Record of Proceedings

of the

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The United States of America, the Republic of China, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Commonwealth of Australia, Canada, the Republic of France, the Kingdom of the Netherlands, New Zealand, India, and the Commonwealth of the Philippines

-Against-

ARAKI, Sadao; DOHIHARA, Kenji; HASHIMOTO, Kingoro; HATA, Shunroku; HIRANUMA, Kiichiro; HIROTA, Koki; HOSHINO, Naoki; ITAGAKI, Seishiro; KAYA, Okinori; KIDO, Koichi; KIMURA, Heitaro; KOISO, Kuniaki; MATSUI, Iwane; MATSUOKA, Yosuke; MINAMI, Jiro; MUTO; Akira; NAGANO, Osami; OKA, Takasumi; OKAWA, Shumei; OSHIMA, Hiroshi; SATO, Kenryo; SHIGEMITSU, Mamoru; SHIMADA, Shigetaro; SHIRATORI, Toshio; SUZUKI, Teiichi; TOGO, Shigenori TOJO, Hideki; UMEZU, Youshijiro;

-Accused-

Official Court Reporters

Jack Greenberg, Chie Fred T. Abram James F. Barton Antoinette Duda Samuel Goldberg Robert B. Morse John J. Smith Daphne Spratt Elvira Whalen Julian Wolf INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

(none)

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Pros. Def.	Description Id	for In dent. Evidence
638	Telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo dated 4 July 1941	7029
639	File containing number of documents from the German Foreign Office to which is attached a certificate from the Secy of State of the United States	. 031
639 - A	Telegram dated 4 July 1941 signed "Thomas" and marked "Secret Affair of the Reich"	7031
639 - B	Telegram dated 10 July 1941 from the German Ambassador in Tokyo re the imminence of the Japanese move against Indo-China	7033
640	Document consisting of 2 telegr found in the office of the Japanese Foreign Ministry eac addressed to Ambassador Kato in France	

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

	Pros.	Def.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
?	641	<+1	Intercepted Message from Canton to Tokyo of one of the items included in Exhibit No. 603 for identification. Dated 14 July 1941		7042
	642		Telegram signed "Rintelen" addressed to the German Foreign Minister (also transmitted to Tokyo and Paris) dated 19 July 1941		7045
	643		Extract from the Diary of Marquis KIDO dated 26 September 1940		7049
	644		Telegram from the German Am- bassador in Tokyo dated 20 July 1941		7052
	645		Telegram from Bangkok marked "Secret Reich Matter", signed "Scholl" and dated 18 July 1941		7053
	646		Telegram from Paris dated 21 July 1941 marked "Secret Matter of State" signed "Schleier"		7055
	647		Collection of Treaties between France and Japan concerning French Indo-China, published by the Treaty Bureau of the Japanese Foreign Ministry, April 1943		

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

	Pros. Def.	Description Ident.	Evidence
	647-A	Letters Exchanged between Ambassador Kato and Foreign Minister Darlan	7058
	648	Telegram from the Japanese Ambassador in Tokyo dated 22 July 1941	7067
	649	Record of the Proceedings of the First Meeting of the Privy Council re the Protocol between France and Japan concerning Military Cooperation held 28 July 1941	7069
	650	Minutes of the Second Privy Council Meeting: Conclusion of Protocol between Japan and France held on 28 July 1941	7074
	651	Copy of the Protocol between France and the Japanese Empire Concerning the Joint Defense of French Indo- China which was signed 29 July 1941 together with copies of two letters dated at Vichy on 29 July 1941	7079

100	
1	Friday, 4 October, 1946
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building
6	Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
. 9	at 0930.
10	
11	
12	
13	Appearances:
14	For the Tribunal, same as before.
15	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
	For the Defense Section, same as before.
16	
17	
18	
19	(English to Japanese, Japanese to
20	English, French to English and Japanese to
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Morse & Abram

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.
THE PRESIDENT: Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): If the Tribunal please, regarding prosecution's document No. 1632W, exhibit No. 627, page 1, paragraph 2, line 8, the line should read, "I said to his Majesty that, as it has been said, if we let the matter continue," and so forth.

Again, in line 13, the line should read, "direct it to be careful before taking any action," and so forth.

Mr. Oneto.

We recommend that the translation be corrected accordingly.

THE PRESIDENT: The corrections are noted.

is now offered in evidence. This is a telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo. The name of the person to whom it was sent does not appear.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4062B will receive exhibit No. 638.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 638 and

received in evidence.)

MR. ONETO: The document was found in the files of the German Foreign Office. The telegram is dated 4 July 1941 and sets forth certain personal impressions received by the military attache in a conversation with the Japanese Ganeral. Staff.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: I will read the document.

"Telegram (Secret Cipher process)

"To be kept in locked file.

4 July 1941 "Tokyo:

5 July 1941. Most urgent! "Arrival:

"((Military attache reports following personal impression from conversation with Japanese General Staff:

"Japanese Army is zealously preparing and under emphatic secrecy))

"1.) The occupation of Saigon in the not too distant future. It is the preliminary condition for an eventual further southward move for which, however, there are as yet no tangible footholds discernable."

I will omit reading the rest of the telegram.

Signed: "OTT."

MR. ONETO: I now present for identification only prosecution's document No. 11 which is a file

10 11

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

7 8

 containing a number of documents from the German Foreign Office, to which is attached a certificate from the Secretary of State of the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: The document will be marked for identification.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 11 will receive exhibit No. 639 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 639 for identification only.)

MR. ONETO: I now offer in evidence prosecution's document No. 11A(2), which is a copy of one of the items included in prosecution's document No. 11 which has been marked exhibit No. 639 for identification. This is a telegram dated Bangkok, July 4, 1941. It is signed "Thomas," and is marked, "Secret Affair of the Reich." It relates to the preparation and carrying out of certain military operations in the Netherlands Indies and Indo-China by the Japanese forces.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 11A(2) will receive exhibit No. 639A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 639A and

received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Do not read the part of the description already given by Mr. Oneto.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, there were two exhibits, one entered for identification only and the second, 11A(2), is the one mentioned as exhibit 639A. I will read exhibit 639A:

"To be kept under lock and key.

"Telegram (Secret Cipher Process)

"Bengkok, July 4, 1941.

"Received July 4, 1941.

"Secret Affair of the Reich.

"Likewise for the Attache Division of the High Command of the Army and Naval High Command.

"The Japanese Secretary of Embassy, Furuuchi des Gaimusho, who is on an orientation journey, confidentially informed the Military /ttache:"

I will omit reading paragraphs 1 and 2 and I will read paragraph No. 3.

with the Netherlands-Indies would oblige her to take over the oil resources there by force, since her fleet would otherwise be incapable of action. Prior to this there is to be a Japanese military occupation of Indo-China in order to procure a concentration area and

(

jumping-off ports against the Netherland-Indies. The occupation of Thailand is not envisaged. The preparation and the carrying out of the operations is to be made by the staff of General Ushiroku on the South China Front, in Canton. Conduct of the English forces in Singapore is considered to be purely defensive."

I will omit reading the remainder of the telegram.

Signed: "Schol Thomas."

MR. ONETO: I present prosecution's document
No. 11A(3) which is a copy of one of the items included
in prosecution's document No. 11, which has been
marked exhibit No. 639 for identification. This is
a telegram dated 10 July 1941 from the German Ambassador in Tokyo relating to the imminence of the
Japanese move against Indo-China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

GLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 11A(3) will receive exhibit No. 639B.

(Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 639B and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 639B:
"Telegram (Secret Cipher Process)
"To be kept in locked file.

"Tokyo 10 July 1941

"frrival 11 July 1941.

"Most urgent!

"All symptoms are pointing to the fact that
the Japanese move against Indo-China is now imminent;
I reported on it already; in MATSUOKA's message to The
German Foreign Minister it was described as a move for
securing bases (points d'appin). According to confidential information at least three divisions are
scheduled for action in order to get a firm hold on the
most important towns and establishments including
Saigon. According to confidential information from a
reliable source the Key-day for action is to be 17 July.

