But, while RtoP has been formally endorsed both regionally and internationally, it has yet to "cascade" as a norm, let alone become internalised by most states. This suggests that Evans' assessment of what is preventing international action in cases of humanitarian catastrophe may be missing the mark. Significant ambiguity remains, regarding both the moment at which the responsibility for civilians becomes internationalised and the appropriate agent for carrying out that international responsibility. These divisive issues, combined with the fatigue and military overstretch of those who have been strong advocates of RtoP, mean that we are not yet in a position to promise "no more Rwandas".

18

# SOME LESSONS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT FROM THE INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL RESPONSE TO THE RWANDAN GENOCIDE

Morten Bergsmo and Philippa Webb

#### Introduction

quickly followed. The Iraqi High Tribunal and the Cambodian Extraordinary Chambers arrived a short time later. International criminal jurisdictions in Kosovo, East Timor and Sierra Leone tice system, rather than being an isolated jurisdictional experiment. The hybrid the ICTR therefore signalled the emergence of an international criminal jusearly 1990s, there were no international criminal tribunals. The founding of could one day contribute to the founding of the International Criminal Court for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY). From the post-World War II trials until the the adoption of the resolution creating the UN International Criminal Tribunal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) in November 1994 came only 18 months after Security Council (UNSC)'s decision to establish the UN International Criminal ocide. It may be strange to think that the confused and inadequate international (ICC), but this is what has occurred. As Kaufman details in chapter 12, the UN responses to the death of some 800,000 Rwandan Tutsi and moderate Hutu national criminal court is closely connected to the tragedy of the Rwandan gen-As Ocampo observes in his Foreword, the establishment of a permanent inter-

The ICTR has clearly had an impact on the way the international community thinks about achieving peace and justice in the wake of mass atrocities. As

See also R. P. Alford, "The Proliferation of International Courts and Tribunals: International Adjudication in Ascendance," *American Society of International Law Proceedings*, 94 (2000), 160 ("Depending on one's count, more than fifty international courts and tribunals are now in existence, with more than thirty of these established in the past twenty years").

Jallow and Ngoga note in chapters 13 and 16, respectively, the ICTR's establishment and operation have helped define the contemporary understanding of international criminal justice and reinforced the notion of individual criminal responsibility, even for leaders. This chapter will consider some of the ways in which the ICC has been influenced by the international judicial response to the Rwandan genocide—from the emphasis on the role of international justice in preventing crimes to the institutional and legal lessons learned and applied in this fledgling international organisation.

## A renewed commitment to prevention and deterrence

The delayed and inadequate response of the international community to the systematic slaughter of approximately 800,000 Rwandans was inexcusable. As the UN Independent Inquiry on the Genocide in Rwanda found, "The international community did not prevent the genocide, nor did it stop the killing once the genocide had begun." This catastrophic failure of political will still looms large more than fourteen years later. Its repercussions can be observed in numerous places, such as the appointment of a UN Special Adviser on the Prevention of Genocide; the World Summit's declaration, discussed further in the previous chapter by Welsh, that every state has the "responsibility to protect" its populations; and the readiness of some governments and organisations to describe events in the Darfur region of Sudan, as "genocide".

This renewed expressed commitment to prevention and deterrence can also be observed in the language of the Preamble of the Rome Statute, which was adopted in Rome on 17 July 1998 and entered into force on 1 July 2002. The Preamble enshrines the fundamental values that underpin the ICC, the world's Preamble commits States Parties to preventing, and guide all of its work. The Preamble commits States Parties to preventing, punishing, and ultimately deterring the most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole. Paragraph 1 of the Preamble establishes the context by acknowledging that there is a consciousness that the "delicate mosaic of our shared heritage may be shattered at any time, as it was from April to July 1994. Paragraph 2 provides that the Court is established in the shadow of "unimaginable atrocties," such as the Rwandan genocide. Paragraph 3 recognises that such atrocties are not just "ordinary crimes", with which society has learned to live, but ties are not just "ordinary crimes", with which society has learned to live, but ties are not just "ordinary crimes", a leading authority on international criminal the world." As Otto Triffterer, a leading authority on international criminal

SOME LESSONS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

law, argues, this formula refers to both the basic, inherent values of the community of nations and those values "which belong to national legal orders, but need supplementary protection by the international legal order to counter the threat of abuse of State power." This makes clear that attacks by states on their own populations cannot be considered merely internal affairs, but invoke the concern of the international community as a whole.

