Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber IX
- 3 Situation: Republic of Uganda
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Dominic Ongwen ICC-02/04-01/15
- 5 Presiding Judge Bertram Schmitt, Judge Péter Kovács and
- 6 Judge Raul Cano Pangalangan
- 7 Trial Hearing Courtroom 3
- 8 Wednesday, 4 October 2017
- 9 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.31 a.m.)
- 10 THE COURT USHER: [9:31:40] All rise.
- 11 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:32:06] Good morning, everyone.
- 13 Good morning, Mr Witness.
- 14 Could the court officer please call the case.
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:32:14] Good morning, Mr President, your Honours.
- 16 The situation in the Republic of Uganda, in the case of The Prosecutor versus
- 17 Dominic Ongwen, case reference ICC-02/04-01/15.
- 18 And for the record, we are in open session.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:32:28] Thank you.
- 20 And I ask for the appearances of the parties.
- 21 Mr Gumpert.
- 22 MR GUMPERT: [9:32:35] May it please your Honour, this morning I will be asking
- 23 the questions. My name is Ben Gumpert. With me today, Kamran Choudhry,
- 24 Julian Elderfield, Pubudu Sachithanandan -- I don't know why Mr Sachithanandan
- 25 should have resulted in such a (Overlapping speakers)

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:32:55] We all assume that it has nothing to do
- 2 with the person.
- 3 MR GUMPERT: [9:32:58] Yulia Nuzban, Shahriar Yeasin Khan and
- 4 Ramu Fatima Bittaye.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:05] And for Legal Representatives, we have
- 6 two teams. Again, Mrs Massidda in the first row.
- 7 MS MASSIDDA: [9:33:11] Good morning, your Honour. Paolina Massidda.
- 8 With me today, Orchlon Narantsetseg, Caroline Walter and Kim Hyuree.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:18] Thank you.
- 10 And Mr Manoba for the second team.
- 11 MR MANOBA: [9:33:21] Good morning, your Honours. Joseph Manoba and
- 12 James Mawira.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:26] Thank you very much.
- 14 And for the Defence, Mr Obhof.
- 15 MR OBHOF: [9:33:28] Good morning, your Honour. Today with me,
- 16 Thomas Obhof, is Ms Abigail Bridgman, Chief Charles Achaleke Taku and our client,
- 17 Mr Dominic Ongwen. And I think Ben forgot to announce Mr Colin Black on
- 18 the Prosecution and Mr Paul Bradfield, sorry. I didn't see him back there because
- 19 Julian's head is right in the way.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:53] Thank you for reminding us, Mr Obhof,
- 21 and I don't hope, Mr Gumpert, that this causes any disturbances in your team.
- 22 MR GUMPERT: [9:33:59] A stab in the back is coming my way.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:34:03] Okay. And we have, I assume at least,
- 24 that we have Mrs Kerwegi at the video-link location. Yes, thank you.
- 25 MS KERWEGI: [9:34:13] Good morning, your Honours.

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:34:15] Good morning, Mrs Kerwegi too.
- 2 And we are now calling -- not we, the Prosecution is calling now P-81 as its next
- 3 witness. And I would first like to welcome the witness in the video-link location on
- 4 behalf of the Chamber.
- 5 Before commencing, we note that we have previously granted Mrs Kerwegi's request
- 6 of assurances pursuant to Rule 74 of the Rules. In order to give effect to these
- 7 assurances, the Chamber also granted the witness face distortion and private session
- 8 to discuss matters which risk revealing identifying and incriminating information.
- 9 But I think we are all prepared. The Chamber does not expect that this will manifest
- 10 itself largely, so to speak.
- 11 Mr Witness, you have a card in front of you with the oath. Could you please read
- 12 out loud this card.
- 13 WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081
- 14 (The witness speaks Acholi)
- 15 (The witness gives evidence via video link)
- 16 THE WITNESS: [9:35:23] (Interpretation) Yes, I can read it.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:35:26] Please do that, if you can.
- 18 THE WITNESS: [9:35:30] (Interpretation) I swear that I will speak the truth, nothing
- 19 but the truth.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:35:47] And the whole truth, of course, I would
- 21 say.
- 22 THE WITNESS: [9:35:51] Yes, and the whole truth.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:35:55] So that we have it complete. It is
- 24 traditional to have the three components, so to speak.
- 25 Mr Witness, you are now sworn in and I would like to explain you certain measures