"Utterances by MATSUOKA (compare telegram of 21 June, No. 109) showed that Japanese government intended at first to ask German government to obtain Vichy's consent to the move. As I was told confidentially, Ambassador OSHIMA was informed by German authorities that mediation between Tokyo and Vichy re the Indo-China problem was not advisable. Now Japanese Government intends, before the action, to propose to the French Government military co-operation for the joint defense of Indo-China, demanding immediate occupation of the said bases. The announcement of the move was made only shortly beforehand because it was intended

to preclude a possible -- though not likely -- intervention of the Anglo-Saxon countries. Should these resort to military measures, contrary to expectations, Japan would fight. Preparations were made accordingly. Certain measures regarding maritime transport have also been ordered. No serious resistance is expected from the French.

"The British ambassador has made inquiries; at the Japanese Foreign Office about Japanese intentions, pointing to certain press reports saying that a Japanese move against Indo-China would take place in about 14 days; such intentions on the part of Japan were flatly denied. The American Embassy received a similar reply.

"As reported, the Japanese government will probably for the time being content itself with the securing of Indo-China, soothing the (1 group missing in telegram) who are pressing for further action by pointing to the time necessary for preparation.

"Director of the European Section, Japanese
Foreign Office, stated that with regard to Indo-China
Japan had to beat all others to the punch in order to
get the start on action initiated by the Anglosaxons
and DeGaullists. Besides, French Indo-China must
substitute economically after the breakdown

of the economic negotiations with the Netherlands Indies.

"In view of the (1 group missing in telegrem)

political significance of the occupation of IndoChina for the further development of the Southern question. The cohesion of the French Colonial Empire
and also for direct German economic interests (raw
rubber question, etc.) I would be thankful for an
instruction as to what to say as well as for the
information whether the German Government was consulted.

Signed: "OTT."

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document No. 1383-C and D. This is a document consisting of two telegrams found in the office of the Japan Foreign Ministry, each addressed to Ambassador KATO in France. The first telegram is No. 273 and was sent July 12, 1941; the second is No. 274 and is dated July 12. They relate to the decision of the Japanese Government and Cabinet Council to take possession of military bases and to dispatch Japanese forces to South French Indo-China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1383-C and D will receive exhibit No. 640.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 640 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 640:
"Telegram No. 273, addressed to Ambassador
KATO in France, sent July 12, 1941.

national circumstances of the recent days and to make headway to establish the East Asia Co-prosperity sphere, the Japanese Government has decided in the Cabinet Council to take possession of military bases and to dispatch Japanese forces to South French

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Indo-China. And now we are going to make a proposal to that effect to the French Government. This decision was made by the Japanese Government with firm determination to realize it in spite of any hinderances by Britain and ..merica and even if the French Government or the authority of French Indo-China oppose it, we are to force carrying it out.

"2. Though the relations between Japan and French Indo-China have been improved gradually since last year, they are not yet satisfactory. And when Britain and America are going to enforce their boycott against Japan, unless we secure solid ground in South French Indo-China on this very occasion, it will be impossible, after all, to have her act entirely in concert with us. We feel that our requirement at this time to press her again to do the difficult thing in spite of her repeated conciliation, and we truly do not want this, and taking into consideration the recent attitude of France in approaching the axis powers, there might occur delicate relations. For a'l these facts, Japan is compelled to take this measure for her self-existence and self-defense and for pursting her Southern policy.

"3. I wish you, (Ambas sador KATO), to open negotiation at once with the French. Once, in a

general explanation covering the joint-defense to the advance of the Japanese forces, you will make the proposal of telegram No. 274 and receive her answer, yes or no, during the 20th, Japanese time. In various relations, it is most preferable for us that France give her consent to our requirement enabling us to dispatch military forces in the form of peaceful occupation. However, if we do not make haste, it will give her a chance to prolong the negotiation and in the meanwhile Britain and America will make a fuss about it, which we must avoid to the utmost. We wish you to negotiate with her as fast as possible to force her to give a yes or no by the above-mentioned date at the latest. When she agrees to it you will exchange immediately with her the document shown in separate telegram No. 276. (Now, the military authority is gradually preparing to start on about the 20th, which date, in relation to strategy, is absolutely impossible to be changed. If France agrees to it by that time, it will become a peaceful advance, and if not, a military occupation. I inform you just for your understanding only.)

"4. This requirement of ours is done with an extraordinary firm resolution. You shall have France recognize thoroughly that if she refuses it

24

25

20

21

22

and Japan has her troops advance from her own standpoint, it is possible that a grave change might occur
to the situation of French Indo-China. It is needless to mention, if she gives consent to it, we are
ready to secure solemnly the territorial integrity
of French Indo-China and sovereignty of France over it..

Even this proposal in itself, from another angle, is
made from our inmost feeling to fulfil this responsibility. You may utilize fully this point in persuading her. When she consents to it, course, we
shall kindly afford facilities to supply goods and
arms to French Indo-China as well as to axis powers.

"5. From the conclusion of MATSUOKA
'Henri' Arrangement last year until the recent conclusions of the Franco-Japan Protocol and Economical
Agreement, France has sincerely been endeavoring to
approach Japan. But now, again, with such a military
requirement being proposed, France will probably feel
it as beyond her expectation. It is, however, the
necessary measures due to the said serious circumstances of the recent days and you will have it understood fully by France that it is not because we
intend to infringe boldly upon her sovereignty.

"6. 'Henri', the Ambassador to Tokyo, is suspected to belong to the 'deGaulle' faction and it

Japanese Government and him are secretly being informed immediately to the American and to the British Ambassadors to Japan. Therefore, this time, the negotiation is to be made at your place. As the matter is of great importance, I wish, you will negotiate directly with Marshal Petain. Of course, according to the conditions in the French Government, it cannot be helped that you may have to negotiate with Darlan. Further, if this proposal leaks out to British-American side, only involved difficulties are feared to take place uselessly, I wish you will give strict notice to the French Government to take full caution. Please telegram message to Germany, too."

MR. ONETO: I now offer in evidence prosecution document No. 2593-C, which is an intercepted message and is a copy of one of the items included in exhibit 603 for identification. This intercepted message is from Canton to Tokyo and is dated July 14, 1941. It relates to the occupation of French Indo-China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 2593-C will receive exhibit No. 641.

. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 641 and received in evidence.)

Duda & Whalen

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit No. 641.

"Secret. From: Canton. To: Tokyo. July 14,

1941. Purple. To be kept secret within the Department.

"Re my #253a. Subsequent information from

military officials to the Attaches is as follows."

I will omit reading paragraph numbered 1. "2. The immediate object of our occupation of French Indo-China will be to achieve our purposes there. Secondly, its purpose is, when the international situation is suitable, to launch therefrom a rapid attack. This venture we will carry out in spite of any difficulties which may arise. We will endeavor to the last to occupy French Indo-China peacefully but, if resistance is offered, we will crush it by force, occupy the country and set up martial law. After the occupation of French Indo-China, next on our schedule is the sending of an ultimatum to the Netherlands Indies. In the seizing of Singapore the Navy will play the principal part. As for the Army, in seizing Singapore it will need only one division and in seizing the Netherlands Indies, only two. In the main, through the activities of our air arm (in your city, the Spratley Islands, Parao, Thaiese Singora, Portuguese Timor and French Indo-China) and our submarine fleet (in the South Seas mandate islands,

Hainan Island, and French Indo-China) we will once and for all crush Anglo-American military power and

4

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

their ability to assist in any schemes against us.

"3. The troops soon to occupy French Indo-China will be reorganized as the 25th Army Corps (one Army Corps consists of four divisons) and also the 30th Army Corps, consisting of the South China forces, which will be assigned to special duty with airplanes, tanks, and howitzers. General IIDA (the IIDA Army mentioned in preceding communications has been changed to the Nishimura detachment) will be placed in command and general military headquarters will be set up in Saigon. All preparations have been made. The ship fees have been paid and the expedition will soon proceed from here."

