Of

TITNESSES

(none)

INDEX

Of

EXHI HI TS

Pros.	Dof.	Description		In Evidence
487		Excerpts from the In- terrogation of OSHIMA, Hiroshi, dated 4 Feb 1946 (p. 32)		6021
488		Interrogation of OSHIMA, Hiroshi, dated 5 March 1946 (pp. 235, 237 and 238)		6021
489		Momorandum of the Reichs- fuchrer, H. Himmler, regarding a conference w the Accused OSHIMA	vith	6026
490		Short excerpt from the In- terrogation of the Accus OSHIMA		6028
491		Minutes of the Privy Counc Meeting dated 22 Feb 193		6031
492		Record of the Proceedings the Privy Council Session dated 6 Nov 1937	of on	6033

Of

EXHITITS

(cont'd)

Pros. Dof.	Description For Ident. I	In E <u>vidence</u>
493	Protocol admitting to the Anti-Comintern Prot Manchukuo on 24 Feb 1939	6046
494	Protocol admitting to the Anti-Comintern Prot Spain on 27 March 1939	6046
495	Protocol for the Extension of the Period of Validity of the Agree- ment Against the Communist International	6046
496	Official Announcements concern- ing Foreign Relations, Board of Information, dated 25 Nov 1941	6047
497	Excerpts from Interrogetion of OSHIMA, Hiroshi, dated 4 Feb 1946 (pp. 43, 44 and 45)	6050
498	Telegram (Top Secret) dated Tokyo 8 Sept 1939 for the State Secretary and signed by Ott	6082
499	Book entitled "Diary of Count Giano" in 2 Volumes 6090	
499-A	Extract from exhibit 490	6091
500	Telegram from Ott relative to the ITO Commission and his trip	6093

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Pros.	Dof. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
501		Three excerpts from exhibit no. 499	t	6095
502		Telegram from Ribbentrop t the German Ambresader in Tokyo dated 26 Apr 1939		6097
503		Tolegram data 4 May 1939 the German Ambassador to Reich Minister and respe- relating to what is know the "HIRANUMA Declaration the Jananese Army's inte- of it.	the etively n as n" and	ion 6103
504		Telegram of German Ambassa for State Secretary	dor	6103
505		Memorandum re the Conferent betw on Field Harshel Go and the Duce in the pres of Count Ciano in Rome d 16 Apr 1939	ering ence	6112
506		Document signed by Weizsne dated Berlin, 18 Sept 19	cker 39	6124
507		Telegram from Ribbentrop e clusively for the Ambass personally in Tokyo date 9 Sept 1939	rdor	6126

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Fros.	Dof.		or nt.	In Evidence
508		Tolegrom from Woormann to the German Ambressedor in Tokyo dated 27 Oct 1939		6131
509		Nomerandum dated 25 Sept 1939 signed by Knoll "Conversation with the Reichs Foreign Minister"	on	6133
510		Memorandum dated 25 Sept 1939 signed by Knoll "Conversation with the Reichs Foreign Minister"	011	6133
511		Tologram signed by Ott and Standardsed to the Socy of Standardsenally dat 23 Feb 1940	nte	6140
512		Official Statement relating to the Japanese seizure of the Spratley Islands	0	6147
513		Treaty between Japan and Thei concerning continuance of f relations and mutual respectable others territorial intended 12 June 1940	riona. t for	
514		Telegrem from the German Amba signed by Ott dated 23 Marc	ssrdo h 194	r 0 6148
515		Tologram from the Garman Emba Tokyo signed by Stahmar and dated 10 May 1940	ssy i	n 6150
516		Excerpt from telegrom from the German Embessy in Tokyo to	C	6152

1	Monday, 23 September, 1946
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
5	FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal
6	War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
7.	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	
12	
13	Appearances:
14	For the Tribunal, same as before.
15	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
16	For the Defense Section, same as before.
7	
8	
9	
0	(English to Japanese and Japanese
1	to English interpretation was made by the
2	Language Section, INTE.)
3	
4	
5	

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session and ready to hear any matter brought before it.

THE FRESIDENT: Doctor UZAWA.

DOCTOR UZAWA: May I report to the Tribunal that Mr. Nishi HAHUHIKO has become chief Japanese counsel for the accused, TOGO.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

IR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal,
I offer in evidence documents 2157-B and 2156,
excerpts from the interrogation of the accused,
OSHIMA, Hiroshi, for the purpose of showing collaboration between the Japanese army and the German
army in 1938 under the Anti-Comintern Pact and OSHIMA's
connection therewith.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

2157-B will receive exhibit No. 487, and document

2156 will receive exhibit No. 488.

(Whereupon, prosecution's documents No. 487 and 488 were received in evidence.)
MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 487.

"C. You have told us about the secret pact and I think you have described it pretty well to us - that was signed between Japan and Germany at the time

the Anti-Comintern Pact was entered into. Now, I am wondering if there were any other secret understandings you have not told us about that were entered into between Japan and Germany at or about the same time.

"A. Not as treations, but on this point I would like to tell you some things and if you have any specific questions, please interject them. In 1936, as I stated, the secret mact and the Anti-Comintern Pact were consumated. Following this, while there were no secret pacts in 1937, the German Army and the Japanese Army agreed to furnish each other with intelligence about the Russian military. In this regard it was decided to intensify the use of White Russians who had already been used quite a bit and had been contacted in such spots as Warsaw and Paris. There was no written agreement about this-I believe it was in September or October 1938 - that these discussions came about. "ith the signing of the Russo-German non-aggression pact there was a temporary cessation of all such activities. These matters were not binding agreements, but more in the form of memoranda."

15

15

19

24

25

Exhibit 488. (Reading):

"Q. Now, will you tell us how this agreement

came into being? Who suggested it and who handled the negotiations for it?

been using White Russians in Warsaw to gather Russian intelligence and following the signing of the Anti-Comintern Pact the Russian Section of the General Staff in Tokyo thought that it would be a good idea to further the exchange of information in regard to the Soviet Union. I received orders from the General Staff to approach the Germans and did so. Actual exchange of information was handled by Lt. Col. USUI of my staff.

"O. After you received instructions to approach the Germans relative to this, whom did you speak to?

"A. Keitel, at that time Lieutenant General.

"Q. After the negotiations had been concluded and this agreement had been reached, what type of organization was set up to handle these activities?

"A. There were many White Russians around Berlin at the time who were willing to sell information and it was simply decided to give them money and procure this information. We were using a

Russian from the Caucasus by the name of Bamard (?) as one of the main sources of information. "Q. I take it then that these activities were conducted out of your office in Berlin? "A. Yes, except that USUI because he was doing work of this sort, while under me, operated independently. "Q. He operated under your supervision, did he not? "A. Yes. However, his job was to gather intelligence only and to communicate with the Russian Division of General Staff. Those communications would also go through you as the Military Attache? "A. They would go through my office. "Q. Did you hold any property in Germany? "A. No. "Q. Did you ever have a house or piece of real estate in Falkensee? "A. I understand now what you are talking about. In order to carry on Anti-Soviet propaganda, at one time I negotiated for the purchase of a place where this work could be carried on. This place was not in my name, I believe. However, we did have it.

"Q. While this property was not in your

25

5

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

C5.03

25

own name, did you personally own it?

2

3

10

11

1.

15

14

15

15

17

101

19

20

21

"A. As I said, it was not in my name; the money came from my office.

"Q. What type of work did you conduct from this place in Falkensee?

"A. They were printing up the propaganda at this place.

"Q. But you do know that you had a number of White Russians and they printed propaganda leaflets. Now, tell us what they did with them.

"A. These leaflets were given to Bamard (?), who by some means or other tried to get them into Russia.

"Q. General, do you know anything about a Japanese officer that had worked in Afghanistan?

"A. Yes.

"Q. He was expelled because of suspicion that he had attempted to overthrow the Afghanistan Government, wasn't he?

"A. I believe the Japanese officer became friendly with an Afghanistan 'garrison commander' and suggested to him that they carry on Anti-Russian activities. This man evidently passed on this word to the Afghanistan Government and suggested that they get rid of the Japanese officer before they were suspected of doing such things.

"0. That man was working under your direction or out of your office in Berlin, was he not? No. I had no connection with him. But you intended to send someone there "Q. to take his place after he was expelled, did you not? "A. No." I now offer in evidence prosecution document 533, a memorandum of The Reichsfuehrer, H. Himmler, regarding a conference with the accused OSHIMA. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted as before. 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 11 No. 533 will receive exhibit No. 489. 12 ("hereupon, prosecution's exhibit No. 533 was received in evidence.) 13 MR. TAVENNER: I will read it. 14 "Today I visited General OSHIMA. The con-15 versation ranged over the following subjects: 16 "1) The FUEHRER's speech, which pleased 17 him very much, especially because it had been 18 spiritually warranted in all its features. 19 "2) We discussed the conclusion of the 20 treaty, by means of which the triangle Germany-21 Italy-Japan would assume an even firmer shape. He 22 also told me that together with German counter-25 espionage (ABWEHR) he was undertaking long range work of disintegration in Russia, emanating from the 24 CAUCASUS and the UKRAINE. However, this organization 25

was only to become effective in the event of war.

"3) Furthermore, up to now he had succeeded in sending ten Russians with bombs across the Caucasian frontier. These Russians had the order to kill STALIN. A number of additional Russians, whom he had also sent across, had been shot at the frontier.

"4) We then discussed the Mohammedan movement. He said that a Japanese officer had worked in AFGHANISTAN but then had been expelled because he was suspected of wanting to overthrow the Afghan government. I told him that I had a police officer there, and that the two could work together very well once he again had a man there.

"5) He told me confidentially that he had bought a piece of real estate at FALKENSEE in the name of a middleman. Six Russians were employed there writing and painting pamphlets which were then conveyed from Peland into Russia by means of small balloons when the wind was favorable. He had received news and proof from Russia that they had arrived well and obviously distributed among the people diligently.

"6) He had also bought a motor boat in order to take leaflets from Rumania to the Crimea via the Black Sea. However, this had met with no success in autumn; but he would repeat it during the

25

1

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 summer. "Berlin 31 January 1939. 2 "THE REICHSFUEHRER SS: "/s/ H. HIMMLER." The next subdivision of this phase of the 5 case relating to the Anti-Comintern Pact is the 6 extension of the Anti-Comintern Pact. I offer in 7 evidence prosecution document 2157, a short excerpt 8 from the interrogation of the accused OSHIMA. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted as before. 10 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 11 No. 2157 will receive exhibit No. 490. 12 (Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit 13 No. 490 was received in evidence.) 14 MR. TAVENNER: (Reading): 15 "Q. Do you know the circumstances in-16 cident to Italy becoming a party to the Anti-Comintern 17 Fact. By that I want to know who suggested it and to 18 whom? 19 "A. I am not sure of what the circumstances 20 were, but I believe that there were talks between 21 Italy and Germany which brought it about. 22 "By this time it had gone out of my hands 23 and I can only say that I recollect that there was 24 talk from the German side of recruiting Italy in 25

ž.

the pact."

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I am SHIMANOUCHI, counsel for the accused OSHIMA.

By this document the accused OSHIMA says
that he is not familiar with the entry of Italy into
the Anti-Comintern Pact. The only statement of fact
in this document is that at the end of the interrogatory in which the accused says, with respect to
Italy's participation in the Anti-Comintern Pact,
that "By this time it had gone out of my hands and
I can only say that I recollect that there was talk
from the German side of recruiting Italy in the pact."
By this it is not known from what German source this
particular proposal was made, whether it was made
to the Foreign Minister of Japan, to the Japanese
Ambassador in Berlin, or to OSHIMA as Military
Attache of the Japanese Embassy in Berlin.

THE PRESIDENT: You are not entitled at this stage to comment on the document in that way. You may take an objection to the evidence or you may point out an error, but it is not yet your turn to comment on the effect of the prosecution's evidence. I am unable to hear you further.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I understand.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: A copy of prosecution document

1097 is now tendered in evidence. It is a record of the minutes of the Privy Council meeting of 22 February 1939 and is presented for the purpose of showing a quantitative and qualitative strengthening of the Anti-Comintern Pact. This document was processed for introduction in the Manchurian phase of the case and the copies in English and Japanese were served upon the accused or their counsel as provided by the rules of the Tribunal. The original document, if returned to the document section, cannot be found although a diligent search has been made. In the light of this situation and under what is commonly called the "best evidence rule," it is requested that the Tribunal permit the introduction of a processed copy in lieu of the original which has apparently been lost.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you a certificate from a person who sighted the original and can say what it contained?

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

24

25

IR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal,
I have a certificate from Mr. Danly of the fact of
its loss. I have a certificate of the translator
who translated the original document. I have also
a certificate of the person who handled the processing
of that original document, all three of which set
forth the facts that I have stated.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

Was this document ever in evidence before us?

MR. TAVENNER: No, sir. It was distributed for the purpose of introduction in evidence but was not used at that time.

. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1097 will receive exhibit No. 491.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit No. 491 was received in evidence.)

MR. USAMI: I am counsel for the accused HIRANUMA. I should like to inquire of the prosecution whether it intends to present the entire minutes or only a part of those minutes of the present document?

MR. TAVENNER: The entire document is being presented in evidence, from which I am reading only excerpts.

MR. USAMI: I should like to say, sir, that if the document has been lost and the prosecution intends to tender this in evidence, it appears to me that when the defense prepares its case and should present this document, it would not have anything to introduce out of this document; and if this part is introduced by the prosecution the defense will have no place -- will have nothing to introduce or read as evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: The defense will be at

liberty to make use of the document already tendered. If by some good fortune they get the original, they may tender the original and will be expected to do so. MR. USAMI: Thank you, sir.

Goldberg & Barton

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

24

1.5

MR. TAVENNER: Before reading this document in evidence, I desire to introduce another document which I, through error, omitted to introduce. I present prosecution's document 870 in evidence. It is a record of the proceedings of the Privy Council Session.

THE PRESIDENT. On the "Conclusion of the Protocol between Japan, Italy and Germany", is that it?

MR. TAVENNER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 870 will receive exhibit No. 492.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit
No. 492 was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read the caption, a paragraph from page 3, pages 3 and 4, and the last paragraph in the document.

THE PRESIDENT: You are now reading, I take it, from exhibit No. 491.

MR. TAVENNER: 492.

THE PRESIDENT: 492.

MR. TAVELNER: I will read from prosecution's document 870, which is exhibit 492.