Trial Hearing (Open Session)
WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

1 that the Chamber has put in place for your testimony. I have already mentioned

- 2 them shortly. We have put face distortion put in place. Face distortion means that
- 3 nobody outside the courtroom can see your face during your testimony.
- 4 We also use a pseudonym, what we call a pseudonym. In accordance to that, we
- 5 will only refer to you as "Mr Witness" and not with your name. This is to make sure
- 6 that the public does not know your name. And as long as you answer questions that
- 7 do not give away your identity and your real name, we will do that in open session.
- 8 On the other hand, when there are questions that could reveal your real name and
- 9 your identity, we will go to private session. Private session means that there is no
- 10 broadcast and no one outside the courtroom can hear your answer.
- 11 Mr Witness, we have also granted you assurances protecting you against possible
- 12 self-incrimination issues which may arise during your testimony. And this means
- that we give you the assurance that your testimony will not be used either directly or
- indirectly against you in any subsequent proceedings by this Court. With one
- exception, if you would not tell this Court the truth, but that is clear that you will tell
- us the truth because you have been sworn in. If any question is asked that could
- lead to self-incrimination, we go to private session and keep the answer confidential.
- 18 Mr Witness, this was a lot of information, so I may ask you if you have understood all
- 19 that?
- 20 THE WITNESS: [9:37:53] Yes, I have heard and I have understood.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:37:56] Thank you very much.
- 22 And before we start with your testimony, some practical matters:
- 23 Everything we say in the courtroom is written down and interpreted, and because of
- 24 it, because of the interpretation, we have to speak clearly and at a relatively slow pace,
- 25 and we should only start speaking when the person asking the question has finished

04.10.2017 Page 4

ICC-02/04-01/15

1 with that.

filed in the case

- 2 If you have any questions yourself, raise your hand so we know that you want to
- 3 speak and then we give you the floor. And also you have counsel at your side that
- 4 you can ask if you want to.
- 5 Mr Witness, I think that is all for the preliminaries and we will now commence with
- 6 the Prosecution's questioning.
- 7 Mr Gumpert, please.
- 8 MR GUMPERT: [9:38:44] Your Honours, just before I begin with the questions, this
- 9 of course is a witness who is being heard under the provisions of Rule 68, and
- 10 particularly sub-rule 3. Your Honours will see from the binder in front of you that
- 11 there is a statement -- no binder?
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:39:10] No, no binder. I have the statement
- 13 nevertheless for myself, so to speak, for private -- not private use, I would say, for
- 14 official use, yes.
- 15 MR GUMPERT: [9:39:20] The binders were circulated yesterday because we had
- 16 previously anticipated -- no?
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:39:27] Circulate does in this instance not mean
- that it ended up here on the desk.
- 19 MR GUMPERT: [9:39:46] We have two, your Honour.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:40:00] I think I am relatively complete, I would
- 21 say. Let me have a look what I have. I have a drawing and I have a transcript of
- 22 a former statement. And I have something which is called investigators' notes.
- 23 MR GUMPERT: [9:40:20] Yes. Then your Honour is fully equipped, if I can put it
- 24 that way.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:40:25] Then for me personally, I won't need the

1 binder. So I -- okay.

