## DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND APO 407

UNITED STATES 2 December 1947

Case No. 000-50-2-77

Kurt Konrad STIRMEIS

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The accused was tried at Dachau, Germany, 17 March 1947, before an Intermediate Military Government Court.

# II. CHARGES AND PARTICULARS:

FIRST CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Kurt Konrad STIRNWEIS acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individuals aiding the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp and camps subsidiary thereto, did, at or in the vicinity of DaCHAU and Landsberg, Gormany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of civilian nationals of nations then at war with the then Gorman Roich to cruelties and mistreatment, the exact names and numbers of such civilian nationals being unknown but aggregating many thousands who were then and there in the custody of the then German Reich in exercise of belligerent control.

SECOND CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Kurt Konrad STIRNMEIS acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individuals aiding in the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp, did, at or in the vicinity of DACHAU, Gormany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, Wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the then German Reich, who were then and there surrendered and unarmod prisoners of war in the custody of the then Gorman Roich, to cruolties and mistreatment, the exact names and numbers of such prisoners of war being unknown but aggregating many hundreds.

III. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE: The accused was, by his own admission, a member of the SS at Camp Dachau for a considerable period of time between the dates alloged, in the capacity of a work detail leader, and he was shown to have participated in the Dachau Concentration Camp mass atrocity. Prosecution's Exhibit P-Ex 1 is a cortified copy of the charges, particulars, findings and sentences in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp Case (United States v. Weiss, et al., 000-50-2, opinion DJ....C, March 1946, hereinafter referred to as the "Perent Case", see Section V, post; R 12).

## IV. EVIDENCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Kurt Konrod STIRN..EIS

Nationality:

Ago: 54

Civilian Status: Factory Representative

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: Second Lieutenant, Waffen SS

Gorman

Ploas: NG Chargo I; NG Chargo II

Findings: G Chargo I; G Chargo II

Sontoneo: 2 yours, commoneing 22 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused stated in his extrajudicial sworn testimony that he was in training at Dachau Concentration Camp from 1 to 15 June 1944, after which he was transferred to another concentration camp. From 12 august 1944 until 10 September 1944 he was a work detail leader at Camp Dachau. On 12 September 1944 he was assigned as a work letail leader at the agfa Camera Works in Munich, Germany, working under Camp Dachau until 27 april 1945. He also stated that he participated as a detail leader and transport leader in the immate evacuation march from Munich to Welfratshausen, 27-28 april 1945. This evacuation march consisted of about 500 immates, three guards and 10 female supervisors (R 12; P-Ex 2). Five hundred fifty women immates were employed on the agfa detail (R 61).

Sorgeant John Petro, United States Army, testified that the accused was in charge of the immates, including females, on an ammunition detail in Munich in the fall of 1944 (R 9). The accused selected certain non from the railroad work detail and used them to lead and unload ammunition. He mistreated women immates who were also on this ammunition detail. He usually carried a riding crop (R 10). The accused struck an American

prisoner of war with his riding crop, causing him to blood (R 11, 39).

On one occasion he pushed a woman inmate from a small platform, and she.

fell on her head on the railroad tracks. She was carried away and never seen on the work detail again. The accused also kicked inmates (R 16, 39). The women inmates on the ammunition detail were of all nationalities including Poles, Russians, Yugoslavs, Frenchmen, Germans, Czechs, and Dutchmen (R 13, 42, 46, 62).