(Reading): "RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE

PRIVY COUNCIL SESSION.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

15

19

21

22

23

24

"Subject: Conclusion of the Protocol between Japan, Italy and Germany.

"The members who were present:

"HIRANUMA, President.

"HIROTA, Foreign Minister.

"KAYA, Finance Minister."

I will now read a paragraph on page 3 of that document.

(Reading): "But immediately after the conclusion of Japan-Germany Treaty, the Italian Government brought forward to the Japanese Government to the effect she too hoped to conclude similar treaty between Japan and Italy. The formation of such treaty between Japan, Germany and Italy was as anticipated under Article 2 of the above-mentioned Japan-Germany Treaty and the Imperial Government, thinking that it would not only be advantageous to strengthen the preventive measures against Communist International but also would bring about considerable spiritual results on politics due to the promotion of good-will between the three nations and hence and in accordance with the significance of the Article 2 of the aforesaid Japan-Germany Treaty, the authorities of three nations repeated the negotiations and came to the understanding to let Italy join the said Treaty and it was agreed that in matter of form the new Treaty between Japan, Germany and Italy be concluded separately from the already concluded Japan-Germany Treaty. In such a way we came to see the definite formation of this Protocol. Therefore, should Italy recede from the Protocol, the Japan-Germany Treaty would not be affected whatsoever."

I will now read a paragraph on page 4 of this document.

(Reading): "It appears to me that the conclusion of this new treaty between Japan, Germany and Italy would not only contribute to the strengthening of the joint preventive measures against the destructive operations of Communist International but would also give birth to some spiritual effects which would contribute to the international politics by cooperation of three nations. Therefore, when the conclusion of this Treaty was viewed in the light of the present situation, it could be said as the most timely measure and its provisions too may be deemed as having no grounds for objection and it is my earnest desire that by conclusion of this Treaty the maintaining of order in countries concerned would be carried out to our satisfaction by exchanging information on activities

•

of Communist International and by taking other appropriate measures. Thus I end my report on investigation done on the subject."

The concluding paragraph is merely a statement by President HIRANUMA showing the adoption or approval of the protocol.

The Tribunal's attention is called to prosecution's document 921.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

1

1.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

PR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I would like to call your attention to a document, 870, prosecution's exhibit 492 which was just read. On page 2, among the members who are absent at that meeting was Marquis KIDO.

MR. TAVENNER: The Tribunal's attention is called to prosecution's document 921, in evidence as exhibit No. 36. It is the protocol admitting Italy to the Anti-Comintern Pact. As the material provisions are virtually the same as the original Anti-Comintern Pact, I will not consume the Tribunal's time in reading it.

THE PRESIDENT: You might quote the date also. Quote the date also for the purposes of the record.

MR. TAVENNER: The date of the protocol is 6 November 1937.

Mr. McKinney will now read in evidence pros-2 euction's document 1097 which was introduced as 3 exhibit 491. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McKinney. 5 MR. McKINNEY: (Reading): "MINUTES OF THE 6 PRIVY COUNCIL MEETING. "Protocols regarding the participation of 8 Hungary and Manchuria in Anti-Comintern Pact. 9 "Opened at 10:00 AM on Feb. 22, 1939 in the 10 presence of H. M. the Emperor. 11 "Attended by: 12 "Ministers of State: . 13 "HIRANUMA, Premier 14 "ITAGAKI, War Minister. 15 "No. 26 (IZHIZUKA) I heartily endorse this 16 bill --" 17 THE PRESIDENT: "here have you jumped to now, 18 what page? 19 MR. McKINNEY: "No. 26" in the middle of 20 the page on 4. 21 (Reading): "I heartily endorse this bill, but 22 I wish to hear some explanation of the government 23 regarding this plan. I think it is opportune that 24 we have Manchukuo and Hungary at this time, and the 25 other countries in future, take part in this pact and

anti-Comintern measures through close cooperation with these countries. But do you have any intentions to strengthen qualitatively the substance of this agreement, besides increasing the number of particinating countries in this anti-Comintern pact? Next, here is a secret pact annexed to the anti-Comintern pact concluded between Japan and Germany. Italy did not take part in this secret pact but now that the interrational situation has changed since then, has Japan urged Italy to take part in this secret pact or has Italy expressed her desire to take part in it? Again, is there no need to consider the conclusion of a secret pact with Eungery and Manchukuo, which borders and is contiguous to the Soviet Union?"

I now read "No. 12" which is on page 6 of the document.

(Reading): "No. 12 (ARITA): The first point of your interpretation refers to an item concerning the measures for strengthening the anti-Comintern Pact. One of the methods consists in increasing the number of the participating countries. Manchukuo and hungary are now to participate in the Pact, and shortly some other third power in Europe may also join it.

*

"A second method consists in strengthening the substance of the anti-Comintern Pact. In order to cope with the attitude of the Comintern and of the Soviet Russia, which is at its back, there are not only the mere exchange of information but also means of cooperation in economic and financial relations. Since the government has already made a study and almost decided on its policy regarding these matters, the government expects to shortly begin negotiations with the countries concerned.

"Your second question is whether or not Japan has asked Italy to participate in the Secret Pact which exists between Japan and Germany, or whether or not Italy has expressed the desire to participate in it. For the present the government is only considering the above-mentioned strengthening of the substance of the Pact. Neither have we asked Italy to participate in the Secret Pact nor has Italy expressed the desire to participate in it.

"You further asked if it is not necessary to conclude secret pacts with Manchukuo and Hungary. The qualitative strengthening of the anti-Comintern Pact can hardly be expected merely from the increase in the number of the participating countries. Since it depends on the close mutual collaboration among the

real strength, I consider there is no need to think about it with respect to the above-mentioned two countries."

THE PRESIDENT: "ell, that is on page 5 and not on page 6.

MR. McKINNEY: The next I will read will be at the bottom of page 5.

(Reading): "No. 26 (ISHIZUKA).

"I now understand it generally. The government is now considering to strengthen the substance
of the anti-Comintern Pact. In view of the fact
that the Soviet Union aid to Chiang Kai-shek has lately
become more and more blunt, I hope this would be
carried out speedily and endorse this bill."

I will next read "No. 22" which is at the top of the page 6.

(Reading): "The participation of Manchukuo and Hungary in the anti-Comintern Pact strengthens quantitively and I too am in favor of it. May I ask whether Italy, when she joined the pact between Japan and Germany, did not join the secret pact annexed to that pact because she did not know the existence of the secret pact or because she did not want to take part in it although she knew of its existence?

15.

"Since it is a very important matter to strengthen qualitatively the anti-Comintern Pact I cannot express at once pro or con on this matter. However, if the government is to take this into consideration and commence negotiations with the countries concerned in the near future, how about taking this opportunity to have Hungary and Manchukuo, which occupy important positions vis-a-vis the Soviet Union, participate in it? May I ask whether only Japan and Germany are to consider the matter of qualitatively strengthening the Pact?

"Next, according to this bill, Manchukuo and Hungary are to conclude a pact with Japan, Italy and Germany each, but it appears that there can be no close relations between Manchukuo and Hungary because there is no direct agreement between them. In the report of the Judging Committee, it is stated that, 'according to the explanation of the Foreign Ministry authorities, Manchukuo and Hungary will take part in the already existing Anti-Comintern Pact among Japan, Italy and Germany through separate arrangements, as a matter of form, but in consequence of it these five countries will form a single body in respect to that Pact.' How can it be so? Or is it intended to have a separate arrangement made between Manchukuo and Hungary?

Please clarify. "No. 12 (ARITA) 2 "The first point of your questions is whether 3 Italy when she joined the Pact between Japan and Germany, did not join the secret pact annexed to the Pact because she did not know of the existence of the Pact or because she did not desire to join although she knew of its existence. Since I was not a state minister then I do not know the details of it but I hear that Italy did not join the secret pact because 10 she did not know of its existence. If there is any 11 mistake on this point I shall correct it later. 12 13 "Regarding your second question which concerns the substance of the anti-Comintern Pact, its 14 strengthening will be planned among Japan, Italy 15 and Germany. We have no thought of including Manchukuo, Hungary and any other countries which may join in the 17 18 future. 19 "The third point of your questions is whether 20 it is not true that, whereas it is very important for 21 the signatory countries to maintain mutually close 22 relations among themselves in order to attain the 23 anti-Comintern aim, Manchukuo and Hungary cannot maintain 24 close relations between themselves because they have concluded no direct agreement with each other. I

believe close relations will be maintained between 1 Manchukuo and Hungary because, as the result of the participation of these two countries in the Japan-German Pact and the protocol attached thereto, and also the anti-Comintern Pact consisting of the protocol among Japan, Germany and Italy, to which Italy joined as an original signatory power, the five 7 countries have been bound together by the Anti-8 Comintern Pact. 9 "President (KONOE) 10 "Since there is no other speaker we shall omit 11 the second reading and immediately take a vote. 12 13 Those persons in favor of this bill please rise. "(All rise) 14 "President (KONOE) 15 "The bill has been passed unanimously. The 16 17 meeting is adjourned. "The Emperor retired into an inner chamber. 18 "(Adjourned 10:41 AM) 19 20 "President Prince KONOE, Fumimaro 21 "Chief Sccretary MURAKAMI, Kyoichi 22 "Secretary HORIE, Suewo 23 TAKATSUJI, Musami "Secretary THE PRESIDENT: I take it the Court Reporters 24 25 are going to copy from the exhibit itself because the

exhibit so far as read has not been correctly read. The last part of that statement was made by ARITA.

Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I call your attention to the document 1097, exhibit 491 just read, on page 1 among the absent members was the accused KIDO.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no need to point out that, Mr. Logen. We will have it well in mind, and you will emphasize it again, no doubt.

MR. McKINNEY: I refer to prosecution's document 870, previously introduced into evidence as exhibit 492, from which I will read an excerpt not read at the time of its introduction.

The portion I will read appears on page 5 of the document.

(Reading): "Councillor SHIMIZU: I would like to know the reasons why Manchukuo could not be allowed to participate in this Anti-Comintern Pact.

"Foreign Minister HIROTA: I think that as
Manchukuo's diplomacy is carried out with Japan as
its center, there is no necessity of letting Manchukuo
participate directly in the agreement. It is true
that Manchukuo is an independent state, but it will
require adequate consideration to let her act

internationally. However, as a matter of fact, the application of this Treaty on Japan would naturally result in extending to Manchukuo."

1

3

4

10

11

12

13

15

11

10

21

25

24

25

To offer in evidence prosecution's document 885 and 1452 which respectively contain the protocols admitting to the Anti-Comintern Pact Manchukuo on 24th of February, 1939, and Spain on the 27th of March, 1939. As the material provisions are virtually the same as the original Anti-Comintern Pact, I will not consume the Tribunal's time in reading them.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 885 will receive exhibit No. 493, and document 1452 will receive exhibit No. 494.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please, I have in mind --

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUMNINGHAM: I had in mind objecting to this last document on the grounds that it is an agreement between nations and not between individuals and is, therefore, incompetent and irrelevant and immaterial as regards any criminal issues involving the defendants in this particular case.

THE PRESIDENT: The question of individual responsibility for acts of state can be determined

later. The objection is overruled.

("hereupon, prosecution's exhibits
Nos. 493 and 494 were received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McKinney.

MR. McKINNEY: I offer in evidence prosecution's document 4017A which relates to the renewal of the Anti-Comintern Pact on the 25th of November, 1941. It contains a provision for the admission of new participants. As the other provisions are essentially the same as the original pact, I will not read them.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4017A will receive exhibit No. 495.

("hereupon, prosecution's exhibit

No. 495 was received in evidence.)

MR. McKINNEY: Prosecution's document 1204C is presented for introduction into evidence. It is an official announcement of the Board of Information concerning the new participants in the Anti-Comintern Pact and is as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1204C will receive exhibit No. 496.

("hereupon, prosecution's exhibit

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

10

11

12

13

1.1

18.

15

No. 496 was received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please, may I have the objection entered on the record to all of these documents pertaining to the agreements between nations so that I will not have to make individual objections to each document?

THE PROSIDENT: Under a general ruling made in your absence, Mr. Cunningham, you are fully protected. There is no need to object to each document. It is understood that you will object to all of these documents in a similar category.

Mr. McKinney.

Mr. McKinney (Reading):

"OFFICIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS CONCERNING FORLIGN

RELATIONS

"Sixteenth Year of Shows (1941)

"Board of Information

"LXXXVIII, ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE BOARD OF INFORMATION CONCERNING THE NEW PARTICIPANTS IN THE ANTI-COMINTERN PACT.

"November 25, 1941.

24

23

MR. McKINNEY: (Reading)

"In accordance with the procedure for adherence, newly provided in Article 2 of the Protocol concerning the extension of validity of the Anit-Comintern Pact, which was signed and sealed by the Plenipotentiaries of the six countries, namely, Japan, Germany, Italy, Manchoukuo, Hungary and Spain, on the 25th of November, 1941; seven countries, namely, China, Rumania, Bulgaria, Finland, Slovakia, Croatia and Denmark, have joined the Pact under today's date (November 25)."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: The Tribunal's attention is directed to the fact that on 25 November 1941, the date of the renewal of the Anti-Commintern Pact, the accused hereinafter mentioned held certain offices and positions of importance, as shown from the personnel records heretofore introduced in evidence:

HOSHINO, Naoki, Chief Secretary of the Cabinet and Councillor of the Planning Board.

KAYA, Okinori, Finance Minister.

KIMURA, Heitaro, Vice President of War and Councillor of the Planning Board.

OSHIMA, Hiroshi, Ambassador to Germany. SHIRATORI, Toshio, Adviser to the Foreign Minister.