MR. ONETO: I present prosecution document No. 1375 for introduction in evidence. This is a telegram signed "Rintelen," and was addressed to the German Foreign Minister and was also transmitted to Tokyo and Paris. It is dated July 1941. It relates to Japanese negotiations with the French Government to secure among other things, naval and air bases.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1375-A will receive exhibit No. 642.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 642, and was received in evidence.)

 MR. TAVENNER: I will read Exhibit No. 642.
"TELEGRAM (Secret Cipher Process).

"The German Foreign Minister (Koenigsberg) July 19, 1941; arrived July 19, 1941.

"Diplogerma Tokyo. Diplogerma Paris.

"Note: Transmitted to Tokyo under No. 1094 and to Paris under No. 3712.

"Ambassador OSHIMA handed to the Foreign
Minister the following memorandum with an appendix
concerning the Japanese demands on France regarding
Indo-China, on the 17th of this month:

gotiations with the French government with a firm decision in order to secure for herself, among other things, naval and air bases in Indo-China. A proposal made in this connection from Japanese side is attached in the Appendix. The realization of this plan is the first step for our push to the South. It will undoubtedly play an important part as a diversion of the English-American forces. In this sense the Japanese government believes that in pursuing this aim she is giving valuable assistance to Germany and Italy, loyal to the spirit of the Tripartite Pact. She, therefore believes to be able to assume that the German government will not only refuse any entreaty

of the French government to mediate a refusal or mitigation of the Japanese proposal but will also stand at the side of the Japanese government by endeavoring to convince the French government of the appropriateness of its acceptance.

"End of memorandum.

"Appendix follows: Confidential.

"1. France and Japan undertake the obligation to cooperate militarily for the common defense of French Indo-China.

ment will authorize Japan to adopt the following measures:

"(a) despatch of the necessary number of Japanese troops, fleet units and air units to South Indo-China;

u:(b) use of the following eight localities as air bases: Siemreap, Phnom-Penh, Tourane, Nhatrang, Beinhoa, Saigon, Scotrang and Kompongtrach.

""Use of Saigon and the Bay of Camran as naval bases; the Japanese forces will bring the necessary installations.

garding billeting, maneuvers and movements. Special facilities for the fulfillment of their task will be

of the French government to mediate a refusal or mitigation of the Japanese proposal but will also stand at the side of the Japanese government by endeavoring to convince the French government of the appropriateness of its acceptance.

"End of memorandum.

"Appendix follows: Confidential.

"1. France and Japan undertake the obligation to cooperate militarily for the common defense of French Indo-China.

ment will authorize Japan to adopt the following measures:

Japanese troops, fleet units and air units to South Indo-China;

u:(b) use of the following eight localities as air bases: Siemreap, Phnom-Penh, Tourane, Nhatrang, Beinhoa, Saigon, Scotrang and Kompongtrach.

"'Use of Saigon and the Bay of Camran as naval bases; the Japanese forces will bring the necessary installations.

garding billeting, maneuvers and movements. Special facilities for the fulfillment of their task will be

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

will be afforded to them. This also includes the rescission of the limitations provided in the Nishihara-Martin agreement.

" (d) The French Government will deliver to the Japanese forces the required amount of foreign bills of exchange; the Japanese government is willing to pay for these in accordance with modalities, mutually determined.

"13. The French government will agree to a general plan of entry of these forces. The modalities of entry will be the topic of consultation between the local Japanese and Indo-Chinese authorities. In order to exclude the possibility of a conflict the French authorities will adopt appropriate measures such as the removal of Indo-Chinese forces from the neighborhood of landing points of the Japanese forces.

"The Foreign Minister of the German Reich requests to use restraint in the matter. "(signed) Rintelen".

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document 1632-DD. This is an extract from the diary of KIDO under date of 26 September 1940, and refers to certain action taken by the Japanese forces in the face of enemy opposition in Indo-China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632-DD will receive exhibit No. 643.

(Whoreupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 643, and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I ask the permission of the Tribunal to read one paragraph from this document sentence by sentence, as the translation pool does not appear to have a Japanese translation from which they can read simultaneously.

I will read exhibit 6:3.

"Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary. 26 September 1940."

I will read beginning at the paragraph in the middle of the page.

"At 11:30 called in Masazo YOSHIDA and conferred re the Imperial Rescript. Officer SAMEJIMA came in at two and reported as follows: The NISHIMURA unit which was to have advanced peacefully into French

A

Indo-China returned, despite the advice of the Commander of the Navy support force, to carry out a landing in the face of the enemy to carry outair-bombard-ment of Haiphong. It is reported that the NISHIHARA organization was incensed at these wrongful measures and left the spot. I understand that His Majesty, since this is such an important matter, ordered that a report. Ald be made also to the Lord Privy Seal. It is indeed regrettable that such measures are taken by those in the field who do not understand the general situation. It is people like this who make the grave blunders."

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I would like to refer to the Language Section, that sentence beginning with the words "The NISHIMURA unit. . ."
It should read as follows:

"The NISHIMURA unit which was to have advanced peacefully into French Indo-China boldly carried out a landing in the face of the enemy and bombed Haiphong from the air, despite having received a communication from the Navy Support Command."

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any substantial difference, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: Yes, there is, your Honor. In view of the balance of that paragraph it is quite

different.

THE PRESIDENT: I cannot see any difference; but I will direct the Language Section to give us their report.

MR. ONETO: Attention of the Tribunal is directed to prosecution document No. 1652, which is in evidence as exhibit No. 588. This document is entitled "Resolutions Concerning the Japanese-American Negotiations Adopted through the Conferences in the Imperial Presence."

This document consists of four resolutions, including the resolution adopted at the Imperial Conference, July 2, 1941, in which it is set forth that Japan will make preparations for a war with Britain and the United States and then declared, "First we shall accomplish the execution of our schemes against French Indo-China and Thailand following the 'Principle Policy towards French Indo-China and Thailand,' and 'Matters concerning the promotion of our Southern Policy,' thereby stabilizing our structure for the Southern Advance."

I now offer in evidence prosecution document
No. 4052-E. This is a telegram from the German Ambassader in Tokyo, dated 20 July 1941, a copy of which
was found in the files of the German Foreign Office.

7 8

The telegram relates to the contemplated action by Japan in the event that Vichy did not come to terms with Japan regarding Indo-China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4052-E will receive exhibit No. 644.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 644, and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit No. 644.
"Telegram (Secret Cipher Process). To be
kept in locked file. Tokyo, 20 July 1941. Arrival,
20 July 1941. Most urgent!

"Newly appointed Foreign Minister Admiral TOYODA has just asked me to see him and received me in the presence of the former Vice Foreign Minister OHASHI."

I will omit reading the next two paragraphs and continue with the last paragraph.

"I then asked whether the Indo-China action
is influenced by the Cabinet change. This was denied
by the Foreign Minister. OHASHI added that the Japanese Government had pressed Vichy for a reply to their
measures. Darlan had promised to take a stand speedily
so that a telegraphic report from the Japanese Ambassador

was expected hourly. Should the French answer not be in the affirmative, the Japanese Government would resort to force. It was, however, hoped to come to terms with Vichy on the modality of the occupation."

Signed "Ott."

MR. ONETO: I now present prosecution document
No. 4052-J for introduction. It is a telegram from
Bangkok dated 18 July 1941, marked "Secret Reich Matter,"
and signed "Scholl," a copy of which was also sent to
Tokyo. This message was found in the files of the
German Foreign Office and, among other things, shows
a defensive front was being erected aimed at the suppression of the de Gaulle movement through the occupation of Indo-China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4052-J will receive exhibit No. 645.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 645, and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 645.

"Telegram (Secret Cipher Process). Bangkok,

18 July 1941. Arrival, 18 July 1941. Urgent! Secret

Reich Matter.

"At the same time to (one group garbled) the

Navy General Staff attache group.

