consisting mainly of Assistant Public Prosecutors, who have to pass a special examination conducted by the Ministry of Justice after working in criminal justice agencies for a certain period). As of 1999, there are about 1,200 public prosecutors, about 900 assistant public prosecutors and about 9,000 assistant officials in Japan.⁴ Regarding the size of district public prosecutors offices, the average office has 10 public prosecutors. The smallest one has only 5 public prosecutors, and the largest one has more than 200 public prosecutors. Each office has a Chief and a Deputy Chief Prosecutor who supervise investigation, prosecution and trials. Thus, for example, in the smallest office, only 3 public prosecutors actually investigate and prosecute cases. In small offices, the public prosecutor who investigates and indicts a suspect is the same person who handles the trial. In contrast, in large offices, two different public prosecutors carry out these duties, working either in the Investigation Department (usually called “Criminal Affairs Department”) or the Trial Department.

³ Ministry of Justice Statistics, 1999

⁴ Guide to Public Prosecutors Office

C. Functions and Jurisdiction

The different levels of public prosecutors offices correspond to a comparable level in the courts. Public prosecutors exercise such functions as investigation, instituting prosecution, requesting the proper application of law by courts, supervising the execution of judgements and other matters which fall under their jurisdiction. When it is necessary for the purpose of investigation, they can carry out their duties outside of their geographic jurisdiction.

D. Status (Independence and Impartiality)

Public prosecutors have a status equivalent to that of judges in terms of qualification and salary. They receive equal salaries according to the length of the term in office. Their independence and impartiality are also protected by law. They are thought to be impartial representatives of the public interest. Aside from disciplinary proceedings, they cannot be dismissed from office, suspended from the performance of their duties or suffer a reduction in salary against their will. The Prosecutor-General, the Deputy Prosecutor-General and the Superintending Prosecutor of the High Public Prosecutors Offices are appointed by the Cabinet and other public prosecutors by the Minister of Justice. Their retirement age is 63 (65 for the Prosecutor-General).

Prosecutorial functions are part of the executive power vested in the Cabinet, and the Cabinet is responsible to the Diet in their exercise. The Minister of Justice should have the power to supervise public prosecutors to complete his/her responsibility as a member of the Cabinet. However, prosecutorial functions have a quasi-judicial nature, inevitably exerting an important influence on all sectors of criminal justice, including the judiciary and the police. If the functions were controlled by political influence, then the whole criminal justice system would be jeopardized. To harmonize these requirements, Public Prosecutors Office Law Article 14 provides that “[the] Minister of Justice may control and supervise public prosecutors generally in regard to their functions. However, in regard to the investigation and disposition of individual cases, he/she may control only the Prosecutor-General.” The Minister of Justice cannot control an individual public prosecutor directly.

III. COURTS

A. Structure

1. Introduction

Article 76 of the Japanese Constitution vests all judicial power in the Supreme Court and inferior courts. No tribunal, organ or agency of the executive branch can be given final judicial power. All criminal cases are, without exception, heard and determined in ordinary judicial tribunals. All courts in Japan are incorporated into a unitary national judicial system. There are five types of courts: the Supreme Court, High Court, District Court, Family Court and Summary Court. There are approximately 2,100 judges within these courts, including assistant judges and there are about 800 Summary Court judges. Approximately 22,000 other officers work in the judiciary, including court clerks, stenographers, bailiffs, clerical officers, etc.⁵

2. The Supreme Court

The Supreme Court, located in Tokyo, is the highest court in Japan and consists of 15 Justices. At least ten of the fifteen, including the Chief Justice, must be appointed from among those with distinguished careers as lower court judges, public prosecutors, practicing lawyers or law professors. However, the remaining five Justices need not be qualified as jurists, as long as they are learned, have an extensive knowledge of the law, and are at least 40 years of age. The Supreme Court has one grand bench, consisting of all the Justices, and three petit benches, each consisting of five Justices. All cases are first distributed to petit benches, and then if the petit bench decides that the case must be adjudicated by the grand bench, it will transfer the case to the grand bench.