One witness testified that he thought the accused was an integral part of the Camp Dachau system of cruel treatment of inmates (R 39). The accused reported inmates to Camp Dachau for punishment (R 73).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that he was transforred from an artillery livision at Freising, Gormany, to the Waffen SS in May 1944 and assigned to a guard unit at Dachau, Germany. Ho tried to be reassigned to the Wohrmacht, but his appeal was turned down (R 59, 60; D-Ex 2). He was placed in charge of a work detail at the Agfa Camora Works in Munich in September 1944. In the morning he counted the innates and then went into the factory and inspected the various divisions of the works. Later, he would go back to camp. At noon he supervised distribution of the feed in the factory and at 1700 hours he brought the detail back to camp. He never had anything to do with loading ammunition or supervising the loading of ammunition at the railroad yard. He never saw the prosecution witness Petre until he was confronted with him at Camp Dachau (R 60, 61, 75). He never leaded any rails (R 62). He was always on duty in the factory. He never struck any of the inmates nor did he carry a whip or riding crop (R 42, 63). On one occasion he gave a woman inmate, who had been condemned to death. money and clothing and he helped her to escape. He helped dozens of inmates to escape (R 63, 64, 77). When the end of the war was approaching, the accused received an order to evacuate the camp and to approach within 40 kilometers of Innsbruck. He gave his word of henor to the camp oldest, Mrs. Do Winter-Swert, that he would stay with the inmate evacuation march until he could turn them over to the English or mericans (R 64). On the second day he halted the inmate evacuation march at Wolfrats-hausen. At noon on Saturday he received an order from the storm battalion at Dachau to resume the march immediately. At 1800 hours, the same sorgeant who had given him the order returned with a written order that the march resume at 1800 hours. He told the sergeant that he could immediately report that the inmate evacuation march would be taken up again. He waited until the next day when the Americans came and then took leave of Mrs. Do Winter-Swart and the 500 women inmates. The next day when he went to report to the local American commander at Wolfratshausen, five former inmates came to him and said "For God's sake, don't report now because they found terrible conditions in Dachau" (R 65).

On 8 May 1945 the accused reported to the American commander at Wolfratshausen, and because of the affidavits made in his favor by the 500 former immates, he was set free. He paid for immates' food on the evacuation murch with his own money (R 65, 72) and also purchased medicine for them (R 70). The only American prisoners he saw at Munich were negroes (R 71). On Christmas 1944 the accused gave a Christmas party for the immates. The doctor who examined the immates after the turnover of the immate evacuation march to the Americans made the following report: "It is obvious, in contrast to the male prisoners, that no undermourishment can be noticed among the female prisoners" (R 65, 66).

A lotter from Mrs. Minnie Do Winter-Swart, a former Dutch immate and camp eldest, of Enschede, Helland, expressing her surprise at the imprisonment of the accused inasmuch as "the Americans premised us his freeden" was introduced into evidence (R 51; D-Ex 1).

Four letters from former women immates, who served on the accused's work detail, were introduced into evidence stating that the accused had been kind and considerate in his treatment of the immates at the Agfa Camera Works (R 66, D-Ex 3; R 68, D-Ex 4; R 68, D-Ex 5; R 69, D-Ex 6).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The evidence establishes that the accused was in training at Camp Dachau for a few days and was later a detail

leader there for a short period of time. There is little evidence that the accused willingly or actively participated in the common design while he was stationed in Dachau. The testimony regarding the accused's activities on the railroad detail is not compatible with the weight of the evidence which establishes that the accused was never assigned to such detail. The weight of the evidence as to the accused's activities while he was a work detail leader at the Agfa Camera works and on the evacuation march indicates that, although he occupied an assigned position, he did not actively or passively participate in the common design to subject inmates to cruelties and mistreatment, but that he affirmatively acted in opposition to the general objectives of the common design.

The findings of guilty are not warranted by the evidence. The sentence is excessive.

Potitions: No Petitions for Review nor Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be disapproved.

V. QUESTIONS OF LAW:

Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the person of the accused and of the subject matter.

Application of Parent Case: The Court was required to take cognizance of the decision rendered in the Parent Case, including the findings of the Court therein that the mass atrocity operation was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common design, subjected persons to killings, beatings, tertures, etc., and was warranted in inferring that these shown to have participated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Headquarters, United States Forces, European Theater, file AG 000.5 JAG-AGO, subject: "Trial of War Grimes Cases", 14 October 1946, and the Parent Case). However, a search of the record in the Parent Case and the record in this subsequent proceeding reveals no evidence as to atrocities committed at the outdetail Agfa Camera Works in Munich. Furthermore there is nothing in the

record of this subsequent proceeding to show the nature or location of the detail of which the accused was in charge, at Camp Dachau for one month.

Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission in the conduct of the trial which resulted in injustice to the accused.

# V1. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings and sentence be disapproved.
- 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval.

				Atto	rnex Trial Branch	
Having	examined the re	cord of trial,	I concur,	this		_
day of		19	48.			

C. E. STRAIGHT Lieutenant Colonel, JAGD Doputy Judge Advocate for War Crimos

#### HEADQUARTERS EUROPEAN COMMAND

AG 383 JAG

APO 757 6 Feb 1948

SUBJECT: Release of prisoner in the Case of the United States v. Kurt Konrad STIRNWEIS (Case No. 000-50-2-77)

TO : Commanding General
First Military District
APO 1, U.S. Army

Upon compliance with the Order on Review the certificate below will be completed and returned to the Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes, 7708 War Crimes Group, APO 407, U.S. Army.

BY COMMAND OF GENERAL CLAY:

/s/ George VW. Pope GMORGE VW. POPE Colonel, A.G.D. Adjutant General

1 Incl: 1 Form No. 13 (in trip)

Frankfurt 7175

### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The above named prisoner has been discharged on 7 May 1947 upon completion of his sentence.

/s/ David A. Oakley
(Countersignature and Rank of
Witnessing Officer )
DAVID A. OAKLEY, 1st Lt. CmlC
Asst. Prison Director

/s/ Lloyd A. Wilson
(Signature and Rank)
LIOYD A. WILSON, Capt. CMP
Prison Director
War Crimes Prison Landsberg
(Organization)

# MILITARY GOVERNMENT COURT MILITARGERICHT

Order on Review Verfügung nach Überprüfung

Case No. Strafsache Nr. 000-50-2-77	Order No. Verfügung Mr
Whereas one Kurt Ko	nrad STIRWWEIS
Name of Accuse	ed Name des/der Angeklagten participation in Dachau Concentra- tion Camp mass atrocity
	Handlung Teilnahme an Massengreul- enzentrationslager Dachau
by theIntermediateM	llitary Court at Dachau, Germany in Dachau, Deutschland
vom <u>Mittleren</u> Mi	Anschrift des Gerichts
and sentenced to imprisonment is schuldig erkannt und zu zwei Ja	for two years, commencing 22 May 1945 hren Gefangnis varutteilt mit Wir-
	kung ab 22 Mai 1945 March 1947 März 1947 and
durch Urteil vom 17 Date	Datum and
upon me, I hereby order: mir übortragenen Befugnisse ver That the findings and sent General, First Military District WEIS from confinement in War Co Germany.  Dass der Befund und das Un Kommandierende General, vom Mil	exercise of the powers conferred a Sachverhaltes und in Ausübung der offige ich:  tence are disapproved. The Commanding ot, will release Kurt Konrad STIRN-riminal Prison No. 1, Landsberg,  rteil nicht bestaetigt werden. Der litaerbezirk I, wird Furt Konrad gsverbrechergefängnis Nr. 1, Lands-
Dated this Gegoben am 5 Feb	1948
Unter	/s/ J. L. Harbaugh, Jr. Signature of Reviewing Authority schrift der nachprüfenden Behörde J. L. HARBAUGH, JR. Colonel JAGD Judge Advocate Title
	Titel

603

5 February 1948

SUBJECT

US v. Kurt Konrad STIRNWEIS (No. 000-50-2-77

WEMORANDUM FOR: Colonel Harbaugh

1. An examination of the record of trial in the subject case reveals the following:

- A copy of the charges was served on the accused on 12 March 47.
- b. The case was referred for trial 17 March 1947.
- c. The personnel of the prosecution and the defense were appointed on 12 March 1947. However, it is noted that there is a certificate signed by the Chief Defense Counsel to the effect that he was assigned as counsel in ample time to adequately protect the interests of the accused and to properly prepare a defense. This certificate was made a part of the miscellaneous papers accompanying the record of trial and is attached herewith.
- d. Trial was had 17 Warch 1949

2. I concur in the recommendation of War Crime Board of Review No 2 and of the Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes in this case.

HOWARD F. BRESEE

Chief, War Crimes Board of Region Broads