"Japanese military attache group garbled reports:

"To carry out the planned Siberian operation

Japan is erecting a defensive front against America,

England, China and Netherlands Indies, aimed at the

same time at the suppression of the de Gaulle movement,

through the occupation of Indo-China. Deployment in

Indo-China shall be finished at the beginning of Aug
ust."

I will omit reading the rest of the telegram.
Signed "Scholl."

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document No. 4025-E(7). This is a telegram from Paris dated 21 July 1941, marked "Secret matter of State."

It is signed "Schleier." The original of this document was found in the files of the German Foreign Office, and relates to the demands made on 14 July 1941 by the Japanese Government to the French Government regarding Indo-China and part of the reply made thereto by the French Government.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4025-E(7) will receive exhibit No. 646.

(Whereupon, the document above

 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 646, and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 646.

"Telegram (Code Clerk). To be kept in locked file. Paris, 21 July 1941. Arrival, 21 July 1941. Most urgent! (Secret matter of State).

"Re: Your Telegram No. 3712 of 19 July 41, special train 678.

"Today at noon Admiral DARLAN handed me a verbal note through State Secretary BENOISTMECHIN. Wording as follows:

"'On 14 July 1941 the Japanese Government handed a verbal note to the French Government containing the following demands."

"The following is the text as attached to the Japanese memorandum as per your telegram 3712. The French note then continues as follows: This note was accompanied by a personal message from Prince KONOYE to Marshall PETAIN. In this message the president of the Japanese Privy Council states:

firm determination to carry out the duties and to bear the responsibilities resulting from her solemnly undertaken obligation regarding the respecting of the territorial integrity of Indo-China and the sovereignty

of FRANCE over that Union. JAPAN in no way intends to shun this obligation. The French Government has drawn to the attention of the Japanese Government that apart from the grave risk resulting from such action as far as the native population is concerned:

- "1) The acceptance of the Japanese demands, in the form of military cooperation would unavoidably be interpreted as a hostile action against ENGLAND and the UNITED STATES, which would imply a risk for the French Government of bringing on reprisals upon themselves.
- "2) Owing to the armistice France is not in a position to decide upon such an important decision without previously approaching the German Government."

I will omit reading the next paragraph.

"Despite this argument, the Japanese Government insisted on receiving the reply of the French Government before 1800 hours, Tuesday, 22 July, noting that the Japanese troops would enter Indo-China with no respect for the contents of this reply.

"In view of the gravity of the situation created thereby, the French Government has replied to the Japanese that they could not help but give in to violence. They still, however, asked the Japanese Government to consent in advance to the following points."

I will omit reading the first two numbered paragraphs and will begin with 3. "3) The Japanese occupation is only temporary and strictly limited by the duration of the operations for which it is destined." I will omit reading the rest of the telegram. Signed "Schleier." MR. ONETO: Prosecution document No. 785 is 8 offered for identification only. This is a collection 9 of treaties between France and Japan concerning French 10

Indo-China, including a treaty that was not announced. It was published by the Treaty Bureau of the Japanese Foreign Ministry, April 1943.

THE PRESIDENT: It will be marked for identification only.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 785 will be marked exhibit 647 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 647, for identification only.)

MR. ONETO: I now offer in evidence prosecution document No. 785-I, which consists of letters exchanged between Ambassador KATO and Foreign Minister Darlan. It is a separate and distinct part of the material

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 21

22

23

contained in prosecution document No. 785 that has 1 been marked as exhibit No. 647. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 3 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 4 No. 785-I will receive exhibit No. 647-A. 5 (Whereupon, the document above 6 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 647-A, and was received in evidence.) 8 MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 647-A. 9 "(Outgoing Letter). Letters Exchanged between 10 Ambassador KATO and Foreign Minister Darlan." 11 THE PRESIDENT: Do you have the date? 12 13 MR. TAVENNER: I see no date. THE PRESIDENT: Well, this is a convenient 14 break. We will recess now for fifteen minutes. 15 (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken 16 17 until 1100, after which the proceedings where 18 resumed as follows:) 19 20 21 23 24

Wolf & Spratt

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

attention to the various dates which are referred to in the body of this document, which, I believe, will answer your Honor's question. (Reading:)

"(Outgoing Letter)

"LETTERS EXCHANGED BETWEEN AMBASSADOR
KATO AND FOREIGN MINISTER DARLAN

"By order of my Government I have the honour to present for Your Excellency's consideration the following proposals:

"1. France and Japan engage to cooperate for the joint defence of French Indo-China.

"2. To this end the French Government shall grant to Japan the right to take the following measures:

"(a) The dispatch to Southern Indo-China of the number of troops, naval, units, and air formations judged necessary.

"(b) The use as air bases of the following localities: Siemreap, Phnom-penh, Tourane, Lhatrang, Bienhoa, Saigon, Soctrang and Koonpongtrach; as naval bases, Saigon and Camrand Bay. The necessary installations shall be set up in those places by the Japanese

.

14 15

17

18

16

19

20

22

23 24

7 8

forces.

"(c) These forces shall be granted facilities for billetting, carrying out manoeuvres and training.

Their liberty of movement shall be recognized.

"They shall also be accorded the special facilities necessary for the accomplishment of their task: this entails the suppression of restrictions provided in the Nishihara-Martin Agreement.

"(d) The French Government shall provide the necessary currency to the Japanese forces up to an amount of twenty-three million Indo-China piastres for the current year, or four million five hundred thousand Indo-China piastres monthly: this sum does not include the currency which have to be provided to the Japanese forces in Tonking in accordance with previous agreements. The Japanese Government is prepared to pay for the above mentioned currency at the choice of the French Government either in convertible yen, in U.S. dollars, or in gold.

"3. The French Government shall agree to the general terms of the entry of these forces and take all pertinent steps to avoid the possibility of a chance clash with Indo-China Forces, such as the temporary withdrawal of these latter from the neighborhood of the points of disembarkation of the Japanese

, 2

4 5 6

8

9

10

12

14

16

17

18 19

21 22

23

24

25

20

forces.

"4. The details concerning the movements of the Japanese forces shall be drawn up by agreement with the Japanese military authorities on the spot.

I take the liberty of vigorously stressing to Your Excellency that the French Government has an earnest desire to record its adherence to the above-mentioned proposals with the least possible delay, as the understanding arrived at ought, in the opinion of my Government, to be made the object of a formal agreement as soon is possible.

"In presenting the above I beg once more to express my highest respect to Your Excellency.

"Excerpts translated from Incoming Letter

"The first part of Foreign Minister Darlan's reply acknowledges receipt of the letter from the afore-mentioned Ambassador Kato. The latter part of the letter appears the following reply on the part of the French. At the same time by another communication the aforesaid Foreign Minister expresses certain wishes under the headings A, B, C which appear below:

"1. The French Government takes note of the new step taken on 20 July by the Japanese Ambassador which demands a formal reply before 6 o'clock Tuesday, 22 July, to the memorandum sent on 14 July. "2. Although it understands the necessities which bring about this step, the French Government must once more, earnestly draw the attention of the Imperial Government to the gravity of the consequences of the putting into operation of the plan announced in the memorandum of 14 July.

"3. However, the circumstances are such that, taking into account the firm assurance given by His Excellency, Prince Konoye, in his letter of 13 July addressed to Marshal Petain, it has no other course than to bow before the insistence of the Japanese Government.

"4. It is in this spirit, that, in cooperation with the Japanese Government, the French Government, true to the policy which it has always professed, will guarantee the defense of Indo-China against any attack and will share in defensive action having as its object such security, all offensive operations being excluded, in accordance with the agreement of French and Japanese local headquarters.

"5. It must be well understood, on the other hand, that the presence of Japanese forces on the territory or in the waters of the Union shall be of only a temporary nature and that the Imperial Government will proceed to the total withdrawal of its forces as

..

† 17

soon as the danger, in view of which they were admitted, has been removed.