filed in the case

- 2 MR GUMPERT: [9:40:30] The statement is at tab 1, for those who are using the
- 3 binder. And one of its most obvious features is that it is taken in March of 2005, so
- 4 over a decade ago. In July of 2007, as we can see from the investigators' notes, there
- 5 was a further meeting between the investigators and the witness and some 12
- 6 corrections or clarifications were made.
- 7 Thus far, when the witness has been a 68(3) witness, counsel has begun by having the
- 8 witness adopt the statement and say that he doesn't object to the Court using it
- 9 eventually, and then asked a few questions for clarification.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:41:21] This is, of course, a little bit more difficult
- if, if we have already clarifications on the record, so to speak.
- 12 MR GUMPERT: [9:41:29] The way I propose to solve it, subject to any direction
- which the Court may give to the contrary, is to invert the normal procedure. I will
- begin and indeed these are really the only questions that I have by asking questions
- designed to elicit the clarifications in the investigators' note. So that's sworn
- 16 testimony on the record. And then ask the witness if with -- subject to those matters,
- 17 he adopts his statement and has no objection. Is that an appropriate method?
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:42:03] At first, I think so, and I would not assume
- 19 that there are any objections by the Defence. Mr Obhof.
- 20 MR OBHOF: [9:42:11] No objections, your Honour. I think Mr Gumpert proposes
- 21 a very fine idea.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:42:16] Yes, I also like it. I think this is the first
- 23 instance that something like that occurred and, yes, I think that's a good idea. So
- 24 please proceed.
- 25 Perhaps explain, explain to the witness a little bit what's going on (Overlapping

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

1 speakers)

filed in the case

- 2 MR GUMPERT: [9:42:33] I will, your Honour, yes.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:42:34] Because it might be difficult, he is far away,
- 4 and also our discussion about the binders and so on. It's perhaps a little bit -- he
- 5 should be informed what's going on.
- 6 MR GUMPERT: [9:42:44] I'll do that, your Honour.
- 7 May we go into private session for the details of identification.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:42:49] Of course, private session.
- 9 (Private session at 9.42 a.m.)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Open session at 9.44 a.m.)
- 24 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:44:41] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 25 MR GUMPERT: [9:44:49]

- 1 Q. [9:44:49] Mr Witness, I'm going to ask that you be shown I imagine it will
- 2 appear on the screen in front of you a statement which you made and signed back
- 3 in 2005, so 12 years ago.

filed in the case

- 4 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:45:20] (Via video link) Counsel, the witness is presented
- 5 with the document in paper copy.
- 6 MR GUMPERT: [9:45:23] Excellent, thank you.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:45:24] Even better, I would say.
- 8 MR GUMPERT: [9:45:26] Indeed. And the ERN number I am going to put it into
- 9 modern-day terms is UGA-OTP-0070-0029.
- 10 Q. [9:45:44] That statement has your name on its front page, doesn't it, Mr Witness?
- 11 A. [9:45:53] Yes, that's how it is.
- 12 Q. [9:45:56] And if you turn to the second last page, you can see your signature on
- it, together with the date, 23 March 2005. Can you see that?
- 14 A. [9:46:13] Yes, I have seen it.
- 15 Q. [9:46:19] Mr Witness, the records show that a little over two years later you met
- 16 Prosecution investigators again in July 2007. Do you remember that?
- 17 A. [9:46:41] Yes, I do remember.
- 18 Q. [9:46:44] And during the course of that meeting, you indicated a small number
- of clarifications and corrections of the original statement. Do you remember that?
- 20 A. [9:47:07] Yes, I do recall that.
- 21 Q. [9:47:09] The only questions that I'm going to ask you are to enable you to tell
- 22 the judges today the details of those clarifications and corrections. Do you
- 23 understand?
- 24 A. [9:47:30] Yes, I have understood.
- 25 Q. [9:47:33] So the sequence, the order in which I ask my questions, may seem a bit

- 1 random, because I'm just concentrating on the things that need to be clarified. So
- 2 don't worry about that.