25

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 SHIMADA, Shigetaro, Minister of the Navy. 2 SUZUKI, Teiichi, State Minister and Chief Director of the Cabinet Planning Board. 3 4 TOGO, Shigenori, dual posts of Foreign 5 Minister and Minister of Overseas Affairs. 6 TOJO, Hideki, concurrently the posts of Prime 7 Minister, War Minister, and Home Minister. 8 The next division of our subject is the Tri-9 Partite Pact, "The subdivision of this heading en-10 titled "Negotiations for a Tri-Partite Military Alli-11 ance" will be presented by Mr. G. Osmond Hyde. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Smith. 13 MR. SMITH: If your Honor please, we move to 14 strike out all the evidence relating to the Anti-15 Commintern Pact and the extensions of it on the ground 16 it has no probative value and has no tendency to show 17 a War of /ggression. 18 THE PRESIDENT: It is received for whatever probative value it has. You may be able to establish, 19 in due course, that it has no probative value, Mr. 20 Smith, but we cannot determine piecemeal, that is, 21 from day to day, what is the probative value of any 22 piece of evidence. So the motion is refused. 23

MR. HYDE: I offer in evidence prosecution's

document 2156D, excerpts from the interrogation of

24

OSHIMA. It is an account by OSHIMA of negotiations 1 for & Tri-Partite military alliance beginning in 3 January, 1938, about the time Germany considered it necessary to re-orient her views toward Japanese-5 German relations as shown in an earlier part of this 6 phase of the case. 7 THE PRISIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 8 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2156 D will receive exhibit No. 497. 9 10 (Whereupon, the document above 11 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 12 No. 497, and received in evidence.) 13 MR. HYDE: I will now read the exhibit just 14 mentioned. (Reading) 15 "Interrogation of OSHIMA, Hiroshi, 4 February 16 1946, pages 43, 44, and 45: "Q You may now proceed with your story regarding 17 18 The Tri-Party Pact. 19 "A I shall give you the rough overall picture of 20 how this pact came about. Later, if you wish to ques-21 tion me on specific details, please do so. To start 22 with, I would like to tell you that, as you know, in 23 July 1937, the China incident started. Following this, 24 at one time, you may not be aware, that Japan attempted 25 to use Germany in order to bring this incident to a

close. At the time I received orders from the General Staff in Tokyo to try and approach German military leaders and have them in turn approach the German military advisors of Chiang Kai-shek with a view to bringing to a close an incident which was rapidly taking on gargantuan proportions and leading only to a stale-mate. As an initial step, I wish to relate how, I believe it was in January 1938, although I am not certain of the date -- only that it was early in January, I called upon Ribbentrop at his villa in Sonnenburg, to pay my New Year's respects, and at the time he asked me if there was not some way in which Germany and Japan might be brought closer together by means of a treaty or otherwise. I recall that Ribbentrop spent his Christmas Holidays at his villa and my recollection is that I called upon him around the New Year, and I recall passing on the general story of this meeting to Ceneral Staff Headquarters in Tokyo. In the same year in June I received a communication from the Division concerned, SHUNINBU, of the General Staff Headquarters, informing me that only in so far as the Division was concerned and not speaking for the General Staff as a whole they approved the furtherance of German-Japanese cooperation. Although I do not remember the details of this communication, I remember that the main

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

point was that in this cooperative movement the thing to be kept uppermost in mind should be an agreement to act in accord in dealing with Soviet Russia. At 3 the beginning of July sometime Ribbentrop was prepar-4 5 ing to go to Sonnenburg again for the summer and before he left I saw him at his request and we talked over 7 various matters. It this time without referring to the matter of the communication from Japan -- which had come by courier -- I asked him what he thought of an 9 agreement of some sort promising to consult with each 10 other before any action was taken in case of an attack 12 by the U.S.S.R. Ribbentrop asked me to let him have time to think it over -- and we parted for the moment. 14 As the next step in these talks I recall that a few 15 days later Ribbentrop returned from Sonnenburg for the express purrose of talking to me. To the best of my 17 knowledge the following is more or less what he said 18 on this occasion: (1) that what he said was not based on talks with Hitler or any other official, and (2) 20 that he felt that Germany would not particularly approve or like a treaty agreeing to consult only, as this 22 was somewhat one-sided and not strong enough, and (3) 23 that he suggested a mutual aid treaty instead aimed 24 not only at the U.S.S.R., but all countries. In other 25 words, what he meant was that a German-Japanese pact

would, if strong enough, hope to preserve world peace. Germany was particularly fond of statements of this sort at the time.

"Q At this time in what capacity was Ribbentrop in the German Government -- the Foreign Minister or an official in the office of the Foreign Minister?

"A He was Foreign Minister at the time and had been for several months. I told Ribbentrop that I thought it would be extremely difficult for Japan to agree to expand her objectives to a place where she would agree to a mutual aid pact aimed at the world in general, as she was only prepared to act against Russia. Ribbentrop in return stated that he did not wish Japan to do enything that was outside her power to do, but that a strong pact was vitally necessary for the preservation of peace and hence urged me further upon this point. Ribbentrop then asked me to find out how the Japanese Army would feel about such a treaty, and secondly, requested me to maintain absolute security -- in this regard there had been some leaks in the previous negotiations leading up to the Anti-Comintern Pact -- and to not communicate by wire or wireless with Japan, but to send someone back. Because of this I communicated with the General Staff, simply to get its O.K., and having received it sometime around the

4

1

2

6

8

7

9

11 12

13

14

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

24

end of July, I dispatched Major General KASAHARA to Japan by air. This was not all decided at one meeting. It actually was during two or three meetings. "Interrogation off 6 February 1946, pages 26, and 47." THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes. ('hereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

W h a e 8 D u

13

14

15

21

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Hyde.

MR. HYDE: (Reading)

"Q General, you may proceed with the recital of the story of the events relative to the Tri-Party Pact.

"A I shall give you a general picture of the events leading up to the Tri-Party Pact, and if you have any questions during my recital, or afterwards, please interject.

"Q General, do you remember the point at which you left off when we met last time?

"A Yes.

"Q You may proceed from that point.

"A Major General KASAHARA arrived back in Japan in August 1938, after having flown as far as Singapore and proceeded from there by ship. Upon his return he 18 spoke to the General Staff, who in turn spoke of the 19 matter to the then Foreign Minister, UGAKI. The Foreign Minister in turn discussed the matter with the five Cabinet members committee. This was a committee among the Cabinet ministers which discussed particularly important matters. A telegram was then received by me 24 from the General Staff stating that they were more or less in accord and that the five-man committee was also

agreeable to the suggestion.

"Q General, can you tell us what ministers these five were?

"A This is simply from my memory and I am not absolutely certain, but I think the five-man committee consisted of the Prime Minister, KONOYE; the Foreign Minister, UGAKI; the Finance Minister, IKEDA; the War Minister, ITAGAKI; and the Navy Minister, YONAI.

"A My reason for sending KASAHARA to Japan was simply to get the views of the Army, but as I discovered later the Army at this time was particularly desirous of bringing to a close the China incident and they felt that this would be a step in that direction so that they took it upon themselves to speak to the Foreign Minister, who in turn spoke to the committee of five.

"(And on page 49):

"Q If I understood you correctly, General, the reply that you received from Tokyo came to you from the Chief of Staff:

"A It always comes from the Chief of Staff.

"Q You may continue.

while there were other telegrams and communications in general, what they said was that they would be willing to conclude a pact in which mutual aid was promised in case one of the signatories was a victim

2

24

3

4

0

11

12

15

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of unprovoked aggression. However, Japan wished to have the pact aimed at Russia primarily, and all other countries would be secondary to this.

"(And on pages 50, 51, 52, and 53):

"A I began my official duties as Ambassador about the end of October 1938. Following discussions with RIBBENTROP and GAUS, the head of the Legal Department of the German Foreign Office, and having decided upon the general outline of the treaty I sent an official communication to the Japanese Foreign Office giving in it the aforementioned outline.

"Q General, according to my information you were named Ambassador on 8 October 1938.

"A Yes.

"Q And you assumed your duties immediately?

"A I stated I began my official duties towards the end of October because it is Japan's protocol not to take up the official duties until the former Ambassador leaves for his next post.

"Q General, I suppose at the time you were named Ambassador the negotiations then with respect to this proposed treaty that had theretofore been carried on through you as Military Attache had now reached the stage where the Foreign Office in Tokyo was carrying on the negotiations through and with you in your capacity

as Ambassador?

"A Yes, as soon as I became Ambassador.

"Q You may continue.

"A The first enswer from the Foreign Office stated that the Foreign Office and the Government were in agreement regarding a treaty of this sort which would help to conclude the China incident and (1) to clarify the Russian situation so that troops could be deployed elsewhere, (2) to strengthen Japan's international position, and (3) to receive technological and economic aid from Germany. However, regarding the actual wording of the treaty they stated that they were in process of studying it. That was the first answer.

"Q What did the deployment of troops elsewhere have to do with the concluding of the China incident?

"A If the problems along the Siberian border were settled, then those troops, if necessary, could be used elsewhere.

"Q By that time you mean this, General, that troops that had theretofore been deployed along the Russian border could now be withdrawn from that border and used against China?

"A In case of necessity, yes. Essentially, Japan wished to kill three birds with one stone.

"Q Continue.

"A In general, now, to go back over what I have said and to put it into a nutshell KASAHARA, upon his return from Japan, had told me of the view of the Government as he knew them -- this was, however, still unofficial because I had not yet started negotiations as Ambassador. Now, following my talks with KASAHARA and RIBBENTROP I sent the aforementioned plan to Japan officially and received a telegram in return. The gist of this communication was that the plan was agreeable, but that they were in the process of studying the ramifications thereof and that they would answer as quickly as possible.

"Q The views of the Government then are the views that you have just been talking about?

"A That is correct. I waited for the answer which aid not come for a long time so that finally in December I sent another communication to the Foreign Office, asking why I did not receive an answer. In return I received a communication to the effect that the most pressing problem was on the matter of naming Russia as the most important concern or subject and other nations as secondary in importance. In this regard the Foreign Office expressed concern that arguments might occur with Germany and they wished to clarify this point fully before proceeding any further, so that they stated they

would send a man from the Foreign Office, ITO, Jusshi, who had the rank of a Minister, one man from the General Staff, Lt. Col. TATSUMI, and one man from the Navy General Staff, Captain ABE (he is in Sweden now as a Vice Admiral). I was instructed to talk with them.

These men arrived in Berlin in the beginning of February 1939. To go back a bit, although this does not concern Japan particularly, I know that around September 1938, after having received the unofficial O.K. from Japan, Germany approached Italy to join in the pact. Italy's answer was that while she liked the idea she did not know whether the time was ripe and requested time to think it over.

"Q Who was the Ambassador to Italy from Japan at that time?

"A There was only a charge d'affaires at that time.

"Q Continue.

"A Germany received no answer from Italy on this proposal so that they asked me to urge Japan to approach Italy with the same proposition and requested me to go to Italy as the Japanese had only a charge d'affaires at that time. I dispatched a communication to the Foreign Office requesting permission to do this and received in return an O.K. (the Foreign Minister at this time was definitely ARITA). Upon receipt of this

permission around the miccle of December 1938, I proceeded to Rome and there met MUSSOLINI, getting from him the same answer that Germany had earlier received. However, very early in January 1939, I heard from the German Government (RIBBENTROP) that Italy had communicated with them to the effect that she was ready to join in at any time. . .

"Interrogation of 7 February 1946, pages 54 to 59:

"Q General, you may continue with your recital of your story of the Tri-Party Pact from the point where you left off when we adjourned yesterday.

"A In regard to what I spoke to you about yester-day, I would like to have you read back to me the portion of the transcript following my dispatching the first communication to Japan as Ambassador.

"Q The reporter will read that portion of the transcript.

"(The same was read by Miss Brunner.)

"A The first telegram was sent to Japan after I took office as Ambassador. While I am not certain what its date was, I believe it was in the beginning of November 1938. The answer did not arrive and I sent another one as I told you. Finally, after I sent the second telegram in December 1938, I received the answer

which in general stated that because differences might arise between Japan and Germany upon the question of naming Russia as the principal concern and the other nations as secondary, in order to clarify this they would send a Commission from Tokyo. The Germans spoke to me in December 1938, regarding their wish. They had approached the Italians also. My communication to the Foreign Office requesting permission to proceed on this mission was sent in December 1938, and the answer was received from Foreign Minister ARITA in the same month.

"Q You may continue, General.

2.5

"A The ITO mission first went to Italy, as it was on their road, and after staying there for two or three days proceeded to Berlin, arriving around the beginning of February 1939. A few days after this Ambassador ShIRATORI also came to Berlin from Italy. ITO had with him the Government's plan and wishes on this proposed treaty. Now, this is a very important point and while I do not remember all the details, I wish to outline the principal wish of the Japanese Government as brought by ITO. That was that, while Russia would be the prime object of this pact the other countries, while remaining secondary, would enter into the matter only if they had gone Communistic. In other words, for example, if Japan were to go to war with England, say, this pact would become effective only if England had also gone Communistic.

"Q General, will you clarify what you mean by other countries - do you mean any other country?

"A I say other countries, because before this pact was drawn up fully in regard to specific nations other than Russia, negotiations ceased. To explain more fully, while there was the intention to go into the matter of specific nations fully at a later date, before we got around to it negotiations were broken off.

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"Q Actually, Germany had in mind the entire world, did they not?

"A Yes, that is true, although Germany also agreed to delete the Americans in the first statement in the preamble.

"Q But when the conversations took place between you as representative of the Japanese Government and the German representatives in the very beginning the conversation then pointed to a pact that would be against the entire world, and subsequently these deletions of nations were made?

Another point was that if Japan were to accept the treaty as proposed by Germany the aid proviso would not work to Japan's advantage because, for example, in case of a U.S.-Japan war, what particular aid could Germany give? Whereas, in case of a German-United States war, as another example, Japan would have to throw in her whole might in the Pacific. These are, of course, only examples that I am giving, and not to be construed as having come from the Japanese Government. Both SHIRATORI and I said that we well understood this feeling upon the part of Japan, but we felt that there would be a danger of runturing negotiations if at this point Japan were to bring up the matter of it being

against nations that had gone Communistic only. all, up to now, there had been no talk such as this. This matter and my thoughts as given above were communicated to the Foreign Office in a dispatch during February 1939.

"Q Was that dispatch sent while the ITO Commission was still in Germany or Italy?

"A Yes, while they were in Berlin.

"Q You may continue.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

24

25

"A The answer to this communication arrived around the end of February or the beginning of March, and was roughly as follows: the matter of Russia remained the same; however, in regard to the other countries they would go further than simply stating that the pact would only be aimed at nations embracing 16 Communism, but this aid to be given would consist of military advice - by this I mean the trading of intelligence, the temporary leasing of bases if necessary, export of fuel oil and other commodities, and in general all aid outside actual participation. In other words, this was something like the aid that the United States later gave to England before she actually became involved in the war in 1941.

"Q Continue.