Excellency Prince Konoye to the Marshall, the French Government is anxious to underline the fact that such a defensive cooperation does not imply any renunciation nor any limitation of the political rights of France once the territories of the Union. Dominant considerations compel the French Government to demand of the Imperial Government to be good enough to demonstrate clearly in a public statement, in terms similar to those which appear in the message of His Excellency Prince Konoye the firm determination of Japan'to fulfill the duties to shoulder the responsibilities flowing from the solemn promise made by him with regard to the territorial integrity of Indo-China and of French sovereignty over this Union's.

m7. The French Government urges the extremely pressing necessity for such a declaration which is indispensable in the new circumstances for the maintenance of order in Indo-China and for the proper execution of the first stens rendered necessary by the arrival of Japanese armed forces.

"A. (1) The French Government, intending, under the terms of its communication of today's date,

4 5

to participate in the joint defence of Indo-China territory, relies on the Imperial Government supplying to the French Armed Forces in Indo-China supplementary means of defence of all kinds which the present urgency has not permitted France to obtain elsewhere. The French Government will be happy to know with the least delay the feeling of the Imperial Government on the preceding suggestions.

"(2) In the same spirit the French Government will give to the Government-General of Indo-China
all necessary instructions so that all military organizations actually on the spot shall remain available
for defense, especially all coast defense works.

"B. (1) The French Government emphasizes
the extreme importance which it attaches to the public
statement relative to the respect for the integrity
of Indo-China and for the sovereignty of France over
this Union.

"(2) In the absence of such a statement neither French public opinion nor the troops stationed in Indo-China themselves would not understand and consequently would not admit the arrival of foreign forces into territories which are precisely those they are there to guard.

"C. As regards arrangements of a technical

nature which figure in the Japanese proposals announced in the Japanese Ambassador's letter of 21 July, the Japanese Government will doubtless realize, as does the French Government, that their putting into execution must be the occasion for an examination by competent authorities, more particularly as regards the suggestion put out in Article 3 (at the end) relative to 'the temporary withdrawal of Indo-China forces from the neighbourhood of the points of disembarkation of the Japanese forces.'

THE PRESIDENT: My colleagues are insistent on having the date, if you can find it. I thought you might look for it during the recess. MR. ONETO: Mr. President, we will find the dates which are asked by the Court. On the original these dates do not figure, but with the help of the other documents, we will be able to find them. THE PRESIDENT: It should be indicated at this stage. It may come very late in the proceedings and we would have to hunt through the record in the hope of finding when we come to this document. MR. SMITH: If the Court please --THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Maybe we can clarify the Court's inquiry. I understand the Japanese draft shows the date of July 14, 1941. It does not appear on the English. THE PRESIDENT: The date is always important, sometimes vitally important. (Whereupon, Mr. Tavenner consulted with the language arbiters.) THE PRESIDENT: Major Moore. MAJOR MOORE: If the Tribunal please, there is no date in the Japanese text of the original.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Oneto, you must do

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

.23

all in your power to assign a date to that document, 1 and let us know the result of your inquiries. We will proceed with the evidence. 3 MR. ONETO: I now offer in evidence prosecution document No. 11-A(5). This is one of the 5 items included in prosecution document No. 11, which 6 has been marked exhibit 639 for identification. It is a telegram from the Japanese Ambassador in Tokyo, 8 dated 22 July 1941, relating to the unconditional 9 acceptance by the French Government of the main 10 point of the Japanese demand regarding Indo-China. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 12 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 13 No. 11-A(5) will receive exhibit No. 648. (Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit 15 No. 648 was received in evidence.) 16 MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhabit No. 648: 17 "Telegram (Secret Cipher Process) 18 "Tokyo 22 July 1941 - 6:40 hours 19 "Arrival 22 July 1941 - 1740 hours 20 "No. 1317 of 22 July "Most Urgent! 22 "Secret! Re Telegram No. 1304 of 20th, 23 pol VIII 24 "When paying me a farewell visit former Vice

Foreign Minister Ohashi told me today that Ambassador at Vichy had just wired that on the basis of unofficial information he was expecting today or tomorrow the unconditional acceptance of the main points of the Japanese demands re Indo-China by the French Government.

"The Vice Foreign Minister commented that the commencement of the maritime transports was definitely to take place on 24 July, involving approximately 40,000 troops. It is estimated that it would take several weeks before the planned naval and air bases were completely set up and the troops quartered.

"He had denied the intention, on the part of Japan to take military steps in Indo-China when the British ambassador asked him fourteen days ago. The United States of America has so far made no inquiries.

Signed: "OTT"

17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21 22

23 24

MR. ONETO: I now offer in evidence prosecution document No. 1031, which is a record of the proceedings of the first meeting of the Privy Council regarding the Protocol between France and Japan concerning military cooperation, held 28 July 1941, and at which were present the accused, War Minister TOJO, Major General MUTO, and Rear Admiral OKA.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

No. 1031 will receive exhibit No. 649.

(Whereupon, the above-mentioned document was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 649 and received in evidence.)

MR. ONETO: I wish to add that among the accused noted here who were present at this meeting there was also one of the accused, SUZUKI, President of the Planning Board.

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 649:
"Proceedings of the Privy Council re
Protocol between France and Japan and re Military
Cooperation.

"The Inquiry Committee on the exchange of the official documents regarding the conclusion of protocol between France and Japan in connection with

٧.

 common defense of French Indo-China, and military cooperation.

"On July 28th (Monday) of Showa 16 (1941) at 10 AM, the President and the Vice President of the Privy Council and the Privy Councillors assembled in the East 3rd room of the Palace, and as a bill of inquiry was handed down by His Majesty, the Emperor, at 9:40 AM of the same day, a general committee was appointed by President HARA for considering the above subjects."

I will not read the list of those present, and I will omit reading the body of the document down to the last paragraph on page 3.

(Reading): "Committee Member ISHII inquired on the validity of the preamble of the protocol in regard to the articles of Protocol No. 3; the degree the Government pressed upon French Government for concluding this protocol; and whether the Government's foreign policy hereafter would be to push to the south while defending the north, or to push to the north while defending the south."

I will omit reading the next paragraph.

"With regard to inquiry 2" -- this is near

the top of page 4 -- "With regard to inquiry 2, we

gave an intimation to the French Government in

submitting this proposal to Vice President DARLAN, through our Ambassador in Paris, that an undesirable situation was liable to develop if it failed to accept our proposal, and the Foreign Minister added that the Government had already requested the governments of Germany and Italy through our envoys to cooperate with us in realizing the conclusion of this protocol in case the French Government sought their advice in the matter."

ε

I will omit reading down to the middle of the last paragraph on page 4:

"Also, as to France, the DE GAULLE Party
in the southern part of French Indo-China still kept
up its activities in cooperation with Great Britain
and U. S. A., and we were forced to take an effective
and forcible step against them."

I will omit reading down to the middle of page 6, where I will begin with item 3:

Japan's foreign policy and the Government's declaration re the conclusion of the common defense made on the 26th ult., Foreign Minister TOYODA replied that the recent declaration made by the Government had given the impression to the third powers, especially to the U. S. A., that Japan had penetrated into

French Indo-China by force. In order to prevent
the U. S. A. from taking unjust measures, the Government wished to make it clear quickly that it /penetration into French Indo-China/ was made upon mutual
agreement, and therefore made an announcement that
an understanding had been made between the two
governments.

tween our penetration into northern French Indo-China last year and the present measures, War Minister TOJO answered that the penetration of last year was concerned with the CHIANG regime, its aim was different from that of the present measures, and although we would be made responsible for common defense with French Indo-China by the present agreement, the area of our military activities should not be restricted.

"Committeeman NARA inquired that under the arrangement made regarding the currency to be placed at the disposal of our forces as provided in the articles of exchange official note No. 1 of this proposal, would not the size and the action of our forces to be used in French Indo-China be restricted by having the expenditure limited. War Minister ToJo answered that the amount of money was estimated with an ample margin to cover the necessary expense (all accounts

were to be settled by plaster except for the pay to the soldiers, etc.) for the forces of about 40,000 which are at present planned to be sent over, and therefore there would be no fear of restruction of the size and action of our forces on account of the expense.