Paragraph 4 of the Preamble of the ICC Statute is central to understanding the strengthened commitment to achieving accountability for crimes such as the Rwandan genocide. It provides that "the most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole must not go unpunished, and that their effective prosecution must be ensured by taking measures at the national level and by enhancing international cooperation." This paragraph affirms the objective of punishing the most serious crimes, acknowledging the necessity of indirect enforcement at the national level. The experience of the ICTR, with its current track record of 35 judgements, clearly shows that even among "the most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole" not all crimes committed can, in practice, be prosecuted by the ICC. It is therefore necessary to engage national jurisdictions and to adopt a co-operative approach to ensure effective prosecution.

The apparent objective of punishment described in paragraph 4 of the Preamble is logically developed in paragraph 5, which addresses the real objective of prevention by enforcement: "Determined to put an end to impunity for the perpetrators of these crimes and thus to contribute to the prevention of such tion of criminal law. The ICC also aims to fulfil the more important second function: prevention. The sheer number of victims and perpetrators of the generally held to be the more effective method of protecting legal values in practice. Needless to say, it would have been better for the genocide never to event. The ICC aims to contribute to the prevention of such crimes by building serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole" will no longer enjoy immunity from effective enforcement mechanisms.

353

<sup>&</sup>quot;Report of the Independent Inquiry into the actions of the United Nations during the 1994 genocide in Rwanda" (1999) UN Doc \$/1999/1257, 3.

O. Triffterer, "Preamble - Paragraph 3: Recognition of protected values" in O. Triffterer (ed.), Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

Baden-Baden, 1999), 9.

Ibid., emphasis in original.

Preamble of the Rome Statute, paragraph 4.

O. Triffterer, "Preamble – Paragraph 4: Affirmation of aims to be achieved" in Triffterer (ed.), Commentary on the Rome Statute, 11.

Preamble of the Rome Statute, paragraph 5.

and subsequent conviction of Jean Kambanda, former Prime Minister of and five bourgmestres, as well as media and military leaders.9 The guilty plea the ICTR include one prime minister, four government ministers, two prefets way in this respect. The 35 persons who have received judgements to date at tation of the determination to put an end to impunity.8 The ICTR has led the Rwanda, marked the first time that a head of government had been convicted for the crime of genocide. The irrelevance of suspects' official capacity in Article 27 is a clear manifes-

culture of accountability. 10 This is a reasonable hope, although it remains to be ceedings tocused on redress for victims, to replace a culture of impunity with a grated with other mechanisms such as national investigations and prosecutions, to prevention and deterrence, and it cannot put an end to atrocities such as the tact-finding missions, public education, decisive UNSC action, and civil pro-Rwandan genocide on its own. Many take the view that the ICC should be inteseen how effective the ICC's contribution will be. The ICC is only one aspect of what appears to be a renewed commitment

#### effectiveness, flexibility and speed Some institutional lessons learned: organising for

cial response to the Rwandan genocide, and the subsequent experiences of the Important institutional lessons have been learned from the international judi-

confirmed in a hotel room.<sup>12</sup> These delays were not unique to the ICTR. Mak that they were able to take up residence in Arusha.11 The first indictment was officially taken office until 19 June 1996, and it was not until September 1996 in November 1994, the Tribunal had no courtrooms, offices, prison, legal of aftermath. Although the UNSC resolution establishing the ICTR was passed inaction, conflict and confusion characterised the Rwandan genocide and Its ncers, or secretaries until September 1995. Judges were not regarded as having Rwanda was an important rationale for creating a standing court. International A standing court. The problem of the slow international judicial response to

# SOME LESSONS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

investigate, and prosecute international crimes. ments for a permanent Court that would be prepared at short notice to analyse, of internationalised criminal jurisdictions, gave significant weight to the argucumulation of such experiences, with the difficult establishment and activation very difficult indeed, with particular challenges in areas such as recruitment, investigative missions, witness protection, and court management.13 The acing the ICTY an operational UN criminal justice mandate in 1993 to 1996 was

of crimes within its jurisdiction. standing administrative and operational capacity to deal with new allegations national organisation with international staff based in The Hague. It has a in places like Sierra Leone and East Timor, the ICC is an independent interdress crimes committed anywhere in the world. In contrast to the hybrid courts with prospective jurisdiction running from 1 July 2002. It can potentially ad-Unlike the ad hoc Tribunals, the ICC was established as a permanent court

and swifter action. at keeping human resource costs low and encouraging better communication equipped with innovative legal tools and effective training. This approach aims ence. The ICC aspires to maintain a core staff of highly qualified professionals, ter 1993 were prepared to join the ICC during the first few years of its existprofessionals with experience from internationalised criminal jurisdictions af-ICC was in an enviable situation when it was being established, in that many ally all of them had been killed or had fled the country. By comparison, the addition, it was almost impossible to recruit Rwandan lawyers, because virtutors with expertise in international criminal law and justice barely existed. In necessary professional group of international judges, lawyers, and administra-Professional, highly qualified staff. During the establishment of the ICTR, the