"A This answer was passed to Ribbentrop and the

German Government, and I imagine by SHIRATORI to the Italian Government. To digress a moment - in the future, unless Italy looms in the picture as an important figure, I shall confine my narration to Germany. I believe that I was in error when I said the answer came around the end of February - I believe it was the end of March. In any case, Germany through Ribbentrop told me that there was no argument in so far as Russia was concerned, and also in so far as making the other nations secondary, but that they wished to have a reeting with the Japanese representatives and get down to brass tacks regarding measures to be taken and naming specific nations. To put it differently, they stated that the time for generalities had passed, and they wished to settle details. The Germans also stated that they wished when drawing up the treaty to make no mention of it being primarily against Russia, but to keep it absolutely general, to leave out any details such as non-military aid, etc., which would weaken the pact. They said that some other means could be found to decide upon details.

"Q General, when you say Ribbentrop said some other means could be found to decide upon details, what did the two of you have in mind?

"A To go into this a little further, this means

25

1

5

5

(

8

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

25

that when the treaty is drawn up it would simply state that in case of an unprovoked attack by any other nation not a signatory to the pact mutual aid would be given by the signatories. On the surface, therefore, this would appear to the world to be a strong and binding treaty. Of course, certain details of the treaty, or for that matter, the whole treaty would be published, but what Ribbentrop meant was that in regard to Japan's desire to not give more than nonmilitary aid, if the country concerned was not Russia, the Germans wished to hold a meeting and to mutually agree upon this without including it in the actual treaty. Essentially, it becomes impossible to write up a treaty containing such clauses as what will be done in case it would be Russia or in case it would be come other nation, etc.

"Q Now, Ribbentrop's views, his actual views, were to the effect that he wanted military, as well as the other type of aid from Japan in case of an attack, is that correct?

"A I will speak to you a little more fully about that now. To go over this once more so that there will be no errors, and so that the matter will be entirely understood by you: (1) In so far as the actual pact to be published goes, there was no argument. This was

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

attached. (2) Japan wished in this regard to settle
the matter either by secret pact or other means in
regard to the other nations besides Russia. That is to
say, that the aid to be given them would stop short of
military aid. (3) Germany objected to this on the
grounds that should such a secret pact by signed and
should there be a leak and the existence of this
secret pact or agreement become known it would seriously weaken the actual pact. Therefore, her countersuggestion was that as there would naturally be meetings between the two nations should such a pact be consummated, the matter of deciding what to do against
what country should be left until such a moment, and
no binding pact modifying the overall treaty be signed.

"Q General, we have been talking about Ribbentrop's views and we have been talking about the views of your Government. I am wondering with respect to how you personally felt about the matter.

"A I celt it was simply a question of the approach and that the bridge between the two ideas could be easily built.

"Q Actually, General, you were there on the ground and you knew Ribbentrop well; you worked very closely with him; were you not as a matter of fact firm

in your feeling that the ideas that he advanced were probably the more desirable?

"A I could not go so far as to say that.

"Q How far can you go? The other answer seems to me to be extremely general. I want to know what you personally felt.

"A I repeat that I felt that there was no basic difference; it was simply in the means to be followed. And then arose the problem of what type of treaty or agreement would suit both nations. In order to draw up a plan that would be satisfactory to both Japan and Germany, two men from my Embassy by the names of USAMI and TAKEUCHI met with Gaus from the German Foreign Office and drew up various plans. None of these was entirely satisfactory to either the German or Japanese Governments, but I was continuously trying to find a bridge over which the two might come together and meet. I believe, although, of course, these were only my own thoughts, that Germany began to get suspicious that Japan was attempting to weaken the treaty by a separate secret pact, of which she might in turn inform certain nations of the proposition. Japan on her part might have felt that Germany was attempting to drag her into matters outside the ken of her interests.

"Q Where is the ITO Commission during all of this?

th 13

1

5

(

ε

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

25

14

"A Thex were around for some time and were still there when the Japanese answer was received, but I believe they left sometime after this.

"Q Had they left before the two members from your Embassy commenced preparing proposed drafts of the treaty?

"A Yes.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Q It is not exactly clear to me now just what the ITO Commission did?

"A They simply brought me the wishes of the Japanese Government and following that had no duties, although they stayed around in Berlin for a time watching developments.

"Q Seems to me rather strange it should require that number of men to bring that message.

"A I believe they were particularly desirous of explaining their position fully, and wished me to understand their ideas completely before proceeding.

"Q Did it take three men to do that instead of just one?

"A One could do it, but in Japan it seems to be the thing to send along an Army and Havy man.

"Q So that actually then they came over to bring the message from the Japanese Government and to also make it definite and clear to you exactly

B

what the Japanese Government wanted and how far they would go, is that correct?

"A They did not say how far I could go or how far I could not go, but simply said to try to carry out negotiations along this line.

"Q Do I understand that they wanted you to understand definitely what the views of the Army and the Navy and the Foreign Office in Tokyo were and you were to be governed accordingly?

"A Yes."

1

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Interrogation of OSHIMA, Hiroshi, on 11 February 1946, pages 63 and 64:

"'Q Tell us the circumstances under which you first discussed the ratter with SHIRATORI.

"'A I first met SHIRATORI at San Ramo, . Italy, in January 1939. We had arranged to meet at some pleasant spot in order to talk over the progress in the negotiations for the Tripartite Pact.

"'Q General, this meeting with SHIRATORI
in Italy took place very soon after your return
from Italy to Berlin, that is correct, is it not?

"'A It was not much over two or three weeks.

(And on page 65 to 68):

"'Q General, can you tell us when the ITO Commission left Tokyo enroute to Berlin?

"'A They must have left about the beginning of January, as they came by a fast Italian ship and it takes about four weeks, so that it would follow that it would be the beginning of January, as they arrived in Berlin early in February.

"'Q And it would also follow that that was after you had been to Italy and had been unsuccessful in persuading the Italian Government to come along and join in the Tripartite Pact?

"'A Yes, that is correct.

"'Q And while that Commission was enroute to Berlin they stopped in Italy?

"'A Yes, on the road.

"'Q And while they were in Italy they discussed this matter with the Italian Government and also with Ambassador SHIRATORI, is not that correct?

"'A No. They did not speak with the Italian
Government - only with SHIRATORI. The events chronologically are more or less as follows: I went to
Rome, then later after SHIRATORI arrived, we met
at San Ramo and discussed the Tripartite Pact.
Later, after the ITO Commission arrived in Berlin
SHIRATORI came there to speak to me again.

"'Q What was the reson for stopping three or four days in Italy to talk with SHIRATORI when immediately upon the arrival of the Commission in Berlin they had SHIRATORI come up to Berlin to talk about it? Why could not this all have been done in one place?

"'A I believe he wished to hear further details, as the ITO Commission had only spent three or four days in Italy on the first meeting.

"'Q General, as a matter of fact this Commission called on SHIRATORI for the same purpose as they called on you, to make it clear to both SHIRATORI

and to you exactly what the Japanese Government in Tokyo would do; how far they would go or not go, is that not true?

to you a little more on this point and if you will permit me to continue my narrative, it will come out, but perhaps you tould wish me to tell you about this particular matter now.

with your narrative from the point at which we left off at the last meeting, but I think it would be helpful if you would make such comments now with respect to this particular matter just referred to, as you care to make.

ITO Commission had come for I thought it over and tried to recollect what the communication from Japan stated relative to sending this Commission.

As I remember t, the main reason given was that there would be danger of a leak if communications were continued and it would be best to send spokesmen. Of course, later, I heard rumors, which I can not say are either right or wrong, but which you may also have heard, so that I wish to pass them on to you. They were in effect that this Commission

had come to make very clear to SHIRATORI and myself the Japanese Government's changed stand upon the Tripartite Pact. Of course, they did not say that this was the reason in talking to me, but I repeat I have heard rumors to this effect.

"'Q General, tell us a little bit more about how and where you heard those rumors, where they came from?

"'A I heard of these matters from some of my subordinates who had probably received the rumor in the mails from Japan - from their friends in the Foreign Office, or their family, or something - I do not know exactly from whom.

"'Q Why do you call them rumors if they emanated from Japan?

"'A There was nothing on the surface and nothing official, so that they would have to be called rumors.

"'Q You call them rumors then because you were not officially told of these things?

"'A Of course, I wondered why in answer to a simple telegram they would send three men, but the official reason given was that it was a security measure. Your questioning me upon this point is quite natural, as it does seem strange, but I can

 only say that I know nothing beyond these rumors that I just related.

"'Q General, it is a fact, is it not, that the views of Mr. SHIRATORI and the views of yourself with respect to this proposed pact at this stage of the negotiations were somewhat different from the views of your Government in Japan?

"'A No, I would not say that our views were different from the Government; rather it would appear that the Government's ideas changed about the time they sent the ITO Commission.

"A That is my point, General, the Government in Tokyo had changed somewhat in its views, but you two gentlemen, one in Italy and one in Berlin, there where you were in communication with those Governments constantly, your views did not change automatically with the change in Tokyo; as a matter of fact you did not even know about it until ITO arrived, did you?

"'A. We knew of the fact that they wished
Russia to be the prime object and all other countries secondary, but we did not know of the point
being brought up that other countries would only be
included if their Governments became Communistic,
until the ITO Commission arrived.

"'Q. So that actually the Ambassadors in Italy and Germany from Japan were more enthusiastic for the views that had been advanced by RIBPENTPOP, because you had been working along that line all this time?

"'A. I would not say it was any specific individual's ideas, as up to that time we had been working on the premise that the pact was to be concluded naming Russia as the principal object and other countries as secondary, and details were to be left until later.

Janan changed its position they sent the ITO Commission over to make it clear to SHIRATORI and to yourself of this changed position so that you would not go too far in your suggestions to RIBPENTROP and to MUSSOLINI, is not that correct?

"'A. I would not say that they were sent so as to be a check on us, for up until this time we had been working as the Government desired - that

J.

10

9

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

is to say on this point of naming Russia as the principal object and the other countries as secondary. It is only later, as I told you, through rumor that I heard that the real reason the ITO Commission came was to make clear the change of views of the Japanese Government.

"'Q. There was no rumor about the fact that the ITO Commission made it cler to you, General, and to SIRATOFI of the changed attitude of the Government in Tokyo?

"'A. The ITO Commission never stated that these were the changed views of the Government, but that these had been the Government's views all along.

than the course Ambassador OSHIMA and Ambassador SHIRATORI had been pursuing, for you, the two Ambassadors had been concerned with Russia as the prime object and the other nations as secondary objects without any reference to Communism in so far as the secondary nations are concerned. Now it is changed?

"'A. Essentially, my point is that was this a change that had taken place then or had the Japan-ese Government always held these views? Officially, there had been no change; it was only that I felt this was a point that had not been brought up before,

so, weighing all the rumors and my own thoughts on the matter I reached the conclusion that it must have been a change.

"'Q. So that in fact, General, ITO and his associates brought with them to you and to Ambassador SHI RATORI the then views of your Government and said that you must work within these views?

"'A. That is correct.

"Interrogation of 12 February 1946, pages 74 and 75

"'Q. General, if I understood you correctly yesterday when we were discussing the time RIBBENTROP mentioned to you the idea that Germany might seek a non-aggression pact with Russia at HITLER's birthday party, you stated that you had also received information regarding that matter from your intelligence sources. Now tell us if when you received this information from your intelligence sources, which I assume was before RIBBENTROP told you about it, you communicated the information to your Foreign Office in Tokyo?

"A. The information that I said I received from intelligence sources was not concrete at all and was simply rumors which abounded around Berlin at the time that Germany and the U.S.S.R. were entering into negotiations regarding an economic nact (trade pact). These rumors I did not pass on to my Government in

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Tokyo. Further, I wish to make it clear that when RIBBENTROP spoke to me at the time of a party in celebration of HITLER's birthday, which was held at the Hotel Adlen in Berlin, RIBBENTROP did not state that he was entering into negotiations with Pussia. When he spoke to SHIRATORI and me around one or two A.M. in the morning following the party, he said that if the matter of a pact between Germany and Japan continued in the stage of talks for too long a period it might become necessary for Germany to clasp hands with Russia in one way or another. That was all.

"'Q. General, I understood you to say yesterday that RIBEFNTROP was very definite in stating that it might become necessary to seek a non-aggression pact with Russia at the time of this party.

"'A. Yes, he did say non-aggression pact."

Prosecution document 4047 is offered in evidence to show the interest of the accused SHIRATORI in the conclusion of the Italian-Japanese-German Alliance. I should wish to make a statement regarding the interrogation of the accused OSHIMA just presented and read. Tenth line, page 8 of the English text, page 18 of the Japanese text. Referring to line 10 of page 8, the line reads: "This aid to be given would consist of military advice." This is clearly an error in translation and should properly be rendered as "military assistance."

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know whether that is so or not. We will refer it to the Language Section. It does not make much difference as far as I can judge, not in favor of the defense at all events.

MR. HYDE: Your Honor, I would like to point out that this is a translation from English to Japanese.

THE PRESIDENT: Did he speak in Japanese or in English?

MR. HYDE: He spoke in Japanese.

THE PRESIDENT: But there was no record of what he said in Japanese?

MR. HYDE: The written record is entirely in

English. 2 3 when giving evidence later. 7 8

THE PRESIDENT: Well, there is nothing to refer to the Language Section, and the matter, if it calls for correction, can be corrected by the defense

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I brought this matter up, sir, because it involves violation of instructions by the accused OSHIMA.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, OSHIMA, when he goes into the box later to give evidence, can say what he teld the interrogator.

MR. HYDE: I tendered prosecution document 4047, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4047 will receive exhibit No. 498.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit No. 498 was received in evidence.)

MR. HYDE: I point out, your Honor, that the telegram is dated "Tokyo, 8 September 1939," and it is for the State Secretary; that it is signed by "Ott" and marked "Top Secret." I will now read the last sentence. The last sentence:

"The Ambassador in Moscow, SHIRATORI, will

22 23

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

return from Rome, since at that time he had taken up the post of Ambassador purely in the expectation that he would succeed in concluding an ItalianJapanese-German military alliance."

I offer prosecution document 1418 for the purpose of identification only, and I desire to place in evidence as prosecution's document 1418-B, an extract therefrom.

MR. NARITOMI: I am counsel NARITOMI for the accused SHIRATORI.

May I raise an objection to document 1418, namely, "Ciano's Diary" which the prosecution is about to present? My first inquiry of the prosecution is, what is the original of this diary in question; that is, what is the original of the document which is to be presented to the Tribunal -- the diary of Count Ciano?