"Committeeman SUGAWARA inquired upon the nature of the 'NISHIHARA-MARTIN agreement' which was referred in the articles of the exchange official note No. 1 of this proposal, and whether it was not a matter to have been submitted to the Privy Council, to which Foreign Minister TOYODA and War Minister TOJO explained that the agreement referred to was a military agreement involving the Supreme Command, although it was based on the 'MATSUOKA-HENRY agreement, and therefore they did not take the step of referring it to the Privy Council. To the above Committeeman FUTAKAMI added that he understood the agreement referred to was a thoroughly international agreement concluded between the Commanders-in-Chief of the armies of both countries under the authorities granted by the 'MATSUOKA-HENRY Agreement, ' and that it was some in nature as the exchange official note of this proposal. However, the matter of affairs was so urgent that there was no time to submit

oldberg & Sprat

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it to Privy Council, as had been explained by the Premier at that time. Committeeman MINAMI suggested that the fact that such explanation had been made by the Premier at that time be clarified, but the Chairman of the Committee SUZUKI ruled that this question should be left to the investigation of some later date."

I will omit reading the remainder of the proceedings.

MR. ONETO: I now present prosecution document 1165 for introduction in evidence. It is the Minutes of the second Privy Council at the conclusion of the Protocol between Japan and Frence for a Common Defense of French Indo-China and for exchange of official documents regarding military cooperation, held on July 28, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

No. 1165 will receive exhibit No. 650.

(Whereupon, the above-mentioned document was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 650 and received in evidence.)

MR. ONETO: I wish to add to the number of those included already as having been present the accused SUZUKI and TOJO.

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit No. 650.

(Reading): "Minutes of the Privy Council

3

Meeting at the Conclusion of the Protocol Between

4 5

Japan and France for a Common Defense of French Inde-

China and for an Exchange of Official Documents

Regarding Military Cooperation."

7

I will omit the reading of the document

8

down to a point near the middle of page 3. I will

9

begin with "Reporter SUZUKI states."

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

(Reading): "Reporter SUZUKI states:

"We, the attendants today, have been

appointed the Investigation Committeemen by the

Emperor's order to discuss the conclusion of the

protocol between Japan and France for the common

defense of French Indo-China and the exchange of

official documents on military cooperation. We

have held the committee meeting immediately to

hear explanations from the ministers and other

as the subjects are very urgent.

officers concerned and we have deliberated on the

subject. I ask favour of your acknowledgment that I

cannot find any time to deliver reports for discussion

"According to the minister's explanation, the relations between Japan and French Indo-China have become gradually better since last year (1940)

and the success of the economic negotiations between Japan and French Indo-China and of the border-dispute-mediation-conference between Thailand and French Indo-China in Tokyo this spring has given impetus to the better friendship between Japan and French Indo-China. However, the tendency of dependence upon Anglo-America still remains in French Indo-China."

I will omit reading the next paragraph.

(Reading): "Both in the interior of

French Indo-China and, especially, in the southern

region there are some who do not like to cooperate

with Japan; and de Gaul and his party are trying to

collaborate with Anglo-America."

I will omit reading the next paragraph.

(Reading): "The existing political understanding between the two nations cannot be sufficient for them. The Japanese government has realized that it is very necessary for Japan to make France closely cooperate with us in the military field."

I will omit reading down to the last paragraph on page 5:

(Reading): "No. 2 The Official Document Exchanged.

"The object of this document is to conclude

an agreement concerning the measures to be taken 1 for the concerted defense of French Indo-China based on the provisions in the above mentioned Protocol with the form of reciprocating documents between the representatives of the two nations

"I. Toward the Japanese Covernment the French Government shall admit

and the following clauses decided in this document;

"A. The dispatch of a necessary force of Japanese army troops, war vessels and air forces to the southern Indo-China.

"B. The use of Siemreap and seven other places as air bases, Saigon and Camrang Bay as navel bases and to provide equipments for military purposes.

"C. The right of quartering, maneouvres end training of Japanese army, the freedom of action, and to award special conveniences for the performance of their duties.

"D. And the French Government shall offer to the said Japanese army 23,000,000 Indo-China piastre in currency for this year namely about 4,500,000 Indo-China plastre monthly, (however the Japanese Government is prepared to repay with free yen, U. S. dollar or gold).

"II. The French Government shall approve

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

the fundamental principles of the Japanese army's edvance and in order to avoid occurrences of contingent clashes between the Indo-China army shall take all effective steps.

"III. The details concerning the activities of Japanese army shall be discussed and decided between the Japanese and French authorities at the actual place.

"It seems to me, that with this matter, we aim to conclude an agreement which is necessary for the two nations in order to engage in the defense of French Indo-China in unanimous cooperation and for the self-defense of Japan, and furthermore it is indispensable for the stability of the Far Fast and co-prosperity of Japan and Frence, so the conclusion of this agreement may be said to be an opportune measure, in view of the present strained international situation. Therefore, this matter was unanimously approved to be passed without amendment by the Investigation Committee. I report the result of the investigation."

MR. ONETO: Prosecution document 1030 is now offered in evidence. This consists of the copy of the protocol between France and the Japanese Empire concerning the joint defense of French Indo-China, which was signed on July 29, 1941, together with copies of two letters dated at Vichy on July 29, 1941. The letters are not signed, nor are the names of the persons to whom they are addressed indicated. They do, however, relate to the subject of this protocol and were found in the files of the Japanese Government relating to this protocol. Judging from the context, although these letters are not signed, it is possible to state that the first letter was written by the Japanese Ambassador in Vicky, and the second was a reply to this from Admiral Darlan, then Foreign Minister in Vichy.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1030 will receive exhibit No. 651.

(Whereupon, the above-mentioned accument was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 651 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit No. 651.

(Reading): "Vichy, 29 July 1941.

"Your Excellency:

25

3

. 4

6

7

9

10

11

*12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1.

2

6

7 8

9

11

13

12

14 15

17

16

18

20

22

24

"With regard to the protocol signed today by our two governments, I beg to request Your Excellency to confirm to me the agreement of the French Government to the following proposals:

"(1) The French Government grants to Japan the right to take the following measures:

"(a) The dispatching into South French Indo-China of Japanese troops, naval craft and air forces in a number judged necessary.

"(b) Use of the following 8 places:
SIEMPREAP, PHNOMPENH, TOURANE, NHATRANG, BIENHOA,
SAIGON, SOCTRANG and KOMPONGTRACH as airbases; and
SAIGON and the Bay of CAMRANH as naval bases. The
Japanese forces shall construct necessary facilities
at the above-mentioned places.

"(c) The above-mentioned Japanese troops shall be given the right of quartering, maneuvering and drilling and the freedom of their movement shall be recognized. Similarly, they shall be given special facilities for the accomplishment of their tasks. This includes the abolition of various restrictions stipulated in the NISHUMARA-MARTIN Agreement."

I will omit reading the next paragraph. (Reading): "(2) The French Government

shall give its consent to the general principles of the entry of the above-mentioned Japanese troops and take all effective measures for avoiding the occurrence of any unexpected conflict with the Indo-Chinese troops.

"(3) The details concerning the movements of the Japanese troops shall be discussed and decided between the Japanese and French military authorities on the spot. I take this occasion to pay again my respects to your Excellency.

"Yours sincerely."

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please, I would like to ask the prosecution if this is the letter that is supposed to be signed by Darlan, and if so whether or not it has any evidence to indicate such a signature.

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, we are submitting the document to the Court just as we found it; but, in view of the fact that the text is accompanied — that they are accompanied by the text of an agreement signed by the Japanese representative and the representative of the French Government, we have reason to believe that the first letter is from the representative of the Japanese Government in Vichy, Ambassador KATO, and the second is from the representative of the French Government, Admiral Darlan.

There is no reason that when the agreement itself bears the signatures of KATO and Darlan the letters should be from other persons. I must, however, tell the Court that on this point, too, we are making further examination and that we will inform the Court of the result.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please, it is the position of the defense that the Tribunal should determine who wrote these letters and what purposes these documents have so far as evidence is concerned, and that the opinion of counsel should not be given in explanation, and we move that that opinion be stricken from the record.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, he is answering an inquiry, and the Court can accept just as much of his answer as they see fit. If any contested facts arise, if we cannot agree on this matter, then we shall have to determine it in the usual way later.