monitor actual achievements. staff. This is an objective over which both the Court and the public can easily spectives from around the world without significantly expanding its budget or that this approach may enable the Court to benefit from skills, ideas, and persociety, multilateral institutions, academics, and the private sector. It is hoped bringing that expertise in-house. The ICC tries to build bridges with states, civil office, and by relying on extensive external networks of support, rather than ICC is trying to learn from this experience by maintaining a small, flexible toring by the UNSC of the so-called completion strategy of the Tribunals. The and the ICTY have dramatically increased. This has attracted some criticism, for example by Ngoga in chapter 16, and arguably precipitated the strict moni-External networks. Over time, the human and financial resources of the ICTR

355

O. Triffterer, "Preamble - Paragraph 5: Prevention by Enforcement" in Triffterer (ed.) Commentary on the Rome Statute, 12.

<sup>9</sup> E. Mose, "Main Achievements of the ICTR", Journal of International Criminal Justice.

<sup>10</sup> P. Kirsch, "Introduction" in Triffterer (ed.), Commentary on the Rome Statute, xxviii.

<sup>11</sup> Møse, "Main Achievements of the ICTR", 922.

Judge Navanethem Pillay, Referred to in E. Møse, "Main Achievements of the ICTR" 922. Kayishema et al (Decision) ICTR-95-I (28 November 1995), Review of indictment by Indee Navanatham Bill- B-'

Prosecutor, commencing service in May 1994. The co-author, Morten Bergsmo, was the first lawyer in the staff of the ICTY Office of the

679 posts. 15 As more cases go to trial, the ICC will inevitably need significantly of the ICC, the Assembly of States Parties approved a budget of €90,382,000 total net budget of US\$280,386,800, and authorised 1,032 posts.14 In the case For 2008-9, the UN General Assembly decided to appropriate to the ICTR a works wherever possible will affect this increase. A comparison of the ratios in full time on cases could be indicative. the ICC and the ICTR/ICTY of the overall number of staff and those working increased resources. It remains to be seen how the policy of using external net (or around US\$131 million) for 2008, and, as of October 2004, there were The difference is clear when one compares figures from the ICTR and ICC.

traced in part to the insufficient funds available in the first years of operation. estimated at the time of the adoption of the budget. The Fund could also be expenses for developments in existing situations that could not be accurately can meet costs associated with unforeseen complications, following, for examtingency Fund in the amount of €10,000,000. With these resources, the Court It appears that the ICC States Parties have learned from this start-up difficulty, Contingency Fund. The delays in setting up the ICTR and the ICTY can be used for costs associated with an unforeseen meeting of the Assembly of States in response to fresh allegations of crimes in a new situation, or unavoidable ple, a decision by the Prosecutor in mid-budget to commence an investigation The ICC Assembly of States Parties has approved the establishment of a Con-

ers in 2003, the International Committee for the Red Cross (ICRC) estimated trators. At the same time, Rwandans have insisted upon criminal prosecution 800,000 people within 100 days involved thousands upon thousands of perpemass attrocities is epitomised in the situation in Rwanda. The death of some Addressing the impunity gap. The challenge of achieving accountability for that 89,000 were still detained as of January 2005.16 National trials began in were in detention in Rwanda. Despite the provisional release of 25,000 prisonfor all alleged offenders. For nine years after 1994, more than 100,000 people ICTR had rendered judgements in respect of 33 accused, with six acquittals 1996, and approximately 10,000 suspects have been tried. 17 For its part, the

356

## SOME LESSONS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

people are awaiting trial.18 so far. Trials involving an additional 29 suspects are in progress, and seven

the Prosecutor (OTP) has taken a policy decision to focus its efforts: that the ICC must be selective in taking on cases. To this end, the ICC Office of range of crimes that come under its Statute, and its resource constraints means The combination of the potential global reach of the ICC, the expanded

or work with the international community to ensure that the offenders are brought to encourage national prosecutions, where possible, for the lower-ranking perpetrators, of the leaders who bear most responsibility for the crimes. On the other hand it will two-tiered approach to combat impunity. On the one hand it will initiate prosecutions justice by some other means. 19 The Court is an institution with limited resources. The Office will function with a

prosecution of international crimes will be of great significance. methodologies for cost-effective and fair documentation, investigation, and ren and Cole argue in chapter 14, widespread sharing of knowledge, tools and co-operation between the ICC and other actors will be crucial. There should to justice, in a way that corresponds to reasonable expectations. To this end, justice systems in states where serious crimes have been committed. As Warbe international assistance for strengthening or rebuilding the national criminal nity, and the Court work together to ensure that other perpetrators are brought leave an "impunity gap" unless national authorities, the international commu-The risk is that focusing on those who bear the greatest responsibility may