filed in the case

- 3 And one last stage direction, if I can call it that. I am going to indicate the
- 4 paragraphs of the statement. I'm not asking you to look at that paragraph,
- 5 Mr Witness. You just listen to what I ask and give the answer. But it is so that the
- 6 judges can hear your answer whilst they are looking at the relevant part of the
- 7 original statement. Are you clear?
- 8 A. [9:48:32] That is okay.
- 9 Q. [9:48:40] In the course of your statement, you talk about an attack on the camp
- at Pajule in October 2003. That's right, isn't it?
- 11 A. [9:48:58] Yes, that's right.
- 12 MR GUMPERT: [9:49:01] And for your Honours, I'm now concentrating on
- 13 paragraph 20.
- 14 Q. [9:49:09] In describing that attack, you talked about a man called Lukwiya and
- 15 you talked about a weapon which you described as an SPG-9.
- 16 Could you tell the judges, please, what happened to that SPG-9 at the end of the
- 17 attack? Where did it go? Where was it left?
- 18 A. [9:49:51] At the time when I was making this statement, I mentioned the name
- 19 of Lukwiya and I also indeed mentioned the name SPG-9, which is a gun. I
- 20 mentioned the name of this gun because the person Lukwiya whom I also mentioned
- 21 in the statement was the one who was operating it. He was injured and (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted).
- 23 And that is how I knew about -- that's why I mentioned it. That weapon SPG-9
- 24 remained near the barracks of Pajule after the attack. I knew about that because his
- 25 fellow commanders came to talk to him and to see him after the injury and they

- 1 would talk about the weapon.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:51:14] I think you can continue to the next point.
- 3 MR GUMPERT: [9:51:16] Indeed. And I am now on a detail in paragraph 21.
- 4 Q. [9:51:23] Mr Witness, you talked about the clothing being worn by the group of
- 5 attackers who were leading you out of the camp. Can you remember, can you tell
- 6 the Court, please, what clothing those people were wearing? Was it all the same or
- 7 different types of clothing?
- 8 A. [9:52:13] The clothing was not the same. Some people were wearing uniform.
- 9 When I talk about uniform, I mean both a trouser and shirt was the same, which is
- 10 a camouflage, greenish in colour. Others were only wearing camouflage shirts, but
- 11 to us that is also a military uniform. Others had only a camouflage trousers while
- 12 the others had -- did not wear military uniform.
- 13 Q. [9:53:11] Thank you.
- 14 MR GUMPERT: [9:53:13] I'm coming now, your Honours, to paragraph 30.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:53:17] This can be made short by
- 16 a -- putting -- asking him what did the helicopter fire, and that would be enough, I
- 17 think.
- 18 MR GUMPERT: [9:53:28] Your Honours --
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:53:29] I don't want to interfere too much.
- 20 MR GUMPERT: [9:53:33] There's a slight wrinkle with that.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:53:38] I trust you with the wrinkle, please.
- 22 MR GUMPERT: [9:53:42]
- 23 Q. [9:53:42] Mr Witness, you said in your statement that during or after the attack
- 24 a helicopter arrived. Do you remember the helicopter?
- 25 A. [9:54:04] Yes, I mentioned that a helicopter arrived.

- 1 Q. [9:54:09] And my first clarification is this: While you had the helicopter in your
- 2 eyesight, did the helicopter fire its guns at all?
- 3 A. [9:54:41] When we were moving, the helicopter was hovering above our -- above
- 4 us, but I never heard any guns fired. But when I returned, we were told that they
- 5 were firing small, small ammunitions. It was not a helicopter gunship, but it was
- 6 a smaller helicopter that was firing the small bullets.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:55:13] That was -- indeed makes a sort of
- 8 difference.
- 9 MR GUMPERT: [9:55:17] I am going to move on from that. That's a clear
- 10 description.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:55:22] Exactly.
- 12 MR GUMPERT: [9:55:26] And a useful distinction between the witness's own
- 13 knowledge and the reported --
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:55:35] Absolutely, yes. Absolutely.
- 15 MR GUMPERT: [9:55:38] Paragraph 36 for your Honours. I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm
- 16 jumping ahead.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:55:43] Thirty-two?
- 18 MR GUMPERT: [9:55:47] Thirty-two and 33.
- 19 Q. [9:55:51] Mr Witness, I am not going to remind you of what you said about the
- 20 crossing of the Lacek Tar river. I am going to ask you instead to describe to the
- 21 judges what happened when you came to the Lacek Tar river. Can you explain to
- 22 them everything which you can remember about events which happened when you
- 23 came to the Lacek Tar river?
- 24 A. [9:56:39] What happened when we crossed Lacek Tar is that among some of us
- 25 who were abducted together, (Redacted) a wounded soldier

