DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND APO 407

3 February 1948

UNITED STATES)

Case No. 000-50-2-98

Anton BITTRUF

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The accused was tried at Dachau, Germany, during the period 29 August to 3 September 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

II. CHARGES AND PART ICULARS:

CHARGE I: Violation of the laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Anton BITTRUF, a German national, did, at or in the vicinity of Dachau, Germany, in or about October 1939, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of approximately four Czechoslovakian fationals, inmates of the Dachau Concentration Camp, who were then in the custody of the then German Reich, the exact names and numbers of such persons being unknown.

CHARGE II: Violation of the laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Anton BITTRUF, a German national, did, at or in the vicinity of Dachau, Germany, in or about December 1941, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of approximately 40 Polish, French and Russian nationals, inmates of the Dachau Concentration Camp, who were then in the custody of the then German Reich, the exact names and numbers of such persons being unknown.

CHARGE III: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Anton BITTRUF, a German national, did, at or in the vicinity of Dachau, Germany, in or about July 1944, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of approximately 300 French nationals, inmates of the Dachau Concentration Camp, who were then in the custody of the then German Reich, the exact names and numbers of such persons being unknown.

III. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE: The accused was a Waffen SS master sergeant having been transferred from the Allgemeine SS which he joined in 1933 (R 105). He served in Dachau Concentration Camp from May 1934 until March 1945, except for brief periods when he was hospitalized. The evidence as to Charges I, II and III and the particulars will hereinafter be referred to as Incidents Nos. 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Incident No. 1. There was evidence indicating that the accused fired 20 or 22 shots into a group of Czech inmates being unloaded from a transport at Dachau Concentration Camp in September 1939, after which four dead bodies were seen with head and chest wounds.

Incident No. 2. There was evidence indicating that in 1941 and 1942 the accused, as a member of an SS firing squad, participated in the execution of Poles, Czechs, and Frenchmen on several occasions. Incident No. 3. There was evidence indicating that in August 1944 a transport of 1500 to 1800 French inmates arrived at Dachau Concentration Camp, 300 of whom were beaten to death on the roll call square. One witness testified that he saw the accused participate in beating these French inmates. Little weight has been given to the testimony of the prosecution witnesses Karl Kraemer and Karl Geiger. IV. EVIDENCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS: Anton BITTRUF German Nationality: 35 Age: Basket Maker Civilian Status: Allgemeine SS Party Status: Master Sergeant, Waffen SS Military Status: NG Charge I; NG Charge II; Plea: NG Charge III G Charge I; G Charge II; Findings: G Charge III

Death by hanging Sentence:

The accused joined the Allgemeine SS on the Evidence for Prosecution: first of November 1933 and arrived at Dachau Concentration Camp in May 1954. During the months of August and September 1939 he was in charge of the armory (R 99, 100). From August 1941 until October 1942 he was in charge of the detail in block 29, engaged in painting, woodwork and basket weaving except for a short period of time when he was hospitalized at the Schwabinger hospital in Munich, Germany. The accused was employed in the mail censorship department from October 1942 until March 1945, when he was sent to the front (R 101, 102).

Incident No. 1. Habel, a former inmate of Dachau Concentration Camp, testified that a railway transport of several hundred Czech nationals

that there were dead prisoners among them (R 43, 44). Geiger, a former inmate, testified that in September 1939 a transport consisting of Czechs and Poles arrived at Dachau Concentration Camp and that the accused was posted as a guard by the headquarters staff during the unloading. When the first car was unloaded some of the people were pushing and the camp commandant gave orders to shoot. The accused fired between 20 and 22 shots into the immates from a very short distance (R 29). The witness further testified that he saw four dead bodies on this occasion; that some had chest wounds and others head wounds; and that he was standing between 15 and 18 meters away from where the accused was firing his machine pistol (R 30).

Kraemer, a former immate, testified that in September 1939, while a large transport of Poles was being unloaded, the SS guards shot and beat them; that the accused shot at the prisoners with a machine pistol; and that he saw about four dead (R 10, 11, 15).

Incident No. 2. Kraemer also testified that he saw the accused in 1941 and 1942, as a member of an SS firing squad, participate in the execution of Poles, Czechs and Frenchmen on several occasions (R 11, 13, 19).

Incident No. 3. Kraemer further testified that between 15 and 18

August 1944 a transport consisting of approximately 1500 to 1800 French
inmates arrived at Dachau Concentration Camp, and that while they were
being marched to the roll call square the/guards, including the accused,
beat the inmates severely, using the butts and barrels of their rifles,
resulting in a loss of at least 300 inmates (R 13, 14, 24). About 1500

to 1800 of these inmates were assembled on the roll call square and about
300 of them were beaten to death by the accused and other SS men using.
either the butts or barrels of their rifles (R.14, 15, 24), Kraemer was
about 20 feet distant from roll call square on this occasion (R 15).

Evidence for Defense:

Incident No. 1. The accused testified that he never shot into a crowd of Czech prisoners in August or September 1939 (R 101).