# Legal lessons learned: empowerment through preparedness

national legal reference books."20 of two small wheeled trolleys piled with a random assortment of donated interexist. A visitor to the ICTR in 1998 found that the Tribunal's library "consisted Procedural and substantive commentaries on international criminal law did not copy. Work processes, models and tools had to be developed from scratch. era, and there were no comparable or model programmes of national trials to mitted in Rwanda and the former Yugoslavia. Nuremberg happened in another tools to analyse, investigate, and prosecute the core international crimes com-When the ICTR and the ICTY began operating, they lacked the systems or

the ICTR and the ICTY very difficult. As Ngoga observes in chapter 16, while This lack of preparedness made the initial task of building the credibility of

(16 January 2003).

<sup>&</sup>quot;General Information - Budget and Staff," ICTR official website, http://www.ictr.org-

Official Records of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International tional Criminal Court publication), Part III, 74. Criminal Court, Sixth session, The Hague, 30 November to 14 December 2007 (Interna-

<sup>16</sup> W. A. Schabas, "Genocide Trials and Gacaca Courts", Journal of International Criminal Institute 2 (2005) Justice, 3 (2005), 880.

<sup>17</sup> Ibid., 888

See "Status of Detainees Cases" at http://www.ictr.org (updated 2 March 2008)

S. Power, "Rwanda: The Two Faces of Justice," The New York Review of Books, 50, 1 www.icc-cpi.int/library/organs/otp/030905\_Policy\_Paper.pdf, (4 November 2005), 3. "Paper on some policy issues before the Office of the Prosecutor," September 2003, http://

staff and budgets grew, progress was at times described as sluggish, while ICTR and ICTY principals failed to adequately explain the dimensions of the challenge at hand. Understanding these challenges, however, the states that negotiated and set up the ICC provided in its first budget for the development and maintenance of networked legal tools and services, by the Legal Advisory Section (LAS) of the Office of the Prosecutor (OTP). The tools and services were meant to serve several purposes:

- To empower staff to find for themselves, through a computer network, answers
  to most legal questions they encounter, in work on core international crimes. This
  increases the autonomy and efficiency of existing staff, thus reducing the need for
  growth in human resources, and avoiding strain on the Court's budget;
- 2. To avoid duplication of legal research and drafting exercises within the Court, and its Organs and participants. Legal issues tend to return or appear in multiple contexts, albeit with slight differences of nuance. Responding to them can consume much time and many resources. Ensuring efficient availability of the relevant legal information on earlier work is important;
- 3. To increase the quality of legal submissions and other legal drafting in relation to both procedural and substantive aspects of the practice of international criminal law. The quality of submissions and decisions is decisive for their weight and capacity to serve as precedents, which again has an essential impact on the efficiency of work processes in an international jurisdiction.

The Legal Tools Project. Since 2003, the LAS of the OTP has developed a range of electronic and web-based legal tools and services, collectively known as the Legal Tools Project. The Project provides more than ten collections and databases of legal information, three commentaries and two applications. It offers a complete collection of resources relevant to the theory and practice of international criminal law and justice, and brings modern technologies to the investigation and prosecution of core international crimes. By 2008, the Legal Tools Project amounted to some ten gigabytes of legal information. As a whole, it represents the most important single research resource in international criminal law.<sup>21</sup>

Among the key components of the Legal Tools Project are the following:

- The Elements Commentary: A doctrinal, electronic commentary on each element of the crimes and legal requirements of the modes of liability in the ICC Statute. It draws on all main sources of international criminal law, and gives users direct access to important sources on the substantive law of the ICC Statute. The Commentary incorporates and takes fully into account the influence of the ICTR juris prudence regarding, for example, the interpretation of the crime of genocide, the
- Information about the Legal Tools can be found at the website of the ICC (http://www.icc-cpi.int).

## SOME LESSONS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

affirmation of rape as an international crime, and the prosecution of incitement to commit genocide.