- 1 named Lukwiya, whom I talked about earlier. Among us there was a secretary of
- 2 one of the local leaders or the sub-county chief. I don't know whether I should
- 3 mention his name. He was limping a bit. He had a disability.
- 4 Then he was told that he was intending to limp -- he was asked whether he wanted to
- 5 rest or he wanted to proceed with the journey. He was untied and told to go and
- 6 rest if he cannot walk at the pace that is required, because we were carrying
- 7 a wounded soldier and we were walking at a fast pace.
- 8 When we reached near a tamarind tree after crossing the river, he was released. We
- 9 continued walking and I didn't know what happened until when I came back, I was
- 10 told under that same tamarind tree where he was told to rest, he was killed there.
- 11 When they were talking about taking a rest, they wanted to kill him. That is what I
- 12 know before crossing Lacek Tar river.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:58:26] And again, the witness differentiates
- 14 exactly between his own experiences, what he witnessed himself, and what he knows
- 15 from hearsay. I think that would be okay.
- 16 MR GUMPERT: [9:58:39] Two further matters. Firstly, please do give us the name.
- 17 It is important that you do that.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:58:56] The name of the person who has been
- 19 killed, as you have said.
- 20 MR GUMPERT: [9:59:14] Perhaps I'm --
- 21 THE WITNESS: [9:59:16] (Interpretation) Okay, the person who was called -- who
- 22 was killed is called Lacung.
- 23 MR GUMPERT: [9:59:29]
- Q. [9:59:29] And secondly the judges may be interested to know: Who told you
- 25 that he'd been killed? How did you learn that?

- 1 A. [9:59:48] When I returned home, a report arrived -- had come home that I had
- 2 been killed, but people gathered to see me when I returned. And then we were
- 3 asked how we moved, and then when I explained, they told me that the person whom
- 4 you say had been released to rest was killed in that same place because he was not
- 5 able to carry the wounded person (Redacted).
- 6 MR GUMPERT: [10:00:32] Your Honours, I'm going to move on to paragraph 61.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:00:36] Yes. I think 36 is not of such a relevance
- 8 that we should spend our time.
- 9 MR GUMPERT: [10:00:53]
- 10 Q. [10:00:53] Mr Witness, I'm going to read to you a couple of sentences from your
- statement -- your Honours, there towards the end of that paragraph and then ask
- 12 you a question.
- 13 You said this:
- 14 "Otti was also the commander reporting back to Kony giving him information about
- 15 what was happening in Uganda. I know this because (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted) and they would be in contact with Otti."
- 17 Can you just clarify who the big people (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted) meant that you knew this information about Otti?
- 19 MR OBHOF: [10:01:58] Your Honour, it is not an objection, but I request to go into
- 20 private session before the witness answers, please.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:02:05] Yes, I think that's a good idea.
- 22 Thank you, Mr Obhof.
- 23 Private session.
- 24 (Private session at 10.02 a.m.)
- 25 (Redacted)

ICC-02/04-01/15-T-118-Red2-ENG WT 04-10-2017 14/67 NM T

— ICC 02/04-01/15 T 118 Red ENG WT 04-10-2017 14/67 SZ

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber' IX's instructions, ICC-02/04-01/15-497, dated 13 July 2016, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case