Witness Geiger testified that although he was sure of his identifica-

tion of the accused as the shooter he made special inquiries to be quite sure. He could not believe that the accused would commit such a deed (R 34). Incident No. 2. The accused denied that he participated in the execution of Polish, Czech and French inmates in 1941 (R 104). Incident No. 3. Schwaemmle, a former SS man, testified that the accused was employed in the mail room from 1 March 1933 until February 1945; that the accused never would have permitted himself to participate in the killing of 300 French nationals in July 1944; and that if the accused had been absent before noon of August 15 to 18, 1944, long enough to marticipate in the beating or killing of 300 French nationals, the witness would have learned of it (R 56). He never heard of the accused or any other SS man killing inmates in Dachau Concentration Camp (R 59). The testimony of witness Schwaemmle was corroborated by Schwarz, a former SS man (R 69). Fuesdel, a former inmate, testified that the accused was not known as a beater and that he never heard of his having beaten or killed any inmates (R 76, 78). Gmenling, a former inmate, testified that he never saw the accused beat or mistreat a prisoner nor did he ever hear any inmates say anything against him. Nett, a former immate, testified that the accused was not feared in the camp nor did he ever see him beat or mistreat any inmates (R 84). Zimmermann, a former inmate and cape, testified that he never saw or heard of the accused beating prisoners (R 90). In an unsworn protrial statement a Franciscan brother, Mahler, stated that the accused always acted humanely toward the inmates and nothing unfavorable ever became known in Dachau Concentration Camp about him (R 92; D-Ex 1). The accused testified that he did not know of any inmates in Dachau Concentration Camp being killed and never took part in any of the beating punishments that were administered (R 105, 106); and that due to his employment in the mail room it was impossible for him to see what went on in Dachau Concentration Camp or to have participated in any of the offenses -4-

committed there (R 106). He further testified that he never took part in any executions as a member of the headquarters staff (R 107); and that he never received an order to beat a prisoner and never did so (R 107). Sufficiency of Evidence: Apart from the questionable credibility of two of the prosecution's witnesses the evidence adduced lacks persuasiveness and is insufficient to support the findings of the Court. The findings of guilty are not warranted by the evidence. A Petition for Review was filed by defense counsel, Pet it ions: Major Olaf J. Tolnas, 4 September 1947. Petitions for Clemency were filed by Reverend Dr. Wins, 27 September 1947; Mayor of Johannistal, Germany, 4 October 1947; Andreas Bittruf and Anna Bittruf, parents of accused, 10 December 1947; and Maria Bittruf, 10 December 1947. Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be disapproved. QUESTIONS OF LAW: Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the person of the accused and of the subject matter. VI. CONCLUSIONS: 1. It is recommended that the findings and sentence be disapproved. 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval. MURRAY J. ANDROVETTE Attorney Post Trial Branch Having examined the record of trial, I concur, this 1948. C. E. STRAIGHT Lieutement Colonel, JAGD Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes



MILITARY COVERNMENT COURT MILITARY COVERNMENT COURT

Order on Review

The Carlo State of the State of	verrugung nach Ot	
Case No. Strafsache Nr. 000-50-8		Order No. Verlügung Nr.
Whereas one	Anthers DESTROY Name of Assessed No.	
was convicted of the offen	co of participation in Mill	第二十二章 18 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
wogen der folgenden strefberen f	tending Tellenburg en der So Hanfillungen	stone van Emandentierstager
by the <u>General</u>	Military Court	
vom Oberes	et Militärgerichte in	Dankers, Destablished
THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	hh by hanging durch den Strong verurteil	Address of Court Associate des Garielle.
by Judgment dated the durch Uriell vom	3 September 1947	194 and
		Datum
Whereas the case has Diese Strafsache ist mir zu	now come before me by way of r Oberprüfung vorgelegt worden und nach	review and after due consideration and in exercise entsprechendem Studium des Sachverhaltes und in Ausübung
of the powers conferred up	pon me, I hereby order:	
der mir übertragenen Befugntsa	e verfûge ich:	为是国际企业的企业的企业
That the field	ngo and sentence are disap-	proved. The Director, War Criminal Pris War Criminal Prison So, 1, Landsburg,
will release Antes E		the Contestant Provide Box 2 Community
	a une des Britally milet bee	and a water Der Leiter, Delaumer
breaker of tangent of	And Autom SETTING and don't	tactigt worden. Der Leiter, Eriegerer- Raft in Kriegererbrechergefragnis Rr. 1
Landsburg, Dentschla	ad, entineem.	
Dated this		
Gegeben am	1 May 1945	
A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY	G The state of the	/s/ Lucius D. Clay
Coward & Blu	su .	Signature of Reviewing Authority - Unterschrift d. nachprill. Bablirds
HOWARD F. ERESEE Colonel AGD	4.4 公共 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	General Tol USA
COTOUGI NOD	化划位制建筑和流行的 设置	Course and over-time-Code of

23 April 1948 MEMORANDUM FOR: Colonel Harbaugh SUBJECT: US v. Anton BITTRUF (Case No. 000-50-2-98) 1. An examination of the record of trial in the subject case reveals the following: a. A copy of the charges was served on the accused on 29 July 1947. b. The case was referred for trial 22 August 1947. c. The personnel of the prosecution and the defense were appointed 29 June 1947. d. Trial was had 29 August to 3 September 1947. 2. I concur with the recommendations of the Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes and War Crimes Board of Review No. 1 in this case. HOWARD F. BRESEE Colonel Chief, War Crimes Review Branch