The diary of Count Ciano, now in possession of the prosecution, happens to be a photostatic copy. I should like to object to the formal procedure in the tendering of the evidence. According to the form in which the copy of this evidence is being presented, it would be like submitting the original of the Ciano Diary; it would be like presenting the original itself of the Ciano Diary and not the photostatic copy.

According to Japanese civil procedure, if a document of this type is to be presented in the photostatic copy, there should be a cover, either in photostat or in a picture, explaining the original and not the photostat but the original document, and that, for instance, this document would be given the number 1418-A, and the subject matter or the contents of the document would be given exhibit No. 1418-B.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is interesting to hear what is done in Japan, but eleven nations are being represented here, and each has its own laws of evidence. We are not applying the rules of evidence of any of them although we may be guided by them to some extent.

The position is this: If we apply the strictest rules of evidence, the original would have to be tendered. If the original is not available for some reason or other, a photostatic copy would be admitted if it were accompanied by evidence of the photographer. Now, we have a certificate which was read fully into the record the other day which indicates how this procedure comes about. However, the prosecution are not tendering the photostatic copy as an exhibit; they are tendering an excerpt of so much as they ask the Court to receive as evidence, and they produced the photostatic copy to be marked for identification so that the excerpt may be checked. That meets all the requirements of substantial justice; and the considerations that you raise based upon the civil law of Japan cannot be entertained.

MR. NARITOMI: There seems to be a misunderstanding of what I mean, and with your permission I would like to amplify my statement. What I mean by referring to the civil court or civil procedure of

12 13

8

10

11

15

16

18

20

21

23

Japan is that in this particular case the evidence is compiled in such a manner, it does not give a true portrayal of the original Ciano Diary. It is our understanding that the laws of evidence of Anglo-American courts are much more strict than the civil court of Japan. Hence, what I have been trying to say is that if the original is not to be presented but only a compiled form of photostats of the document, even in Japanese Courts it is customary procedure to put a proper cover on the document, and, therefore, I imagine that such a cover would be most appropriate and necessary here in this Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: This discussion must not continue. It is serving no useful purpose. You may point out, when you are giving evidence for the defense, that this document should not be admitted because of the absence of these things which you are stressing. That is a question of fact which we cannot determine here now. But, if the prosecution have a ready reply to what is being submitted by the counsel, we would like to hear it. We will hear you, Mr. Hyde.

MR. HYDE: If the Court please, this is a photostat of the diary of Count Ciano as obtained from the War Department by us, and contains on its face a certificate that it is a true copy of the diary of

Count Ciane. THE PRESIDENT: You have no reason to question that certificate? MR. HYDE: There is no reason to question the certificate, your Honor. THE PRESIDENT: We will not hear any further debate on the matter. We will adjourn now until half past one. (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

Wolf & Yeldon

1

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION.

The Tribunel met, pursuent to recess, et 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

MR. NARITOME: Mr. President, the point which I was stressing this morning was that although it is a matter for the Tribunal to decide whether an apprepriate cover should be submitted with the document, in case it is a photostatic copy or not, if it is the ruling that such a cover should be submitted, it should not be inside as in this case, but that the outside should be submitted. But that is not my main objection. I wish to raise an objection concerning the contents of this document. My objection concerns the contents of the document with the cover as I have just shown you. This is a photostatic copy (indicating). I wish to ask where the original of the document -- where the original document from which this photostatic copy was taken is at present. Concerning this point we have heard that there are very dramatic circumstances surrounding it. This so-called Ciano Diary -- the author of this diary, Count Ciano, was imprisoned and disappeared

or was killed when Italy capitulated, but the story goes that Countess Ciano concealed this diary in her undergarments and fled with it to Switzerland.

THE PRESIDENT: Now we do not want to hear any more little stories like that. You are not making

any objection and supporting it in the usual way
by short clear grounds. You are just attempting to
carry on a conversation with the Bench. That is not
permitted. I think I have explained the position of
the Court clearly enough. This document will be
received for whatever probative value it has, and
when you are giving evidence in defense, then you
may point out the circumstances, if they are helpful
at all. But for the time being we will not hear any

terms. I have heard all I am going to hear.
Mr. Hyde.

MR. HYDE: If the Court please, will a number be assigned to the document?

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1418, the Diary of Count Ciano, in two volumes, will receive exhibit No. 499 for identification.

more about it. The document is admitted on the usual

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit

No. 499 was marked for identification.)

MR. SMITH: If your Honor please, we would like to object to these documents because they contain a great deal of matter which could not possibly have any relevancy to this case.

THE PRESIDENT: Your objection comes too late. You have heard me deal with the objection.

_

After I overruled the objection you approached the lectern. You are too late.

MR. SMITH: May I respectfully point out to your Honor that previous counsel was speaking on behalf of his own client. I am assigned to a central table and it is part of my job in order to save numerous repetitions of the same thing in different language to make common objections on behalf of all counsel.

THE PRESIDENT: The document was admitted and marked before you appeared. This looks like organized interruption to me and I shall know how to deal with it. This Bench will know how to deal with it. I tell you your objection comes too late and we will not hear you further.

MR. SMITH: Would your Honor allow me a specific objection to the ruling of the Court and refusal to hear me on that simple objection?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Hyde.

MR. HYDE: If the Court please, I now offer in evidence prosecution's document 1418-B, which is an extract from exhibit 499 for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted as before.

No. 1418-B, will receive exhibit No. 499-A.

A

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit No.

499-A was received in evidence)

MR. HYDE: I will now read the exhibit

"DIARY OF COUNT CIANO

* *

"January 7, 1939.

just mentioned:

"I see the Japanese Ambassador who speaks to me of the alliance. He is afraid that the new foreign minister, Arita, will be rather cold toward the idea, but says that the Premier is openly in favor of it. This will not influence the conclusion of the pact but might postpone the date of the signing. Therefore, the Ambassador wishes to be received by the Duce, so that he can send a telegram promptly. The Ambassador is greatly in favor of the alliance which he regards as a weapon to force Great Britain to concede 'the many things she owes to us all.' Ribbentrop sends me the text of the pact, as well as the text of the secret conversation for the use of the military commissions."

The Tribunal's attention is directed to the conference of 31 January 1939, prosecution document 533, in evidence as exhibit 489, in which Ambassador OSHIMA discussed with Himmler the conclusion

of the treaty to consolidate the triangle of Germany, Italy and Japan into an even firmer mold.

Prosecution document No. 4043-A is tendered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: What is this? I want you to tell me what it is about.

MR. HYDE: This is a telegram, your Honor, from Ott relative to the ITO Commission and his trip.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Court please, we would like to object to this document for the reason that it is part of testimony of a live witness who is available to the prosecution and who should be made available to the defense for cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Obviously, telegrams and other communications sent by persons still living can be admitted in evidence in any court. The objection is overruled.

The document is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4043-A will receive exhibit No. 500.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit No. 500 was received in evidence.)

MR. HYDE: I will read exhibit 500. This is a telegram by secret cibher process from Tokyo, 18

February 1939. It is for the State Secretary personally and is marked "Top Secret." (Reading):

"In annex to telegram of the first, No. 44.

"Further news concerning Anti-Comintern Pact gives the following picture:-

"Japanese Cabinet is suprosed to have finally decided upon an intensification of the pact, in opposition to the Anglo-phile ideas, especially of the court circle. The British Ambassador, greatly agitated, depicted Japanese pact policy to me recently as the wrong road, which would strain relations with England extraordinarily. Likewise, the former Japanese Ambassador to Washington urgently warned the Government in the Diet of alienating the Anglo-Saxon powers and succeeded in bringing about a one-hour review of Anti-Comintern policy which was not published. Other Diet members, on the other hand, repeatedly strengthened the government in its pact policy.

"Consul General ITO is to be sent to Europe with the special mission of instructing the opposing chiefs of /diplomatic/ missions /abroad/.

"The Polish Ambassador and Reuters Agency are spreading the news here that a military alliance has been concluded, which I privately considered/to bc/fabricated. These rumors preoccupy diplomatic and Japanese circles as well as the international press,

especially in connection with the intensification 1 of the situation in Europe. In this connection the 2 younger officers and active circles are expressing 3 the hope for a conflict in Europe which might remove the pressure of the great powers in the Far East. (Signed) Ott." 6 I now offer in evidence prosecution document 7 No. 1418-D. This document consists of three excerpts from prosecution document 1418, which has been marked exhibit 499 for identification. 10 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document. 12 No. 1418-D will receive exhibit No. 501. 13 (Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit 14 No. 501 was received in evidence.) 15 MR. HYDE: I will read prosecution document 16 1418-D, which is now in evidence as exhibit No. 501: 17 "Diary of Count Ciano" 18 THE PRESIDENT: Pronounced "Ciano." 19 MR. HYDE: I beg your pardon? 20 THE PRESIDENT: I think he is usually called 21 "Ciano," is he not? 22 MR. HYDE: Thank you, sir. (Reading continued): 23 "February 6, 1939. 24 "The Japanese Ambassador is sceptical about 25 the possibility of an early conclusion of the triple

.

alliance. He believes that the Japanese counterproposal will be a compromise proposal which he himself advises us not to accept.

"March 6, 1939. * * *

"News from Berlin confirms that the Japanese government objects to the signing of the Tripartite Pact. Oshima plans to resign. He says that the Cabinet would fall. And then what? I do not see clearly. It is really possible to involve distant Japan deeply in European political life, a life which is becoming increasingly complicated and uncertain, and which is subject to change, from one moment to the next, by a simple telephone call?

"March 8, 1939. * * *

"I see the Japanese Ambassador. He confirms what Attolico wrote concerning the Japanese reply on the Tripartite Alliance. Many reservations and the intention of regarding the Pact as exclusively anti-Russian; a reply so unsatisfactory as to render very doubtful the possibility of actually concluding this alliance. Oshima and Shiratori have refused to communicate through official channels. They asked Tokyo to accept the Pact of Alliance without reservation, otherwise they will resign and bring about the fall of the Cabinet. A decision will be made within the

ā

next few days. Shiratori claims that if it is favorable the signing can take place in Berlin during March, otherwise it will all be postponed till doomsday. The delay and the entire Japanese procedure make me very skeptical of the possibility of an effective collaboration of Fascist and Nazi dynamism with the phlegmatic slowness of the Japanese."

MR. NARITOMI: Mr. President, how can we tell that this so-called diary of Count Ciano was written by Count Ciano himself?

THE PRESIDENT: You will be able to tell us when you give your evidence for the defense probably. We cannot hear you further along those lines. We have dealt with your objection.

MR. HYDE: I offer in evidence prosecution document 4035. It is a telegram from Ribbentrop to the German Ambassador in Tokyo giving Ribbentrop's account of negotiations for a military alliance between Japan, Germany and Italy.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4035 will receive exhibit No. 502.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit
No. 502 was received in evidence.)
NR. HYDE: I will now read from the exhibit

.

just mentioned. This is a telegram marked "Top Secret" - Berlin, 26 April 1939. It is marked exclusively for the ambassador personally in Tokyo. (Reading):

"Top secret discussions between Berlin,
Rome and Tokyo on the conclusion of a defensive
alliance have been in progress for some time.
They have for special reasons and in accordance
with agreements made with the other partners been
conducted outside usual diplomatic channels.

"In the summer of 1938 General OSHIMA, still military attache at that time, gave the information that the time had come in the opinion of the Japanese army to conclude a general defensive alliance between Germany, Italy and Japan. He gave the contents of the alliance pact as follows:

- "1.) Consultation of the three powers in case one of them should get into political difficulties:
- "2.) Political and economic support in case one of the three powers should be threatened from outside:
- "3.) Granting of help and assistance in case one of the three powers should be attacked without provocation by another power.

_

"At the time of the Munich Conference at the end of September the matter was discussed with MUSSOLINI and Count CIANO. This discussion was resumed during my visit to Rome at the end of October with the result that the Duce declared his fundamental agreement but still made a reservation with regard to fixing the date for the conclusion of the pact. Then the Italian Foreign Minister made known at the beginning of January that the Duce was now ready for the signing.

direct consultation between me, OSHIMA and CIANO. Besides the above three points it also contained the obligation that in the event of a war waged in common an armistice and a peace would only be concluded jointly, and the duration of the agreement was set at ten years. The treaty draft was further supplemented by the draft of two secret protocols, which provided for immediate consultation on the execution of the obligation of assistance in the various cases coming into consideration and in addition special measures for mutual treatment of questions on propaganda and press. Drafts were sent by OSHIMA to Tokyo by special courier where they were made the subject of cabinet deliberations.

"At the beginning of March, OSHIMA as well as Ambassador SHIRATORI in Rome received instructions according to which the Japanese Government agreed, it is true, with the idea of the pact in general, but, wished to limit mutual obligations of assistance only to the case of a war with Russia. Both ambassadors informed me and CIANO only confidentially and personally of this, but, on the other hand, immediately refused Tokyo on their own accord to present such a fundamental change of the German-Italian draft in Berlin and Rome. They once more stood up for the acceptance of the original suggestion and declared that they would have to resign from their posts in the event of a different decision of the Japanese cabinet.

"Subsequently at the beginning of April
a Japanese draft arrived from Tokyo which fundamentally corresponded to the German and Italian
draft but, however, reduced the duration of the
agreement to five years. The earlier Japanese
wish to limit the obligation of assistance only to
the case of Russia was, however, still retained in
a weakened form, that the Japanese asked for our
express approval to be able to give, after the signing and publication of the pact, a declaration to

the English, French and American ambassadors with roughly the following contents: The pact had developed from the Anti-Comintern Pact: the partners had looked upon Russia as being the enemy: England, France and America had no need to feel that they were meant by it. The Tokyo cabinet gave as a reason for the necessity of such a limited interprotation of the pact the fact that Japan, for political and in particular, for economic reasons, was at the moment not yet in a position to come forward openly as the opposer of the three democ-OSHIMA and SHIRATORI have indicated to Tokyo that this wish of the Japanese Government was also impossible and have informed CIANO and myself again purely confidentially regarding the proceedings. CIANO as well as I left no doubt that the conclusion of an agreement with this interpretation, which is in direct contradiction to the text of the agreement, is quite out of question for us. Further I have, in order to hasten a final clarification, informed OSHIMA and SHIRATORI who was in Berlin on the occasion of the Fuehrer's birthday, that I must, before the Fuehrer's speech on 28 April, know the final positive or negative decision of the Japanese cabinet. Both Ambassadors

**

20

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

have wired this to Tokyo.