- 1 (Open session at 10.05 a.m.)
- 2 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:05:33] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 3 MR GUMPERT: [10:05:39]
- 4 Q. [10:05:40] In your witness statement, you spoke about an attack on a place called
- 5 Omoro. You made it clear that you didn't go yourself to this attack, but that you
- 6 knew about it. Can you explain, please, to the Court how you understood the orders
- 7 for the attack on Omoro were sent to the group which carried out that attack? What
- 8 was the means of transmission?
- 9 A. [10:06:34] With -- as I stated earlier, I knew about this because when they were
- preparing to go to the attack, they came to the commander and he gave them
- instructions despite the fact that he was injured. He told them that there was no
- 12 food and they also needed other necessities for their -- to help them while in the bush,
- because they wanted to move from the position they were located at.
- 14 I saw a group of soldiers, a group of soldiers that came with guns, and they were
- 15 briefed by the commander. He briefed them how to go to the attack and where they
- were going to find us in this new location when they came back. So when they go to
- 17 the attack, they knew the RV where they were supposed to meet us and then join us.
- 18 We left the place, the place where we were, and they also went, left for their mission.
- 19 Q. [10:07:58] Just one last clarification, if you can give it. But how did the
- 20 commander, the person you call the commander, actually get the instructions to carry
- 21 out the attack in the first place?
- 22 A. [10:08:27] Well, I do not know how he got the instructions, but I saw when these
- 23 people arrived, I saw them talking to -- I saw him talking to them, and when they
- 24 departed, but I do not know how they started coming to where we were.
- 25 Q. [10:08:52] Thank you. I'm done with the clarifications. Can I ask that you're

- shown the statement again. That's UGA-OTP-0070-0029. It's tab 1. And can you
- 2 turn to the very last page, which has the last four digits 0050, and that should be
- 3 a sketch map or plan. Can you see that?
- 4 A. [10:09:34] Yes, I'm looking at it.
- 5 Q. [10:09:37] Who drew that plan?
- 6 A. [10:09:44] I did. I drew it.
- 7 Q. [10:09:47] And when you referred to the -- it's called a map in paragraph 27 of
- 8 your statement, is this the map you're talking about?
- 9 A. [10:10:07] Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. [10:10:12] Thank you. Mr Witness, the judges can use your statement in the
- light of the clarifications you have given today and the sketch that you drew when
- 12 they come to make up their minds about this case. Do you object to them using your
- 13 statement in that way?
- 14 A. [10:10:59] I have no objections.
- 15 Q. [10:11:03] Thank you. That's all my questions.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:11:06] Thank you, Mr Gumpert.
- 17 And for the Legal Representative, Mrs Massidda, do you have questions?
- 18 MS MASSIDDA: [10:11:12] Yes, your Honour, thank you very much.
- 19 With your indulgence, I will introduce myself to witness because I had no
- 20 opportunity to meet him during the familiarisation process and courtesy meeting.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:11:24] That makes absolutely sense.
- 22 MS MASSIDDA: [10:11:27] Thank you.
- 23 QUESTIONED BY MS MASSIDDA:
- 24 Q. [10:11:35] Good morning, Mr Witness.
- 25 A. [10:11:37] Good morning.