The Supreme Court Building



The Courtroom of the Grand Bench

The Supreme Court exercises appellate jurisdiction of Jūkoku appeals and Kōkoku appeals as provided by law. It ordinarily hears a Jūkoku appeal from a High Court on the following grounds:

- (1) a violation of the Constitution or an error in constitutional interpretation, or
- (2) adjudication contrary to precedents of the Supreme Court or High Courts.

The Supreme Court may also hear at its discretion Jūkoku appeals of any case which involves an important point of statutory interpretation. Article 81 of the Constitution empowers the Supreme Court, as the court of last resort, to determine the constitutionality of any law, order, regulation or official act. The Supreme Court exercises this power not by declaring constitutionality in a general way, but by rendering case-specific decisions.

⁵ Supreme Court Statistics, 1999

3. High Court

The 8 High Courts are located in 8 major cities in Japan, namely, in Tokyo, Osaka, Nagoya, Hiroshima, Fukuoka, Sendai, Sapporo and Takamatsu. High Courts have jurisdiction over Kouso appeals filed against judgments rendered by the District Courts and Family Courts, as well as the Summary Courts in criminal cases. Ordinarily, High Court cases are heard by a collegiate body of three judges. However, insurrection cases, over which High Court has original jurisdiction, are handled by a five-judge court.



The Courthouse of the Tokyo High Court, the Tokyo District Court and the Tokyo Summary Court

4. District Court

There are 50 District Courts, each located in the city of seat of the prefectural government. Each District Court's territorial jurisdiction encompasses the entire prefecture, except for Hokkaido, which is divided into four judicial districts because of its large size. District Courts have a total of 203 branch offices in major cities. District Courts have general jurisdiction over all cases in the first instance, except for those cases exclusively reserved for Summary Courts (offences punishable only by fine or lighter punishment), Family Courts (offences harmful to welfare of juveniles), and High Courts (offences of insurrection). The overwhelming majority of District Court cases are tried by a single judge. However, according to Japan's Court Organization Law, criminal cases involving possible sentences of death, life imprisonment or "imprisonment for a minimum period of not less than one year" are handled by a collegiate court of three judges, as well as any other cases deemed appropriate. The former are called "statutory collegiate cases" and the latter "discretionary collegiate cases".

5. Family Court

Family Courts and their branch offices are located in the same places as the District Courts and their branches. The Family Courts have jurisdiction primarily over family disputes and juvenile delinquency cases (involving persons under 20 years of age). Additionally, these courts handle adult criminal cases involving offenses harmful to the welfare of juveniles.

6. Summary Court

There are 438 Summary Courts throughout Japan. All cases are presided over by a single Summary Court judge. Summary Courts' original subject matter jurisdiction is limited to the (a) civil cases involving claims not exceeding ¥900,000 (b) criminal offences punishable by a fine or lighter punishment (minor fine, penal detention), and (c) other minor criminal offences designated by law such as habitual gambling, theft, embezzlement, accepting or buying stolen property, etc. Summary Courts may not impose either imprisonment with or without prison labour or graver punishment. However, with regard to offences mentioned above in (c), Summary Courts may impose imprisonment with prison labour not exceeding three years. Therefore, when a Summary Court deems it proper to impose a sentence of more than three years imprisonment, it transfers the case to District Court. The Summary Court has a significant role in criminal justice administration, for it gives sentences of fine through the Summary Order Procedure.

B. Independence of the Judiciary

The Constitution of Japan has various provisions to ensure judicial independence, one of the most important principles of the government. These include: the vesting of all judicial power in the Supreme Court and inferior courts; no final judicial power in any organ of the executive branch of the government; and vesting rule-making power in the Supreme Court.

1. Appointment of Judges

According to the Constitution, all lower court judges are appointed by the Cabinet, from a list of persons nominated by the Supreme Court. The Justices of the Supreme Court are appointed by the Cabinet; except for the Chief Justice, who is appointed by the Emperor as designated by the Cabinet. The appointment of the Justices is reviewed by the people at the first general election of members of the House of Representatives following their appointment.