"The above information is meant exclusively for your personal information. I ask you to keep it strictly secret, and for your part, not to allude to this topic in your conversations there until later and, in case you are spoken to by another party about it, not to give any recognition what-soever of the fact of having been informed of the fact. That applies also to the Italian Ambassador there, who according to information given by CIANO has not been informed up to now. On the other hand I ask you to observe carefully developments there and to keep me continuously informed upon the matter by wire.

RIBBENTROP"

Goldberg & Spratt

MR. HYDE: Prosecution's document 4043-B and D, and 4043-C are offered in evidence. They are copies of telegrams from the German Embassador in Tokyo to the Reich Foreign Minister, and respectively relate to what is known as the "HIRANUMA Declaration," and the Japanese Army's interpretation of it.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

4043-B and D will receive exhibit No. 503, and document

ment No. 4043-C will receive exhibit No. 504.

I (Whereupon, prosecution's exhibits

Nos. 503 and 504 were received in evidence.)

MR. HYDE: I will now read from exhibit 503.

"Telegram (Secret C: " Process) Tokyo, 4

May 1939."

This is marked "Top Secret" and "Most urgent." (Reading):

"For the Reich /Foreign/ Minister personally.

"The Foreign Minister called me and communicated the following: The negotiations taking place in Berlin and Rome on the Anti-Comintern Pact came to a deadlock 10 days ago. To continue them, Prime Minister MIRANUMA directed a statement to the Fuehrer and German Chancellor, which the Foreign Minister read and herewith handed over to me for further transmission.

I accepted the statement, complied in Japanese and
French text, with the reference that I would communicate them by telegraph to the German Foreign Minister,
and I refrained from giving any opinion. The same
statement was previously communicated and just delivered to the Italian Ambassador for MUSSOLINI.

"Text in translation:"
This is quoted.

"I cherish great admiration for the lofty wisdom and the iron will with which His Excellency, Chancellor of the German Government, HITLER, is working at the noble task of the reconstruction of his country and at the establishment of an international peace founded upon principles of justice.

'I, for my part, as Japanese Prime Minister, am likewise occupied with the strengthening of peace and with the maintenance of a New Order in East Asia founded on the principles of justice and morality.

'In this splendid hour it is a confirmed joy to me how effective the Anti-Comintern Agreement between our two countries proves itself in the execution of the tasks placed before them. And today if I have in view the conclusion of an agreement, to strengthen the Anti-Comintern Pact and to make closer the co-operation between Japan, Germany and Italy,

٩,

this does not occur just out of a consideration of the more expediency of it, but in the hope that in that way we may contribute to the consolidation of a world beace founded upon justice and morality in consciousness of our common tasks now. As far as the strengthening of our relations is concerned, I can affirm that Jopan is firmly and steadfastly resolved to stand at the side of Germany and Italy even if one of those two nowers were attacked by one or several powers without the participation of the Soviet Union and to afford them political and economic and, to the extent possible to her power, military assistance.

'In spite of this Japan is ready, in accordance with the provisions of such an agreement to take up the military support of Germany and Italy; however, Japan is, in view of the situation in which it now finds itself, neither presently nor in the near future able to extend to them in a practical manner any effective military aid. However, it goes without saying that Japan would gladly grant this support if it should become possible through a change in the circumstances.

"I should especially like to receive Germany's and Italy's express consent to the foregoing

point.

'Futhermore, in consequence of the international situation which confronts it, Japan would
be compelled to exercise the greatest caution in regard to the explication which it would give at the
time of the publication of this agreement. I would
be glad to receive the unequivocal assent of Germany
and Italy on this point also.

'I may add that the planned agreement rests upon the foundation of mutual confidence, and that to doubt the sincerity of my country in the slightest would be tantamount to destroying the real basis of the agreement and would make its execution impossible.

'The thoughts which I have just portrayed arise from reflections of a moral and spiritual nature and can not be influenced by reasons of expediency.

If I undertook to express them with all frankness, it was because I was guided exclusively by the sincere desire to bring our endeavors to a satisfactory conclusion.'"

The quotation is closed.

'4 May 1939'

"(without signature)

"Close of the statement.

"I hear from army circles that the Prime
Minister took this surprising course to personally
counteract any doubts that may have risen in Berlin
and Rome concerning the situation and readiness of
Japan and to arrive at a compromise as far as possible.

"The Foreign Minister when he handed over the statement was visibly in a bad mood. Will try to clarify unobtrusively the Army's interpretation." That is signed, "OTT". MR. HYDE (Continuing) Now I will read document 4043-C in evidence as exhibit No. 504. This is a telegram sent Secret Cipher Process, dated Tokyo, 6 May 1939, marked "MOST URGENT" and "VERY SECRET!" (Reading):

"Top Secret - For State Secretary
"Annex to telegram of fourth, No. 184.

"Declarations of various General Staff
Officers, among them that of my former confidant
who is working in direct accordance with instructions from the War Minister, as well as a talk of the
War Vice Minister with the Italian Military Attache,
reveal the following viewpoint of the army, which
I consider official.

"The Prime Minister has obtained a compromise, which the army indicates as the highest
possible offer under present conditions, in the
conflict of the five-minister conference (War Minister and Finance Minister against the Foreign Minister and Navy Minister).

"Concerning the main points of the declaration, the army explained:

"1). Regarding obligatory aid, the army had further proposed to lay down more clearly the 'change in circumstances' which would later make

4 5

.

8

9

10

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

25

24

the neutrality of Japan would not in any way enter into the question. The army attributed the present wording to the Foreign Minister. The War Vice Minister declared that the treaty bound Japan definitely to the Axis Powers. We must, however, understand that Japan was isolated in the Far East and was in a considerably worse position than the Axis bloc in Europe which could cooperate directly. In case of war, however, independent of the outbreak and extent of Japanese acts of war, just the plain fact of the treaty would exert effective pressure on the enemy.

"2). Re the 'Explanation', the army emphasizes that the present proposal was more elastic,
contained no specific formulation, and left open
negotions for which there were supposed to be favorable prospects, according to OSHIMA's telegram received yesterday.

"3). Explanations concerning 'Sincerity of my Country' were indicated as a particularly important personal word of honor of the Prime Minister, after I had referred to the wording which could be easily misunderstood.

"The whole statement had been delivered to the Emperor by the Foreign Minister, which fact

also follows from the indications made in the press.

In case an agreement is not reached, the army expects
a resignation of the cabinet, which would be quite
undesirable at the present time for foreign and domestic reasons.

"Declarations from navy circles not yet obtainable. Main opposition of the navy comes evidently from Navy Vice Minister YAMANOTO.

"From the Foreign Ministry a senior official, who stands especially close to Ambassador
SHIRATORI, let me know personally that in the entire government a deep cleft between friends and
enemies of the alliance had formed. The situation
was very serious and complicated. By his personal
initiative, the Prime Minister had achieved a declaration of compromise which accepts the principle
of an unlimited alliance, limiting this, however,
by two significant reservations. This attitude of
Japan must, it is true, astonish the Axis Powers, who
are accustomed to unequivocal decisions, but it
arises necessarily from the lack of unified leadership. Should negotiations collapse, cabinet crisis
is threatening with serious consequences.

"General impression is that most active preliminaries of the treaty idea stress extraordin-

ary difficulties and (one word apparently lacking) urgently hopes that HIRANUMA's declaration would offer the opportunity for the final conclusion of the treaty."

This is signed "OTT".

MR. HYDE (Continuing): I present prosecution's document 1275 for introduction in evidence. This is a memorandum about the conference between Field Marshal Goering and the Duce in the presence of Count Ciano in Rome on 16 April 1939.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1275 will receive exhibit No. 505.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit
No. 505 was received in evidence.)

MR. HYDE: I will read the document just mentioned, commencing with the second paragraph.

(Reading):

"Referring to the political situation,

the Duce declared in addition that he considered
a general war unavoidable. He only asked himself
when the most favorable moment for it as far as
the Axis powers were concerned would have arrived
and who would seize the initiative in such a conflict.

"Marshal GOERING was of the opinion that
the Axis powers should still weit a bit until their
armaments compared more favorably with those of the
democracies. The Duce, once again and in a more
precise form, asked about the most favorable moment
for such a conflict. Upon which Marshal GOERING

pointed out that the armaments ratio between Germany and England, particularly in the nevel field, would be considerably more favorable in 1942-43, that France's arming was hampered by a shortage of men, so that France's preparations already today were all directed on the defensive side.

"The Duce next asked, 'What are we to do until this favorable moment for a general conflict?' Marshal GOERING answered that the Axis powers must arm themselves to the utmost and maintain even now a state of mobilization. This was already the case in Germany. He (Marshal GOERING) based all his measures on the supposition that mobilization was already under way, even if it hadn't been publicly announced yet. There were only three limitations on German arming, production capacity, stock of raw materials, and the number of available workers. Germany would under no circumstances abandon (for financial reasons) any armament measure considered essential by him.

"The Duce confirmed that the same was true for Italy and summed up his impression of the discussion to the effect that the Axis powers still needed two or three years to be well armed for a general conflict and to be able to enter

with prospects of victory.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

-11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

25

"General Situation: Germany and Italy will not let themselves be provoked into a conflict but will writ for what they consider the opportune moment. They will further strengthen their ermements and with a view to later joint ventures will enter into talks on the synchronization of selfsufficiency as well as the cooperation of air and naval forces. In the meantime they will continue more vigorously then ever the 'wer in the press', but will not undertake anything bigger. (When the Marshal said in this connection that Germany had recently acquired a whole series of territories and only needed peace to digest them, the Duce agreed most emphatically.) 'To speak of peace and to prepare for war, that is to say, for victory' shall be the motto for the behavior of both countries.

"On the wish of the Duce the above summation was read out loud once more by me in French and met with the approval of those taking part in the talk.

"At the close the Duce remarked that both countries would maintain a lucid attitude and untroubled nerves and with a superior smile would dispose of all foolish attempts to discover disunity among the Axis powers.

"Berlin, 18 April 1939."

MR. HYDE: I will read prosecution's document 1 1382, which is in evidence as item 11 of exhibit No. 2 486, and which has been assigned exhibit No. 486-K. 3 It is a statement through Ribbentrop of an agreement 4 made by Germany and Italy as to the importance of 5 immediate participation by Japan in a tri-partite 6 military alliance. 7 (Reading: "Berlin, 15 May 1939, German 8 9 Embassy, Tokyo." Transmitted "Telegram in Ciphers (Secret 10 11 Cipher Process). "Exclusively for the Ambassador personally 12 in answer to telegram No. 197. 13 "The other day I informed Ambassador OSHIMA 14 in agreement with the Italian Government regarding 15 the German and Italian viewpoint as follows: 16 "(1) The German and Italian Governments 17 are willing to continue political line they had fol-18 lowed up to the present toward Japan unchanged. 19 "(2) The two Governments have decided to 20 sign a bilateral pact of alliance during this month 21 because they find it proper to counter the political 22 activities displayed by the Western Powers for propa-23 ganda purposes with a quick counter-action. 24 "(3) Tri-lateral negotiations Berlin-Rome-25

Tokyo are not in any way prejudiced by the anticipated German-Italian pact of alliance. This pact of alliance will definitely prove from a legal point of view the unshakable firmness of the Berlin-Rome Axis. The Japanese can only welcome it to see the internal relationship between their two European partners cleared of any doubt and to see that any possibility of internal divergences between these partners is excluded, if they want a tripartite pact.

"(4) Moreover the fact that the conclusion of the tri-partite pact is delayed so long is not the fault of the German or Italian governments. For a long time I have pointed out to the Japanese that there might be a necessity of a prior conclusion of a German-Italian pact if the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact is postponed for a longer period of time.

"(5) For the Japanese, it is also not a disturbing element that the German-Italian pact will contain in some respects closer ties than the present draft of the Tripartite Pact. It is quite natural that the political and military cooperation between the two European neighboring countries which find themselves directly fact to face with France and England is more intensive than the cooperation with the faraway Japan. If therefore the difference in the

Japan is pressed down politically to a lower level of friendship by Germany and Italy. The world public for whom the Axis Berlin-Rome has been a firm concept for a long time will feel that such a difference is a matter of course. Besides, it was Japan which always urged a cautious formulation of the obligations in the Tripartite Pact. Germany and Italy would only welcome it if Japan would participate in the closer connection of the German-Italian Pact. Japan, however, can not demand and has no interest in the fact that Germany and Italy accommodate themselves to the level desired by Japan for the Tripartite Pact as far as their internal relationship is concerned.

"(6) A parallel existence of the GermanItalian pact and the Tripartite Pact involves no
difficulties in the matter itself or from technical
viewpoint. The various provisions of the present
Japanese draft for a Tripartite Pact may remain entirely unchanged. It is only necessary to insert at
the end an entirely formal article clarifying the
relationship between the two pacts from a legal viewpoint. I handed a draft of the respective article to
OSHIMA.

"(7) The German-Italian governments

have the urgent, wish that the Japanese Government reach its final decision quickly so that it would be possible to formulate secretly the Tripartite Pact simultaneously with the signing of the German-Italian Pact. This wish shows again that any thought of a political disregard of their relations to Japan is far from them.

"I request of you to utilize the above viewpoints toward your confident and if possible also toward the War Minister directly and thus to work for a quick and positive decision of the Government here. In doing so I ask you to mention casually and in a fitting manner the following: If the German and Italian governments, as stressed above, are firmly determined to keep to their previous policy toward Japan, it is on the other hand, impossible to conceal that the entire previous attitude of the Japanese government is gradually beginning to create a certain skepticism in Rome and Berlin. MUSSOLINI gave expression to anxiety the other day whether the manner in which the matter was dealt with in Tokyo up until now should not be interpreted as meaning that the Japanese Government would in the end not find the strength for a positive decision. Furthermore, the Fuehrer declared within the last few days repeatedly in talking

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

to me that the Japanese attitude was becomming less and less comprehensible. Japan must recognize from her viewpoint that her great political interests conform with those of Germany and Italy and that, therefore, her place was of the side of these two powers.