Proceed.

MR. ONETO: Subject to these conditions,

I beg the Court to allow the reading of document 1030.

THE PRESIDENT: There is another matter to which I desire to give attention almost immediately. So we will recess now until half past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

AFTERNOON SESSION

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: We will deal first with the application by the Soviet section of the prosecution to be permitted to conduct the case in the Russian language.

Mr. Chief Prosecutor.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the prosecution has already set forth in the written application filed with this Court its request for the consent of the Court to present its case in the Russian language. Additional reason was given in Chambers, in the opinion of the prosecution, of great weight in support of this request.

THE PRESIDENT: The Judges have read what was said in Chambers, Mr. Chief Prosecutor.

MR. KEENAN: . I didn't hear you, Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: I will have to shout, and I don't care to do it, because you are not using --

MR. KEENAN: If you will speak just a little lowder 1 will hear you.

24 THE PRESIDENT: I will speak as I ordinarily

25 do. The reporter might read what I said.

3

4

5

6

8

10

12

14

16

19

20

18

21

24

23

(Whereupon, the official court reporter read the President's statement, as follows: "The judges have read what was said in Chambers, Mr. Chief Prosecutor.")

MR. KEENAN: I don't think this is working, Mr. President. I hear nothing, and I am unable to discuss anything with the Court when I can't hear what is being said.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if I shout into the microphone it will have a dreadful effect.

MR. KEENAN: I didn't hear the last statement from the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't propose to repeat it, but let's get on with the application.

MR. KEENAN: The prosecution has stated all of its reasons in writing and otherwise and has no further comment to make in court at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please,
I would like to have the record show that I speak as
an individual counsel on this matter, rather than any
attempt to represent the defense group, because I
have some additional remarks to make concerning the
use of Russian language.

When the Tribunal originally decided the

French issue it declared rightly, I believe, that these proceedings should be conducted in English and Japanese. The ruling was objected to by the French prosecution, causing a reconsideration of the question to the point that further argument was allowed. After considerable deliberation, this Tribunal announced that the present phase of the case may be presented in French under conditions. The whole exporience has proven that the trial has not only been disrupted but delayed and prolonged. No precedent could have been intended in this proceeding. I believe that none was suggested in the Court's decision. In the absence of a written or announced opinion on this question, we must assume that the decision on the part of the Tribunal to overrule itself was in addition to judicial, involved political considerations. I cannot --

THE PRESIDENT: That language is offensive,
Mr. Cunningham. You cannot address the Court in those
terms and expect to be immune from action by the Court.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I merely want to call attention to a few additional observations on this matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Before you proceed further I ask you to wirhdraw that statement that the Court was influenced by political considerations. If I

3

1

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

understood you rightly you made that suggestion. You certainly used the word "political."

1

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If this statement is offensive to the Tribunal, and I had no intention of it being so, I withdraw the statement.

I might add that some of us can understand French and can follow the French discussion, but it would be very difficult for any of the attorneys, and myself particularly, to follow any discussion in hussian. The employment of the Russian language in the procedure of this trial could not have been contemplated under the record. I cannot think that the case was prepared with the thought of using a third language. Let us say that the use of Russian would impede the trial and would prevent the defendants from having a fair trial, as contemplated by the Charter. I believe the fact that the Russian Member of the Tribunal is having the proceedings translated to him during the trial is sufficient deviation from the procedure authorized by the Charter. I urge upon the Tribunal to follow the Charter in this instance and require the prosecution to conform its presentation of the case to the specific wording of it in these two languages, which not only the defendants but the Japanese counsel contemplated.

THE PRESIDENT: DR. KIYOSE.

Dh. KIYOSE: We object to the use of the Russian language for the same reason for which we objected to the use of the French language before.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If your Honor please, I just want to point out two or three things. The first is that in the application of the able Chief Prosecutor there is no indication in it that there is no one on the staff of the Russian prosecutors who cannot speak English well and I understand there is such a person.

consideration. We made it so in the French case. I may state that a majority of the Court thought that the Charter did not or does not exclude a third language. Of that majority some thought the Court had a discretion in the matter, which was to be exercised, having regard to the terms of the Charter, more particularly that provision of the Charter against delays. Others of the majority thought there was a duty to allow the language of any of the Prosecution Section to be used; that is to say, the language of any of the countries represented on this Court. I think it works out this way, that we must be satisfied that there will be no undue delay in presenting the case in

Russian, and that the case for Russia, or for the Soviet I should say, cannot be properly presented in English or Japanese. That is the question of fact facing us this afternoon, and it does not involve any political considerations. MR. LOGAN: Of course, I don't claim it does, your Honor. THE PRESIDENT: There is one thing I regret, Mr. Logan, that so far the transcript does not include the grounds of the application, or the application. It should. MR. LOGAN: I would like to read it, your Honor. "Application for consent" - -THE PRESIDENT: It would be sufficient to read the grounds, Mr. Logan. MR. LOGAN: (Reading) "This request is made because of the reasons set forth herein. 18 "(1) The opening statement and all com-19 ments on the evidence presented to the Tribunal will be read in the Russian language. They will be written 20 and translated into English and Japanese beforehand. 21 The respective texts will be served on the interpreters 22 in good time. This will insure the simultaneous trans-23

lation into English and Japanese through the IBM system.

"(2) We suggest that the documents should

25

24

6

7

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

be read in the same order." Under (2) there is a note: "The system of reading documents accepted in the French phase of the case, namely, their reading in English, with the French prosecutor making comments in the French language, should not be used during the Soviet phase because of the following considerations:

"(a) The Soviet prosecution quote lengthy documents only on rare occasions. In most cases they quote only short excerpts from these documents immediately relevant to the points in issue. If the system applied in the French phase were used in the Soviet phase too, then it would mean that the attorney presenting evidence would have to stop very frequently to give the interpreter an opportunity of reading two or three lines and then to proceed again.

"(b) Members of the Tribunal would have either
to change the channels of the IBM system, or alternately
to put on and take off the carphones, depending on
which language would be used by the person at the
lectern.

"(3) The examination of one Russian witness is proposed to be carried out in the Russian language, with subsequent translation of questions and answers simultaneously into Japanese and English.

"(4) No direct examination of Japanese witnesses is intended to take place. This will be replaced by the reading of affidavits, which will be done in the same way as the reading of documents in general. See above.

"(5) The Fresident's remarks and the objections of the defense should be translated into hussian. Accordingly, the prosecutor's reply to the objections of the defense and the prosecutor's explanations to the President's remarks would have to be translated into English and Japanese simultaneously."

this phase intends to go a good deal further than what the French phase did, particularly with respect to examination of witnesses, which would delay the trial even more than what has been done in the French phase.

when I stepped up here, your Honor, was with respect to the word "conducted" in Section 3, Article IX, subdivision b of the Charter, and I think that that word should be given its common usage, and, if I am not mistaken, I am quite sure the Court, your Honor, in introducing the subject this afternoon said, and I wrote

it down here, "we shall deal with the application of the Russian prosecution to conduct its phase in the Russian language." And if my earphones did not deceive me, I am quite sure that Mr. Keenan used the same words immediately after your Honor, and consequently I think that word "conducted" means exactly what it says; that when we are standing here conducting a case we are conducting it, and the Charter says it must be conducted in the English language and the language of the accused.

uda & Whalen

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President: The Chief Prosecutor made some statement with reference to an argument that he made this morning before the President in Chambers. While these proceedings are now a part of the record, I assume that that argument is not available where the matter is being heard by the entire Tribunal. As suggested by my colleague, Major Furness, in open court I should like to state further my slight disagreement with my colleague, Mr. Cunningham, with reference to some of the defense counsel understanding French or Russian. It hardly needs any argument to state that that is not the problem, because the posttion which we have taken, which I presented the other day, is on the basis of the Charter and the rulings of the Court, that the trial should be primarily conducted in English excepting as the Court has made a ruling in the French case.