- 1 Q. [10:11:39] My name is Paolina Massidda. We could not meet during the
- 2 courtesy meeting, and in this trial I am representing a group of victims who
- 3 participates in the proceedings.
- 4 I would like for you a few questions of clarification following the presentation of your
- 5 statements in the case record.
- 6 Mr Witness, could you please tell us how was your daily life before the attack in
- 7 Pajule on 10 October 2003?
- 8 A. [10:12:46] Before the Pajule attack I had a good life. I had a job, I was
- 9 employed because I was a builder.
- 10 Q. [10:13:08] Mr Witness, was your family affected in any way by the attack?
- 11 A. [10:13:27] Mmm, not -- well, let me begin with myself. If -- personally, when
- we talk about the war, it starts from when I was asked to carry the commanders, the
- commanders I mentioned earlier. And what happens in the bush, the recruitment of
- people, people are beaten with machetes, up to, to date I have the marks on my back.
- 15 I also have problems with my back. If I do any hard labour, then I have problems
- 16 with my back. I am not able to perform the tasks I used to do prior to that, such as
- 17 carrying bricks and doing the construction work, so that has affected me in that way.
- 18 With respect to my family, when I was in the bush they were told that I had been
- 19 killed, I had been shot by a bomb, so my family were extremely saddened by the
- 20 news. So when I came back home --
- 21 MS KERWEGI: [10:14:53] Your Honour, I'm sorry to interrupt. I request that that
- 22 specific part be redacted because the witness can be identified.
- 23 MS MASSIDDA: [10:15:03] Your Honour, I think there is an intervention by the
- 24 counsel of the witness.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:15:07] Yes, I have heard it, but I think there is no,

- 1 no (Overlapping speakers)
- 2 MS MASSIDDA: [10:15:11] I agree with you, your Honour, (Overlapping speakers)
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: There is no problem.
- 4 MS MASSIDDA: [10:15:16] I don't think there is any need --
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: No, no.
- 6 MS MASSIDDA: -- to go in private session --
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: No, no.
- 8 MS MASSIDDA: -- but I'm in your hands.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:15:17] No, no. I also don't think so.
- 10 And, Mrs Massidda, this was quite a comprehensive answer until now, but he can of
- 11 course continue where he has not finished and we should give the witness the time to
- 12 express himself.
- 13 MS MASSIDDA: [10:15:33]
- 14 Q. [10:15:33] Mr Witness, we are sorry for the interruption. You were talking
- about what happened to your family when you were in the bush. Please continue.
- 16 A. [10:16:00] I was saying earlier that my family had been saddened, were
- saddened by the news, the news they received that I had been killed by a bomb.
- 18 Until the day I came back, they believed that I was dead, and it was only when I came
- 19 back that they -- things were better.
- 20 And the war, the war also made people very frightened so people constantly lived in
- 21 the centres.
- 22 Q. [10:16:45] Thank you, Mr Witness. And when you were at home you were of
- course sustaining your family with your job. How your family manage to survive
- 24 during the time you were in the bush?
- A. [10:17:22] Well, there was nobody to help them, but during my absence people

- were already in the camps. They were being given food by World Food so that's
- 2 what they survived on.
- 3 Q. [10:17:48] And, Mr Witness, how did you feel after the abduction knowing that
- 4 you left your family behind?
- 5 A. [10:18:21] I was worried about them. It stressed me out extremely, because if I
- 6 had not been abducted on that day I would have been going to school. So I was
- 7 worried, I was unhappy, and I kept on telling myself that if I get to a place that I'm
- 8 familiar with, then I have to escape. That's why when I went to, when I managed to
- 9 get to Pader district I escaped. I was worried and I -- I was determined not to stay in
- 10 the LRA.
- 11 Q. [10:19:07] Mr Witness, you just mentioned to us that if you were not abducted
- 12 you could have gone to school. Did you manage to go back to school upon your
- return from the bush?
- 14 A. [10:19:28] Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. [10:19:38] And which kind of school did you manage to attend?
- 16 A. [10:19:50] I went to continue building, with a building course.
- 17 Q. [10:20:04] And I understand that you managed to finish school?
- 18 A. [10:20:16] Yes. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. [10:20:26] Now, Mr Witness, could you please describe for us briefly the living
- 20 conditions in the bush. How did you manage to get food, how you could sleep?
- 21 A. [10:20:53] While we were in the bush most times, as I stated before, I was
- carrying the injured people and food would be brought to us, they would be brought
- 23 to the commander that I was carrying. Whatever was left over or part of the food
- 24 would be given to us. But I know that they got the food from the camps. When
- 25 they brought the food to the commander that I was carrying, they would tell him that