Once appointed, judges can serve until retirement, which is 65 years of age for lower court judges, and 70 years of age for the Justices of the Supreme Court and Summary Court judges. Judges are continuously re-appointed every ten years, unless judicially declared mentally or physically incompetent to perform their official duties, or unless publicly impeached. No executive organ or agency can take disciplinary action against judges. This power is vested only in the Court of Impeachment, a legislative body composed of Representatives and Councillors drawn from the Diet. As one of the check and balance systems among the three branches of government, the Court of Impeachment may dismiss a judge if he/she neglects their duties to a remarkable degree, or if there has been misconduct, whether or not it relates to official duties.

2. Qualifications and Categories of Judges

Lower court judges are divided into full-fledged judges and assistant judges. The term “judge of the inferior courts” in the Constitution includes both full-fledged judges and assistant judges. It is used in the Court Organization Law to categorize judges from the view of court organization and limitations of power. Therefore, an assistant judge can be called a judge in the context of the Constitution, at least regarding protection of status, judicial proceedings and other broader areas.

The assistant judge system aims to provide professional experience through on-the-job training before qualifying as a full-fledged judge. Assistant judges are appointed from among those who have passed the National Bar Examination, have completed 18 months of training in the Legal Training and Research Institute of the Supreme Court, and passed the final qualifying examination. For the first five years, the judicial authority of an assistant judge is restricted. He/she can be an associate judge of a three-judge court, but as a single judge, can decide only limited matters such as detention at the investigation stage. After five years experience, an assistant judge is qualified as a senior assistant judge to preside over a trial in the single-judge court. To be a full-fledged judge, it is necessary to have practical experience of not less than ten years as an assistant judge, a public prosecutor, a practicing lawyer, a professor of law at a designated university, or equivalent related experience as prescribed by statute.

Judges assigned to the High Court must be full-fledged judges and qualified senior assistant judges; whereas judges assigned to the District and Family Courts may include full-fledged judges and both junior and senior assistant judges. Lower court judges are also assigned to the Family Courts, as well as administrative positions in the General Secretariat of the Supreme Court, Judicial Research Officials of the Supreme Court, and Professors of the Training Institutes. In contrast, Summary Court judges can be appointed from among individuals unqualified as jurists. In practice, they are appointed primarily from among learned and experienced court clerks who are selected by a special Supreme Court committee. Assistant judges, after three years experience, can be appointed as Summary Court judges.

IV. CORRECTIONS

A. Organization of the Correctional Administration

In Japan, the Correction Bureau of the Ministry of Justice provides both adult and juvenile correctional services. Under the Director General of the Correction Bureau, there are 8 Regional Corrections Headquarters (RCH).

The RCHs supervise penal institutions, which are composed of 59 prisons, 8 juvenile prisons and 7 detention houses in 1999. Most of them have branch institutions under their control. The number of branch prisons is 7, and that of branch detention houses is 110.⁶ Detention houses, which are institutions to accommodate prisoners awaiting trial, mainly hold penal suspects and defendants who might try to escape or to destroy evidence if not detained. Close attention is paid in detention houses to ensure the rights of inmates to have the proper assistance of legal counsel without hindrance, as well as their right to a fair trial. Juvenile prisons accommodate youth offenders under 26 years old. In adult and juvenile prisons, inmates are expected to serve their time participating in various correctional treatment programs, and to attain the goal of resocialization and rehabilitation. There are 6 women's prisons including one branch. There are 5 medical prisons including two branches. The medical prisons are set up to function as medical centers in the treatment of inmates in need of specific medical services. Medical sections of ordinary institutions, which are responsible for the hygiene and medical care of their own inmates, can deal only with common illnesses.

The RCHs also supervise juvenile correctional institutions which are composed of 54 juvenile training schools, 52 juvenile classification homes and one branch juvenile classification homes in 1999⁷. In juvenile classification homes, juvenile delinquents are kept in custody from 2 to 4 weeks during which their background and character are observed and studied, and the reports from the juvenile classification homes assist the Family Court's decision-making.

Finally, there is a women's guidance home under the Tokyo RCH which houses women who have been sentenced to "guidance disposition" prescribed in the Anti Prostitution Law.

B. Size of Penal Institutions

The size of correctional institutions is roughly classified into three scales, namely, large (about 1,000-2,500 prisoners), middle (about 600-800), and small (less than 300). Though the organizational structure differs according to the above-mentioned scales, each of Japan's penal institutions has the following sections: general affairs; classification and parole preparation; medical care; program arrangement (for prison industry, education, etc); correctional treatment etc.