- The Means of Proof Document: A service that provides a detailed compilation of commentary and international criminal jurisprudence, from sources including the ICTR, on the type or category of facts which can constitute potential evidence for the existence or satisfaction of the specific legal requirement of an international crime or mode of liability. By 2008, the Document amounted to more than 6,000 A4 pages of text.
- The Proceedings Commentary: A detailed commentary on criminal law proceedings as contained in the ICC Statute, the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, and the Regulations of the Court. It provides a far-reaching analysis of key legal issues of international criminal procedure and evidence.
- The ICC "Preparatory Works" Database: A database that contains more than 9,000 official and unofficial documents, related to the negotiation and drafting of the ICC Statute, the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, and the Elements of Crimes, issued by states, NGOs, academic institutions, the UN, and other international organisations between December 1989 and September 2002.
- Selected documents from international criminal jurisdictions: The collection includes the primary law, indictments, judgements and other selected decisions of international and allied military tribunals sitting in Nuremberg and Tokyo, the ICTY, the ICTR, UN Mission for Kosovo (UNMIK) courts and tribunals, the Special Court for Sierra Leone, the East Timor Panels for Serious Crimes, the Iraqi High Tribunal and the Cambodian Extraordinary Chambers.
- Selected documents from national criminal jurisdictions: The collection includes national instruments implementing the ICC Statute and the most relevant decisions issued by domestic courts and tribunals concerning genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes.
- The Legal Tools Project also includes selected international treaties, decisions of regional and international human rights bodies, internet websites with relevant legal information, and academic works relevant to the research and practice of international criminal law, as well as public international law, international human rights law, and international humanitarian law.

The Case Matrix. An important component of the Legal Tools Project is the Case Matrix, a unique, law-driven case management application representing a significant innovation in how to approach the analysis, investigation, and proscases. The Case Matrix incorporates some of the legal tools described above, with as the Elements Commentary and the Means of Proof Document. The Matrix provides a database service to organise and present the potential evidence in a case, in a manner that can be customised to different users such as pros-

ecutors, judges, defence counsel and victims' counsel. This gives a "snapshot" overview of the status of a case at any stage of the work processes. The emphasis on this feature is a direct result of the observation, which Warren and Cole also make in chapter 14, that it is difficult to develop and preserve proper overview of information and potential evidence in large core international crimes cases, which may lead to lengthy and costly proceedings, as can be seen in several internationalised criminal jurisdictions.

The Case Matrix application has been introduced both within and outside the ICC. It has been translated into Bahasa Indonesia and Arabic to facilitate the work of the Indonesian Prosecutor General's directorate for international crimes cases and the Iraqi High Tribunal. It has also been translated into Khmer for the Cambodian Extraordinary Chambers. A French version also exists. There are other national expressions of interest in the Matrix, notably from Canada, Denmark, Germany, Italy, Norway, Serbia and Montenegro.

The intention of the ICC is to make the *Legal Tools Project*, including the *Case Matrix*, common property or public goods. In early 2006, the legal tools were gradually made available to the public on the website of the ICC, making the ICC the host of the most comprehensive virtual international criminal law library. The website should become the focal point for practitioners and scholars of international criminal law around the globe.

The public release of the *Legal Tools* serves several purposes. First, it is hoped that the *Legal Tools* will play a role in harmonising the development of substantive international criminal law. Second, the *Tools* have the potential to help rationalise the work processes linked to the analysis, investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of core international crimes cases by domestic and other internationalised user-institutions. Finally, by sharing tools and methodologies developed within the Court with national criminal justice systems, the ICC contributes to local competence building.

#### Conclusion

The ICC is preparing organisationally and legally to respond faster and more effectively to atrocities like the Rwandan genocide, and ultimately, to contribute to preventing such tragedies from occurring in the first place. By 2008, the Court had received three state referrals from Uganda, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and the Central African Republic. The ICC had also received one UNSC referral regarding Darfur, whose situation some states initially—and, given the ICC's aforementioned strengths over the ICTR, unwisely—suggested referring to the ICTR.<sup>22</sup>

## SOME LESSONS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

of the ICTR in the aftermath of the Rwandan genocide. ternational criminal law, a process that reached a critical phase in the operation to core international crimes. Thus, the ICC seeks to hasten the evolution of indocumenting and reporting gross human rights violations which may amount of users such as national criminal justice systems, and NGOs concerned with effective manner. They constitute an important contribution to the activities databases, commentaries and applications to work rationally and in a costtioners of international criminal law with a unique combination of collections, are a significant illustration of this learning process. The Tools provide practithose hundred catastrophic days in 1994. The ICC Legal Tools, in particular, the Rwandan context—the ICC has been trying to apply lessons learned since to the investigative approach to events in Uganda and the DRC-particularly ranking military leaders in these countries, as the ICTR has sought to do in the ICC's focus on investigating the most serious crimes committed by highthe ICC in conceptual and practical terms. From the drafting of the ICC Statute The Rwandan genocide has influenced the establishment and management of

361