"Furthermore, I ask you to make it clear to your Japanese partner in conversation that Japan's fear that America might join England and France in the case of war is by no means an argument against the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact, since this pact will be the best means to keep America out of the war. On the other hand it must be clear to Japan that the consolidation of her position in East Asia and particularly in China depends first on the superiority of the Axis powers over the Western powers. If this superiority did not exist Japan. would soon feel the . consequences. It is, therefore, without a doubt completely in Japan's interest to strengthen this superiority by participating and not allowing the impression to rise among the Western powers as if they could count on Japanese neutrality in case of a conflict with Germany or Italy. Text of the draft of the pact and the appertaining papers will be telegraphed to you for your personal information specially. (Foreign Minister of the German Reich.)"

3

1

2

5

8

9

7

10

12 13

14

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

MR. HYDE: I call the Tribunal's attention to the fact that it has taken judicial notice by its order No. 400 of the following historical event:
August 23, 1939, the German-Russian non-aggression
Treaty was signed. I now ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice of an additional historical fact, which is found on page 191 of the book entitled, "Events
Leading up to World War II." May 22, 1939, Germany and Italy signed formal treaty of military alliance.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, there has been no order entered with respect to that last article which was read by counsel, and there is no document in evidence from which he can read such a statement. If he wants to offer the book that is something different.

THE PRESIDENT: I understood him to say that by an order of the Court a certain fact was to be judicially noticed. It could only have been a consent order. I then understood him to ask that a further fact be judicially noticed, a fact that has not been covered by order, consent, or otherwise.

MR. HYDE: That is correct.

THE PRESIDENT: The expression "consent or otherwise" is perhaps somewhat elliptical. We will say order by consent or otherwise.

Well, Mr. Logan, have I stated your understanding of the position?

MR. LOGAN: Yes, your Honor. The first statement was brought up in chambers and order entered on it, but the second statement was not, and it contains conclusions in there to which we seriously object.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan, I understand the prosecution are now asking us to take judicial notice of the fact that a treaty of military alliance was made between Germany and Italy in May, 1939. Is that true. We will hear any objection you would like to make on that, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If your Honor please, he was reading that from a book entitled --

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I don't care what the source of it is.

MR. LOGAN: -- "Events Leading up to World War II." It would be my suggestion that there are either one of two ways to handle this: either mark this book in evidence if they wish to read an excerpt from it, or else get the original alliance so we can see it and read it and know what it is about. We don't know anything about it just hearing a statement to this effect.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the Tribunal takes judicial notice of the fact that such an alliance was made at that time between those Powers.

MR. HYDE: I will read prosecution's document 1381 in evidence as Item 12 of Exhibit 486, and which has been assigned exhibit No. 486-L. It relates to the Japanese protest over the conclusion of the German-Russian non-Aggression Treaty.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
MR. HYDE: (keading)

"Telegram (Secret Cipher Process) Tokyo,
25 August 1939, 8:40 o'clock. Arrival: 25 August
1939, 2130 o'clock." Marked "Most Urgent." "In
answer to Telegram No. 260 of 22 August." Marked
"For the State Secretary, Secret."

"Today I visited the Foreign Minister, after the Japanese press and public opinion had been severely shaken by the text of the Non-Agression Pact. Explained the German desire to further preserve friendly relations with Japan, and the reasons and advantages of the German action, according to guidance given in Telegram No. 260. The Foreign Minister followed with particular attention my explanation of eventual German influence on the stabilizing of Russo-Japanese relations, reserved his

i

taking of an attitude for a later discussion.

"He handed me copies of the following instructions he had sent today to OSHIMA:

"(1) To inform the German government that the Japanese government had interpreted the conclusion of the Non-Aggression Pact as finally terminating the present negotiations between Japan and Germany for a Tripartite Pact with Italy;

"(2) The Japanese government declares that the German act of making a non-aggression pact with Russia constitutes a serious violation of the secret agreement attached to the Anti-Comintern Pact between Japan and Germany. Therefore she files a solemn protest with the German government.

"The Foreign Minister added, that Japan had been forced to make the enclosed declaration, but that she was willing to continue friendship for Germany and was seeking a suitable way." Signed "OTT."

MR. HYDE: I offer in evidence document 4050 which relates to the same subject matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on two usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4050 will receive exhibit No. 506.

. 8

(Whercupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 506 and received in evidence.)

MR. HYDE: I will read the document just mentioned. (Reading)

"Berlin, 18 September 1939.

"The Japanese Ambassador today had me inform him in detail about the situation, talked about USHI, Take's visit, congratulated us on the progress of the Polish campaign, etc. Finally slightly bashful he came out with the enclosed paper, which is dated 26 /ugust, and regarding which he said the following:

"As everybody knows, I dissuaded OSHIMA at the end of August from the idea of making the sharp protest with which he had been ordered by the Japanese Government, in regard to the inconsistency of the German-Russian Non-Aggression Pact with the secret treaty between Germany and Japan. He followed my advice out of consideration for the German Government in the critical phase of that time. But it had not been possible for him to act directly contrary to his

Government. Therefore, he merely telegraphed to his government, saying he had followed its order. But in fact he -- OSHIMA -- had postponed the fulfillment of the demarche till now. He had waited until the end of the Polish campaign, and he believed the step would be not so grave any more now, especially after he had arbitrarily deprived the order from Tokyo of its severity. So he asked me to take note of the enclosed memorandum.

"I read the memorandum which is indeed no longer very important, but still accepted it only personally and not officially for information. OSHIMA wishes to explain this matter himself if he meets the Reich Foreign Minister in the near future. Then he will add that this paper could disappear in our documents according to our judgment. He thought an explanation, especially of a legal nature would not be good nor well-timed.

"Finally, I told the Ambassador that his conception, as everybody knows, does not agree with outs and I had hoped that the matter was finally concluded. However, I am still ready to prepare the Reichs Foreign Minister for the fact that he -- OSHIMA -- considers it his duty to put a formal end to this matter in some form or other.

"I did not consider it right to refuse the

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ambassador the study of the note since OSHIMA is evidently honestly endeavoring to put an end to the matter.

> (Signed) "Weizsaecker."

I produce prosecution document No. 4034A for introduction in evidence to show that, notwithstanding the temporary set back to the conclusion of a Japanese-German-Italian military alliance, Germany continued her efforts to develop closer German-Japanese relations. The suggestions contained in this and subsequent documents that Germany has mediated for a settlement between Japan and Russia will be considered in a later phase of the case. This document will also give a German appraisal of the accused OSHIMA.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4034A will receive Exhibit No. 507.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 507 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1450, a recess was taken until 1505, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Dudaa & Whaalen

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Hyde.

MR. HYDE: I will now read prosecution document 4034 A in evidence as exhibit No. 507.

(Secret Cipher Process) Diplogerma Tokyo, No. 335 Exclusively for the Ambassador personally.

"These days I had a confidential, detailed discussion with Ambassador OSHIMA regarding the future development of German-Japanese relations. On that occasion I portrayed our standpoint and our aims in the following sense:

many's fate. If Germany were defeated in this war, an extensive world coalition of Western democracies would quickly form itself which would oppose any expansion of Japan and would in particular again take away her position in China. On the other hand, Japan's position would be ultimately secured by the German victories which we expect with certainty, should Japan maintain the existing relations with Germany and further enhance them.

"As our agreement and understanding with Russia constitutes an important factor in the present

.

balance of powers, this approach is also in the wellunderstood interest of Japan, which should welcome
every strengthening of the German position. This was
even more the case as we were quite capable and if desired also ready to mediate for a settlement between
Japan and Russia. If that should succeed, Japan would
be able to freely extend her power in East Asia toward
the south and there penetrate further. According to
our firm conviction this is the direction in which
Japan's vital interests lie. It is quite in accordance with the idea of our approach to Russia and I
openly emphasized it in Moscow, that we, on our part,
are intent on further fostering our relations to Japan.

many, Italy and Japan was therefore not in the least dead. Such cooperation, combined with a Japanese-Russian understanding would, according to the world situation direct itself exclusively against England and thus bring the policy of the three powers as well as of Russia into a uniform line which accords with the real interests of all parties concerned. It is then to be considered that the present attitude of Italy has been stipulated in all details and in complete agreement by the Fuehrer and Duce.

"It was my intention to first work with

emphasis and every possible haste above all else for the realization of an understanding between Japan and Russia and I hoped that now the same political conception would also /prevail/ in Japan. Of course it was important that this should happen quickly so that the mentioned power constellation might yet be realized during our present conflict with England which is decisive for the whole world politics of the future.

"OSHIMA agreed to all points of my statement. He said that the Japanese Army doubtlessly appreciates the idea of an understanding with Russia and that therefore there is certainly a prospect of these ideas soon finding acceptance in the Japanese foreign policy. SHIRATORI, who will soon return to Tokyo from his post of Ambassador in Rose, will also work in this idea.

"I ask you, on your part, to represent by suitable means the foregoing lines of thought in the discussions there and upon my request to talk quite openly about it with Prince Kanin.

"I ask you, furthermore, to express by suitable means /the fact/ that I deem it to be of great importance for the policy I have in mind that OSHIMA remain Ambassador in Berlin. I had worked very closely with him during the last year and had always openly

initiated him into the aims of our policy, so that he was better in the position to represent the Japanese interests in Berlin than a new ambassador. He still enjoys also the complete confidence of the Fuehrer and the German Army. Signed Ribbentrop."

I will now read several sentences not heretofore read from prosecution document 4047, in evidence as exhibit No. 498. This is the telegram from Ott for the State Secretary dated Tokyo, 8 September 1939. I shall read the first part of the telegram.

"For the State Secretary. Secret.

"At the reception yesterday of the Foreign
Minister ABE, I gave my personal opinion to the General, whom I know well, that the continued labours
of Ambassador OSHIMA to foster German-Japanese friendship would certainly be very valuable; he had the full
confidence of the German Government. The Foreign Minister declared he would examine the question favorably.
The Army, on which I had repeatedly worked similarly,
and leading quarters of the Foreign Ministry indicated that OSHIMA's position was secure for the present."

Prosecution document 4045 is now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: What is it?

MR. HYDE: This is a telegram from Woermann

to the German Ambassador in Tokyo and relates to certain things they wanted the Japanese Government to do -or the German Government to do for OSHIMA.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

4045 will receive exhibit No. 508.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 508, and was received in evidence.)

MR. HMDE: I will now read document 4045, in evidence as exhibit 508.

"Berlin, 27 October 1939. State Affairs TOP SECRET. Diplogerma; Tokyo. No. 501. Telegram in
code. (Secret Cipher Process)

"For the Ambassador personally:

"The Reich Foreign Minister requests to support in all respects Ambassador OSHIMA, who after his return, will work further for German-Japanese friendship. He requests to transmit in code /and/ without changes telegrams, delivered there by OSHIMA to the Reich Foreign Minister personally and to take care of the forwarding of letters addressed to the Reich Foreign Minister, unopened, in so far as they are sealed, by sure and speedy route. Signed Woermann

"Before Transmission. To Reich Foreign

```
Minister's Office for information. NOTE: - On instruc-
      tions of Reich Foreign Minister."
 2
 3
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Prosecution documents 4034-B and 4034-C are now offered in evidence to show continued German efforts toward closer Japanese-German collaboration and also to show Ambassador OSHIMA's views and action regarding Japanese expansion in South Asia and the South Seas.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4034-B will receive exhibit No. 509; and document

4034-C will receive exhibit No. 510.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked prosecution's exhibits No. 509 and 510, respectively, and were received in evidence.)

MR. HYDE: I will now read prosecution document 4034-B, in evidence as exhibit No. 409.

(Reading) "Memorandum. The Japanese general, Count TERAUCHI, was received in Zoppot on 20 September 18 o'clock by the Reichs Foreign Minister and at 18.30 o'clock by the Fuehrer.

"I. Conversation with the Reichs Foreign Ministers

"At first TERAUCHI expressed his thanks for the invitation to Germany and for the permission to visit the front, and the thanks of the Japanese Army

(6)

11

9

10

2

5

5

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for the understanding attitude of Germany in the China conflict. Then he expressed his hearty congratulations to the German military successes in Poland. The Reichs Foreign Minister regretted not to have been able to welcome the Count in Berlin and Nuremberg, and gave a short description of the course of military events since 1 September.

"Going over to the German-Japanese relations, the Reichs Foreign Minister said he had talked over these questions with the Fuehrer last night and the Fuehrer had said:

"1.) Germany and Japan were the only two major powers between whom there existed no divergence of political interests.

"2.) Moreover these two states were, as well as Itely, young nations striving upwards, whom England grudged the advances. The Reichs Foreign Minister added that it was his firm conviction that Japan was being most strongly influenced by Germany's fate. If Germany fared well in Europe, Japan would also fare well in East Asia, but if Germany was badly off, Japan would also be badly off. On the other hand Germany was equally interested in Japan's prosperity in East Asia. He had (already) had this conviction for a long time and still had it today.

"3.) Both peoples were soldier nations and the link of the soldierly spirit facilitated the understanding.

"TERAUCHI was mainly receptive and limited himself to saying that this was also his conviction.

"The Japanese Ambassador, the Japanese Military Attache, Mr. STAHMER and the undersigned were present.

"II. Conversation with the Fuehrer.

"The conversation with the Fuehrer ran quite similarly to that with the Reichs Foreign Minister. In connection with TERAUCHI's congratulations, the Fuehrer talked more extremely about the reasons for Germany's advance against Poland, about the teamwork of the various weapons in the Polish war and about the success of the German Army. Regarding German-Japanese relations the Fuehrer said the same as the Reichs Foreign Minister, almost word by word.

"The Reichs Foreign Minister and those mentioned under I were present.

"III. Conversation of the German Foreign Minister after dinner.

"At 7:30 o'clock the Reichs Foreign Minister gave a dinner for Count TERAUCHI, at which also Major General KEITEL took part. After the meal the German Foreign Minister again took up the political conversation

with TERAUCHI. He enlarged upon the statements under No. I above, and then spoke about the German-Russian Non-Aggression Pact. He said that the necessity of a German and a Japanese understanding with Russia had been clear to him for a long time, and that he would have strived for it even in the case of the conclusion of a German-Japanese-Italian Pact. This he had already said to OSHIMA more than a year ago and he #OSHIMA/ had, probably, reported it to Tokyo. In the meantime he as well as OSHIMA had strained all efforts to bring about the German-Japanese-Italian Pact. That it did not succeed was regrettable, but could not be helped. Now Germany on her part had to seek the understanding with Russia by herself, but he was of the conviction that this understanding would also have a favorable effect on Japan. This he had already told the press in Moscow. He had also talked with Stalin about this question, who had answered, 'If the Japanese desire war, they can have war; if they desire an understanding, they can have that, too.' The situation of the Japanese interests, which the Reichs Foreign Minister again depicted as in No. I, remained the same. TERAUCHI confirmed again that he shared this opinion fully. Ambassador OSHIMA, who, before dinner, and until now had only listened, now said he believed that Japan, especially the Japanese Navy,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

.

would be perfectly ready for an advance in South East Asia, 1.e., also against Hongkong. He himself had also proposed this by telegraph. The Reichs Foreign Minister asked him, 'How fer can you go?' OSHIMA replied that he believed that Japan could go rather far in South Asia. He did not go into details concerning a military advance. He was of the opinion that one should try to tear the Netherlands from England and to propose a non-aggression pact to them. Then one could, at the same time, reach an agreement with the Netherlands which would allow Japan to exploit raw materials of the Notherlands Indies 'in an entirely decent way. ' Japon needed tin, rubber and oil from the Netherlands Indies, cotton from British Indie, and wool from Australia. If it got all this it would be self-sufficient and very strong. OSHIMA's statements were very unprecise and uncertain. They only put forth his personal opinion. The Reichs Foreign Minister acknowledged these statements without commenting on them. He did also not make any proposals on his part, but let it be understood in his further statements that Germany was quite ready and willing to collaborate with Japan against England.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"OSHIMA did not mention the forming of a new Chinese central government.

"Berlin, 25 September 1939.

"Herewith presented to the State Secretary according to instructions.

"(Signed) KNOLL."

I now read prosecution document 4034-C, in evidence as exhibit No. 510.

(Reading) "Memorandum. During the trip to the East Front, Count TERAUCHI kept a very reserved attitude towards political conversations. But one remark was noteworthy: On the evening of 23 September, Lisutenant General Count BROCKDORFF and I talked with TERAUCHI about his experiences in the China war and a few military questions connected with it. I asked Count BROCKDORFF of his own accord, as a soldier, to ask: '"ouldn't it then be much smarter from the Japanese standpoint to end the prospectless war with China by a bearable settlement and to utilize the strength of the Japanese Army and fleet more in the south, where, in the economic field, there are much greater successes to be grined?' Count TERAUCHI answered as if he regarded this as a consequence of his statements: 'Certainly that would be much better.' Thile I had the impression that in TERAUCHI's enswers to the statements of the Reichs Foreign Minister and of the Fuehrer he only consented in a polite way to evade a political conversation, I had, at this opportunity, the impression that the Count had really said what he meant.

24

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
"Berlin, 25 September 1939.
            "Herewith presented to the State Secretary.
             "(Signed) KNOLL."
7
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
12
23
24
25
```