Fuchu Prison



Nara Juvenile Prison

⁶ General Situation of Correction Administration in Japan 1999; Lawyers Association Journal

⁷ General Situation of Correction Administration in Japan 1999; Lawyers Association Journal

C. Correctional Officials

In 1999, more than 20,000 officials⁸ are working for the Correctional Bureau. Correctional officials in penal institutions are newly employed from among those who have passed the examination for correctional services. The examination is administered by the National Personnel Authority (NPA) together with the Ministry of Justice. Correctional workers in juvenile institutions are employed from among those who have passed the examination for instructor in the Ministry of Justice, and classification specialists or psychologists are selected from among those who have passed the senior-level examination for psychological services, which is also administered by the NPA. In Japan, correctional officers are all public officials who are guaranteed their status by the Law of Public Officials. Correctional officers enjoy about a 10 percent higher basic salary than general public officials due to the evaluation of the difficulties in their services.

V. REHABILITATION

A. Organization and Function

The Rehabilitation Bureau of the Ministry of Justice is responsible for the overall administration of rehabilitation services. The Bureau handles probation and parole policy-making, the supervision of Regional Parole Boards (RPB) and Probation Offices, the recruitment and transfer of probation officers, periodical publications on community-based treatment of offenders, etc.

The basic organization to implement community-based treatment of offenders is the Probation Office. There are 50 probation offices (headed by a Director of Probation Office), 3 branches and 27 local offices, throughout Japan. Their main duties in juvenile and adult cases are: (1) supervision of both adult and juvenile probationers and parolees, (2) adjustment of inmates' family relationships and other social conditions prior to release on parole from prisons and juvenile training schools, (3) aftercare of ex-offenders who apply for such services, (4) investigation and application for pardons, (5) promotion of crime prevention activities in the community, (6) recommendation and selection of volunteer probation officers, (7) supervision of rehabilitation aid hostels and volunteer probation officers and (8) training for workers of rehabilitation aid hostels and volunteer probation officers.

The main duties of RPB for juveniles and adults are: (1) decision of release on parole from juvenile training schools, prisons, women's guidance homes and work houses, (2) revocation of parole, (3) decision to terminate the indeterminate sentence of a parolee who was sentenced imprisonment when he/she was a juvenile, (4) decision to provisionally suspend the probationary supervision for an adult probationer and (5) extension of parole period. The number of RPB members varies in each region from three to twelve. The verdict of the panel is made by a majority vote.

The National Offenders Rehabilitation Commission is a central board attached to the Ministry of Justice. The Commission's functions are to recommend to the Minister of Justice special amnesty, the commutation of sentence, the remission of execution of sentence, and the restoration of rights to specific persons; and to examine and judge the rulings of the RPB upon a complaint filed by a probationer or parolee. The Commission consists of five members appointed by the Minister of Justice with the Diet's approval, and all of its decisions are made by majority vote. No more than three members of the Commission should belong to the same political party. As an independent organization however, it is authorized to freely pass judgement and make recommendations to the Minister of Justice.

B. Probation Officers

Professional Probation Officers (PPO) work for either RPB or Probation Offices. Both groups have the same qualifications, training and official status. PPO are full-time officials employed by the Ministry of Justice based on the merit system. The Offenders Rehabilitation Law(1949) requires them to have a certain

⁸ General Situation of Correction Administration in Japan 1999; Lawyers Association Journal

degree of competence in medical science, psychology, pedagogy, sociology, and/or other disciplines relevant to the treatment of offenders. PPO are mainly recruited from the list of candidates who passed the national civil service examination by the National Personnel Authority specializing in psychology, pedagogy, sociology, law or public administration. As of 1999, the number of professional staff members working in the whole rehabilitation service was 1,369 including about 1,000 PPO of RPB and Probation Offices⁹.