THE PRESIDENT: The grounds of the application do not disclose why it is necessary to have these proceedings conducted in Russian. There is no suggestion that English is not available to the Russian prosecution, or Japanese.

I should like to know what the Chief Prosecutor has to say about that, if he has anything to say. MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, it is now clear that it is the view of the majority of this Court that the Charter does permit the use of languages other than English and Japanese in presenting the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: Where that is required in the interest of justice and of an expeditious trial and is not contrary to an expeditious trial, or does not preclude an expeditious trial. The interests of justice are always paramount. A fair trial must be given.

MR. KEENAN: Relying upon that interpretation, plus the fact that the powers and authority that created this Court stipulated with the Russian Government that in this trial questions could be asked, the Court could be addressed, in the Russian language as well as English and Japanese-

THE PRESIDENT: The only question is, does the Charter permit it?

MR. KEENAN: I had not completed my statement, Mr. President.

Such fact having been transmitted, such information, to the President before these hearings in Court today, the prosecution has planned this part of the presentation in the Russian language.

THE PRESIDENT: You told me in C hambers today

what you have told me now, and my answer was exactly
the same as it is now: Only the Charter is taken into
consideration in these matters, not any arrangement
between the governments. My colleagues have before
them a record of the proceedings in Chambers.

MR. KEENAN: That, Mr. President, I was bringing out as the reason why the Chief of Prosecution
consented and planned many, many weeks past to have
this case prepared for presentation in the Russian
language. Now, Mr. President, with great respect,
whether that was an error, that decision, on the part
of the Chief of Counsel -- and he believes not -- it
is a fact facing us today that we want to place before
the Court with reference to the delay in the presentation of this prosecution in the Russian language;
the permission to so do or its refusal would accelerate
or retard the speedy trial for which these accused so
earnestly and so justly plead.

any Member of the Court thinks the use of Russian would preclude a fair trial. But as regards those who think they have a discretion, well, they want to be satisfied that undue delays will not occur as the result of the use of Russian. In other words, they want to be sure they carry out the terms of the Charter.

MR. KEENAN: I think that is quite clear. It is well understood at this time. But, respectfully --3 I do not understand these lights, your Honor. They keep bobbing on and off like a storm. I would like the opportunity to finish the sentence.

This morning was the first time that any announcement was made as to the reasons for the Court's decision on the previous application, so we were not prepared to address ourselves to the precise points before we knew what they were as announced in the Court's opinion in Chambers, which has been reiterated in Court 12 today.

The suggestion was made that there might be 14 delay prejudicing the accused when there is a Russian 15 witness on the stand unless we ask him the question 16 first in English and have it translated in Russian to 17 him and then back from Russian into English, instead of asking him the question in Russian by Russian counsel in the first instance.

As to the two points, precise points raised, first, whether there is anyone in the Russian section that speaks English. The answer is yes, Dr. Golunsky speaks it very fluently. But the case itself is of such length and has been prepared in such detail that he feels that it is necessary for him to have the

13

18

19

20

22

assistance of two others -- four others of his own staff, associates, who do not speak English. He has stated to me, Mr. President, some time past that that, in his opinion, is the way in which the matter can be more specially presented to the Court than if he attempted to do it alone.

And, secondly, great preparation has been made by way of complying fully with the requirements of having the documents processed, ready for distribution, passed to opposing counsel, to the Court and to interpreters, and we feel there will be no undue delay or

no great delay in the presentation.

THE PRESIDENT: How long will it take to put the case?

MR. KEENAN: As a final word in summation of the facts, at this stage with the great amount of work that has been carried on in the fashion outlined, we would have to ask for a postponement of some considerable period of time unless we were going to go on out of order, and even then I do not believe that we will be able to have it completed within as short a period of for the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: How long do you think it will take to put the case in Russian, Mr. Chief Prosecutor?

MR. KEENAN: Past experience has taught me

25

23

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

assistance of two others -- four others of his own staff, associates, who do not speak English. He has stated to me, Mr. President, some time past that that, in his opinion, is the way in which the matter can be more specially presented to the Court than if he attempted to do it alone.

And, secondly, great preparation has been made by way of complying fully with the requirements of having the documents processed, ready for distribution, passed to opposing counsel, to the Court and to interpreters, and we feel there will be no undue delay or no great delay in the presentation.

THE PRESIDENT: How long will it take to put the case?

MR. KEENAN: As a final word in summation of the facts, at this stage with the great amount of work that has been carried on in the fashion outlined, we would have to ask for a postponement of some considerable period of time unless we were going to go on out of order, and even then I do not believe that we will be able to have it completed within as short a period of for the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: How long do you think it will take to put the case in Russian, Mr. Chief Prosecutor?

MR. KEENAN: Past experience has taught me

24

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

to be somewhat conservative in any estimate of what happens in a trial with so many counsel and so many issues coming up, but I would say from ten days to two weeks.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you know how many days would be saved if you did not use Russian?

THE KEENAN: I think there would be very little difference, in the long run, between using the English only and using the Russian as suggested with the plans that were made and the number of documents involved; very little difference.

THE PRESIDENT: Will there be many documents?

MR. KEENAN: Yes. There will be 180 documents, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to be more than a fortnight's work, but--

MR. KEENAN: That would depend, Mr. President, on the size of the documents and the discretion of the learned counsel in determining how much of it would be read. However, I think that the application which has been read discloses that there is just one Russian witness and three or four Japanese witnesses.

THE PRESIDENT: Will the Russian be a lengthy witness?

MR. KEENAN: I am informed that there will be

not very much direct examination; about an hour, is the suggestion. And it takes a wiser, more experienced man than myself to forecast the length of the crossexamination.

If there is any further information I could give the Court, I would be very glad to give it now or supplement it by memorandum or whatever way the Court would like to be informed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I would like to point out one thing with respect to what the Chief Prosecutor has just said which I think is of importance to the accused.

When the question is addressed to a witness in the Russian language, it is then translated into Japanese and English. But if the witness understands Russian, he will answer that question in Russian; therefore, he will not be answering the question which the accused understand in either English or Japanese. He will be answering the question in Russian. And with the difficulties of language and translations involved, it may make considerable difference in the testimony.

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYOSE.

DR. KIYOSE: On listening to the Chief of Counsel, I understand that in spite of the fact that

3

5

7

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

18

20

22

23

language in this case, he has already completed preparations for conducting the case in Russian. Not only this, in spite of the existence of the Charter, he has also explained that an arrangement has been made between governments whereby the use of the Russian language in asking questions would be permitted.

permission has not yet been granted to use the Russian

I was greatly relieved to hear the President reply that the Charter would be the only consideration in the minds of the Tribunal, and that agreements between governments would not enter the picture. I felt somewhat relieved. But I earnestly hope that in a court no considerations will be given to promises between governments of a political nature, and that the only consideration given will be to the interests of justice and a fair trial.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want to hear anything more along those lines. You are not helping us. Lo not repeat just what is said here from the bench.

DR. KIYOSE: I hope that by the use of English and Japanese alone a fair trial will be assured.

Wolf & Godberg

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, inasmuck as
the matter has been discussed in open court, I think
that the record should be made clear in view of the
last speaker's remarks, in this respect: That the
agreement that I referred to was made with the
Russian Government before this Court came into existence.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn to consider the matter.

(Whereupon, at 1431, a recess was taken until 1532, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal by a majority have decided to grant the application with the exception of paragraph 5, which reads: "The President's remarks and the objections of the Defense should be translated into Russian. Accordingly, the Prosecutor's replies to the objections of the Defense and the Prosecutor's explanations to the President's remarks would have to be translated into English and Japanese simultaneously." We do not permit the use of Russian in respect of those matters.

Is there anything that is not clear?
(No response)

We will adjourn now until half past nine on Monday morning. (Whereupon, at 1533 an adjournment was taken until Monday, October 7, 1946 at 0930.)