THE PRESIDENT: Who do you say made that r statement or that memorandum? MR. HYDE: I didn't hear you, your Honor. n THE PRESIDENT: Who do you say made that r statement? MR. HYDE: That was Count TERAUCHI. THE PRESIDENT: Who was reporting Count B TERAUCHI, I think they call him; is it Knoll: K-n-o-1-1? MR. HYDE: He seems to be quoting Knoll, 10 your Honor. 11 THE PRESIDENT: "Tell, it is signed "Knoll," 12 but maybe --13 MR. HYDE: I present prosecution document 14 4051 for introduction in evidence. 13 THE PRESIDENT: What is it? I want to know 16 what it is before I admit it. 17 MR. HYDE: This is a telegram, your Honor, 18 signed by Ott and Stahmer, addressed to the Secre-19 tary of State, under date of 23 February 1940, re-20 citing conditions that they found after Stahmer's 21 arrival in Japan. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 23 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 4051 will receive exhibit No. 511. 23

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit

No. 511 was received in evidence.)

MR. HYDE: I will now read prosecution's document No. 4051 in evidence as exhibit No. 511. (Reading)

"Top Secret!

"Telegram (Secret Cirlia Process)

"Tokyo, 23 February 1926

"For the State Secretary personally.

"After my errival here I found such Japanese already known to me as OSHIMA, SHIRATORI,
TERAUCHI, ISHI, atc. in an unchanged friendly attitude
and ready for every surport. I have the following
impression of the political situation: at present
domestic political difficulties mainly occupy all
groups and parties.

"In foreign politics the government is trying not to be led into the European confederation. Friendly attitude towards England and America. Before the military actions in Europe have any effect, no important decisions are to be expected.

"The influence of the Army, greatly weakened since the last summer session, is already growing again. Further increase may be counted on. Well known pro-German officials of the Foreign Ministry

22

21

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

and corresponding officers of the General Staff and
the War Ministry have, for several months, been systematically transferred to foreign posts, i.e., China.
A cancellation of this measure is being pursued
here with all means.

"I got into contect with the circles in question and agreed to continue the mork after my return from America.

"Public opinion is, to a large extent, proGerman and likewise anti-Pritish. Consequently, a
favorable basis for our political sime. At present
public opinion is not yet too active against court
and financial circles. It would be advantageous if
Russian readiness for an understanding with Japan
could be made more strongly noticeable. Apart from
an improvement of the political atmosphere, the endeavors for an improvement of a German-Japanese exchange of goods via Siberia, which are under way,
could be favorably influenced hereby."

s/"STAMMER
s/OTT"

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: The next subdivision of the subject Tri-partite Pact is Conclusion of the Tri-partite Pact. During the period of negotiations for a Tri-partite military alliance the following historical events of which the Tribunal has taken judicial notice by Order No. 400 occurred in East Asia and the South Seas:

On 30 March 1940, the Wang Ching-wei Government was proclaimed in Nanking, and the United States refused to recognize this regime.

On 12 January 1940, the Japanese Government informed the Netherlands Government of the abrogation of the Japanese-Netherlands Arbitration Treaty.

On 20 March 1940, the Japanese Consulate was newly opened at Noumea, capitol of New Caledonia.

I respectfully request that the Tribunal take judicial notice of the Japanese seizure of Hainan Island, a French possession off the coast of Indo-China on 10 February 1939, the basis for which is a statement in the Japan Year Book, 1941-42 at page 106 in the following language:

"1939, "Hainan Island surprised by the forcible landing of Japanese forces, February 10."

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, we have

12

3

8

9

10

11

14

13

16

17

19

21

22

23

no objection to the Court taking judicial notice of what appears in the Year Book, "Hainan Island surprised by the forcible landing of Japanese forces, February 10," but we do take objection to the conclusions which counsel for prosecution has drawn from that statement.

THE PRESIDENT: We take judicial notice of the taking by the Japanese and of the manner of taking and of the time of taking.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I think what we have in mind is this: That the Tribunal take judicial notice of the fact that this statement appears in the Year Book.

THE PRESIDENT: We could not take judicial notice of that. We take judicial notice of the historical fact itself regardless of the Year Book.

Dr. KIYOSE.

DR. KIYOSE: I wish to a scertein whether the island that the prosecutor just mentioned is Hainan Island or the Shinnan Islands or the Spratley Islands.

MR. TAVENNER: The island that I refer to is, just as I stated, the Hainan Island and not the Spratley Islands.

I wish now to offer in evidence --

19 20

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

DR. KIYOSE: The Hainan Island is not in front of French Indo-China. It does not face 2 Frence Indo-China; it faces the Chinese province of Kwantung. THE PRESIDENT: For the time being, we are not concerned with its exact location. We take judicial notice of the fact, as I have stated already. MR. TAVENNER: I now wish to offer in 10 evidence prosecution document 829-B relating to the Japanese seizure of the Spratley Islands. THE PRESIDENT: We judicially notice the fact. You have not mentioned the time for the purposes of the record. 16

MR. TAVENNER: March 31, 1939 is the date of the seizure of the Spratley Islands -- the date of the announcement of the seizure of the Spratley Islands. (Reading)

"Official Announcements of Foreign Office, Vol. 18"

"STATEMENT OF THE FOREIGN OFFICE CONCERNING THE ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION OVER SHINNAN GUNTO.

March 31, 1939.

"Shinnen Gunto (or the Spratley Islands) are a group of small reefa lying in the South China Sea, off the Coast of French Indo-China. These reefs

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

had long been known as ownerless. However, in 1917 Japanese began, before the nationals of any other country, to embark upon the economic development of the reefs, which has continued ever since, by investing a considerable amount of capital and erecting various permanent establishments. The Japanese Government, officially recognizing the activities of these nationals, have on several occasions since sent warships to the reefs and been giving them various aids as occasion demanded. But the absence of administrative jurisdiction over the reefs has caused not only inconveniences with regard to the protection and regulation of the lives, property and enterprises of the Japanese nationals there, but has been liable to give rise to unnecessary disputes with France. Accordingly, the Japanese Government, in order to eliminate such inconveniences and disadvantages, have decided to place the reefs under the jurisdiction of the Government - General of Taiwan, and having published the fact under date of March 30, 1939, the Vice Minister for Foreign Affairs, Mr. Renzo Sawada, notified the French Ambassador in Tokyo, Mr. Charles Arsene-Henry, to this effect on March 31."

24

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I regret that I did not await the marking 1 2 of that document in evidence and that it has not 3 actually been admitted in evidence. THE PRESIDENT: Are you tendering it? MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 7 terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 829-B will receive exhibit No. 512. 10 (Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit 11 No. 512 was received in evidence.) 12 MR. TAVENNER: Prosecution document 865 is 13 offered in evidence. It is the treaty between Japan 14 and Thailand concerning the continuance of friendly 15 relations and mutual respect of each other's terri-16 torial integrity, concluded 12 June 1940. As it is 17 desired at this time only to show the fact of such 18 a treaty, its provisions will not be read. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 20 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 21 No. 865 will receive exhibit No. 513. 22 (Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit 23 No. 513 was received in evidence.) 24 MR. TAVENNER: During the period of nego-25

tiations with a Tri-partite military alliance, the

-

following historical events, of which the Tribunal has taken judicial notice by Order No. 400, occurred in Europe: On 1 September 1939, Germany invaded Poland. On 9 May, 1940, Germany invaded Belgium, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands. On 10 June, 1940, Italy declared war on 9 Britain and France. 10 On 17 June 1940, France asked armistice 11 terms of Germany. 12 I tender in evidence prosecution document 13 4030. This is a telegram, from the German Ambassa-14 dor, to Germany. 15 THE PRESIDENT: "To Tokyo." Admitted on 16 the usual terms. 17 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 18 No. 4030 will receive exhibit No. 514. 19 (Thereupon, prosecution's exhibit 20 No. 514 was received in evidence.) 21 MR. TAVENNER: At the time this telegram 22 was sent by the German Ambassador in Tokyo, the 23 accused HATA was War Minister in Japan. (Reading) 24 "Secret Telegram No. 255 of 23.3.40 25 "Tokyo, 23 March 1940 09.45

"Arrived, 23 March 1940 17.20

"The political development of the last few days reveals a certain stiffening between Japan and England, America, which has come to light in an obvious move of protest by the British Ambassador against the formation of the Central Government, the sudden announcement of the American Ambassador's proceeding to America, and a debate in the Diet. Diet members from several parties simultaneously pressed the Foreign Minister to a strengthening of contact with the friendly countries - Germany and Italy. The Foreign Minister emphasized the friendly relations existing towards the Axis powers, but described the solution of the China conflict as a most pressing problem, which necessitated the pursuit of a policy of non-intervention.

"Noteworthy is the report of strong words by the spokesman of the army in the Diet and statements by the War and Navy Ministers that Japan's progress in China cannot be stopped by the obsolete Nine Power Treaty. This attitude has obvisouly been influenced by the opposition of the Anglo-Saxon powers to the New Chinase Central Government.

"The same message is being sent to Shanghai and Hsinking."
Signed "OTT"

I offer in evidence prosecution's document 4026-1, a telegram from the German Embassy in Tokyo, 2 for the purpose of showing Germany's interest in a change of Cabinet. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4026-A will receive exhibit No. 515. ("hereupon, prosecution's exhibit No. 515 was received in evidence.) MR. TAVENNER: (Reading) "Telegram (Scoret Cipher Process)

"TCKYO: 10 Mev 1940

"As fast as possible

"For the Reich Foreign Minister

"Numerous conversations during my fourteen day stav in Tokyo with authoritative personalities. in political, military, navel and economic spheres give the following picture:

"The YONAI-ARITA government is striving for further agreement with England and America. I consider the reslization of this thoroughly unlikely in view of America's stubborn attitude, and the markedly stronger Anti-British ominions in the Army, the people and some economic circles. The most recent Cornen successes have created a great impression here.

19 20

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23 24

East. The Russian embassador expressed to me his readiness for a Russo-Japanese agreement, but stressed the mutual distrust. Our Embassy is endeavoring to advance personal feelers /FUEHLUNGNAHME/ between the Russian Embassy and influential Japanese so as to overcome this.

"As regards domestic volities, difficulties for the cabinet, whose economic policies are inadeaurte, are increasing again. The conference of provincial governors now in session confirms the widespread distress and discontent. The eventual new
government which, it is hoped, will be under the
leadership of Frince KONOYE, of the group friendly to
us, will have to restrict itself, first of all to the
solution of the China conflict, and urgent demestic
relief measures.

"With regard to foreign policy, apart from on eventual cabinet change, it is to be presumed that the tension with England will increase or at least continue. The same holds good for relations with America, both sides are seeking to avoid their leading to war."

s/"STAHMER

s/OIT"

I present for introduction in evidence prosecution's document 4027-A from which I will read an excerpt beginning at the bottom of page 1. It is a telegran from the German Embassy in Tokyo to Berlin.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4027-A will receive exhibit No. 516.

(Thereupon, prosecution's exhibit

No. 516 was received in evidence.)

Process, Tokyo, 12 June 1940. (Reading)

"The embassy is still endeavoring to stir up Japanese ill feeling against america by influencing the press and leading political personalities in a way deemed proper. I myself have expressed the thought in many discussions with leading political personalities as for example KONOYE, SUETSUGU, and KUHARA that the interests and activity of America ... (one group of words garbled) in the Pacific area and therefore a conflict between Japan and America is in the long run unavoidable.

"In confidential cooperation with the embassy, ambassadors OSHAIR and SHIRATOUT/ OSHIMA and

SHIRATORI/ and circles closely connected with them are operating in the same direction." THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half-past nine tomorrow morning. (Thereupon, at 1600, a recess was taken until Tuesday, 24 September 1946 at 0930.)