C. Extensive Use of Volunteers

1. Volunteer Probation Officer (VPO)

Since the enactment of the Volunteer Probation Officer Law in 1950, approximately 50,000 persons have served as VPOs throughout the country each year. A VPO is defined as a non-permanent official of the national government. The national government pays the expenses of VPO's activities. A VPO is expected to assist the PPO and make up for inadequacies of the latter's work under the supervision of the Director of the Probation Office. The main duties of the VPO are (1) to supervise and assist the probationers and parolees, (2) to inquire into the environment where an inmate of a correctional institution is expected to return upon release and adjust any problems there, (3) to conduct preliminary investigation for a candidate for pardon, and (4) to promote crime prevention activities in the community.



50th Anniversary of the Community - Based Treatment of Offenders System in Japan,
with Their Majesties The Emperor and Empress in Attendance

The Volunteer Probation Officer Law requires VPOs to be (1) evaluated highly with respect to their character and conduct in the community, (2) enthusiastic and sufficiently available to work, (3) financially stable, and (4) healthy and active. The term of service of a VPO is two years with the possibility of reappointment. In practice, most are reappointed repeatedly for a number of years, because the duties of a VPO requires long-term experience, much knowledge and skill about the treatment of offenders. To recruit VPOs, the directors of 50 Probation Offices prepare a list of candidates based on the information gathered from various sources in the community. Further screening is made by an advisory committee, which consists of representatives of the court, prosecution, the bar association, correctional institutions, the probation office, and other public commissions in the community and learned citizens.

⁹ Outline of the Community-Based Treatment of Offenders System in Japan, 1999

2. Juridical Person for Offenders Rehabilitation

The Law for Offenders Rehabilitation Services (1995) established the juridical person for offenders rehabilitation in the form of a non-profit organisation for offender rehabilitation services. As of 1999, 165 juridical persons for offenders rehabilitation exist.¹⁰

a) Rehabilitation Aid Hostels

Rehabilitation Aid Hostels (RAH) accommodate nearly a quarter of prison parolees lacking a suitable place to live. RAH primarily provide room, board and guidance to juvenile and/or adult probationers, parolees and other discharged offenders. Releasees from correctional institutions such as juvenile training schools, prisons and detention houses can get shelter aid services for a certain period of time. Also prior to a final disposition, the Family Court often refers juveniles to RAH for guidance and observation of their behaviors. Moreover, RAH not only help their residents secure employment within the community or in the workshops which are available in some RAH, but also provide offenders with treatment for alcoholism, social skills training, special vocational guidance, social gathering, etc. The national government supervises and provides financial support to RAH. In 1998, RAH received approximately ¥2.6 billion (75 percent of their budget) from the national government. As of 1999, there are 99 RAH run under the authority of the Ministry of Justice. Among them, 5 hostels accommodate only juveniles, 22 hostels are only for adults, and 72 hostels are for both juveniles and adults. Additionally, 89 hostels accommodate only men, 7 hostels only women, and 3 hostels both men and women. As of 1999, the total capacity of the RAH is 2,270 individuals, including 148 women, with each facility averaging from 10 to 110.¹¹

b) Rehabilitation Aid Association

67 Rehabilitation Aid Associations exist throughout Japan. They provide ex-offenders with temporary aid such as meals, clothing, medical care and provide RAH, VPO associations and other volunteer organizations with subsidies, textbooks for training, tools and materials for crime prevention activities.

3. Cooperative Volunteer Organization

a) Women's Association for Rehabilitation Aid

This association is an autonomous group, which conducts crime prevention activities in the community and helps offenders rehabilitate themselves by making use of their experience as mothers and women. As of 1999, national membership totaled 202,016. Membership is opened to any women in the community.

b) Big Brothers and Sisters (BBS) Association

BBS is an organization of youths that befriend delinquent youngsters and discourage their delinquency. As of 1999, there were 6,225 members throughout Japan. Regardless of educational or occupational background, any person aged from 17 to 30 capable of befriending delinquents, with proper understanding of their problems and needs, can become a member.¹²

c) Cooperative Employers

They help offenders or delinquents by offering stable employment in spite of their criminal history. As of 1999, there were 4,190 cooperative employers throughout of the country.¹³

¹⁰ Outline of the Community-Based Treatment of Offenders System in Japan, 1999

¹¹ Outline of the Community-Based Treatment of Offenders in Japan, 1999

¹² Outline of the Community-Based Treatment of Offenders in Japan, 1999

¹³ Outline of the Community-Based Treatment of Offenders in Japan, 1999