- this food has been collected from the camp. And that's how they used to collect
- 2 food.
- 3 Other times, if we went back to the old bay locations, they would get food from the
- 4 ground. They would dig food that had been buried from the ground because
- 5 sometimes they would bury the food and when we went back to the old locations
- 6 they would unearth that food and that's what we would survive on.
- With respect to sleeping, you would sleep on any place regardless of whether or not
- 8 it's raining. But the person that is being carried is carried with his mattress. When
- 9 we get to a particular location, they set up a tent, then they put his mattress and he
- 10 sleeps on it.
- 11 Q. [10:22:29] When you were back from the bush, in your statement, Mr Witness,
- 12 you say that you spent some time with an organisation called World Vision. Did
- 13 you remember that?
- 14 A. [10:22:49] Yes, I do.
- 15 Q. [10:22:50] Which kind of activities did you undertake at World Vision before
- 16 going back home?
- 17 A. [10:23:08] When we were at the centre, at the World Vision centre in Gulu, it's
- 18 a centre for adult -- for adults, because I was an adult at the time. They would send
- 19 people who had been saved, they would read the Bible, they would teach us the Bible
- 20 stories, would sing Christian songs to enable us to forget the things that we've been
- 21 through. And as I stated earlier, that I was abducted when I was on my way to
- school, world Vision agreed to help me go back to school and that's how I was able to
- 23 go back and complete my studies and that's what happened while I was at
- 24 World Vision.
- 25 Q. [10:24:10] And, Mr Witness, in your answer you said "when we were at the

- 1 centre". When you say "we" I understand other abductees, former abductees were
- 2 also at the same place, correct?
- 3 A. [10:24:36] Yes, that's correct.
- 4 Q. [10:24:42] You also mention in your statement, Mr Witness, that when you were
- 5 back home you had some problems in integrating into your community. Could you
- 6 please explain to us why you had these problems of reintegrating yourself in your
- 7 community?
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:25:06] May I shortly, Mrs Massidda, because this
- 9 applies to every party and participant. Since the statement is incorporated into the
- proceedings by Rule 68(3), what has been said and stated in the statement is part of
- 11 the evidence. So what you perhaps could ask a follow-up if the situation has
- 12 changed in the meantime.
- 13 MS MASSIDDA: [10:25:31] Thank you, your Honour.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:25:32] Everything else is simply answered
- 15 already. Because as I always say, the written statement is part of the oral testimony,
- 16 so to speak, now.
- 17 MS MASSIDDA: [10:25:44] Thank you for your guidance, your Honour. Am I
- allowed to ask -- my point was why was that in his perception. And this part in my
- 19 humble submission is not in the statement. This was the point.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:25:57] I think it is in the statement, but -- if you
- 21 look closely, it is in the statement. But what would be of interest how is the situation
- 22 today, how has the situation developed, for example, because this could not be in the
- 23 statement in 2004.
- 24 MS MASSIDDA: [10:26:17] I am guided, your Honour. Thank you.
- 25 Q. [10:26:20] Mr Witness, I am reformulating the question. In your statement in

- 1 2005 you indicated that you had problems in reintegrating in your community when
- 2 you were back from the bush. Is this still the case today?
- 3 A. [10:27:00] Well, today I no longer have that problem because whatever
- 4 happened to me in the past was something, something bad. So now there's -- when
- 5 people go to certain groups, returnees, groups of returnees, groups of former
- 6 abductees, when people go to those groups, I no longer -- I do not attend those groups
- 7 because I do not want to remember. That's a constant reminder of what happened to
- 8 me in the past. So if you constantly go into those groups, if you constantly meet up
- 9 with those people, then you don't forget. You always remember those things. So I
- always keep away from things like that and I do not go to those things.
- 11 Q. [10:28:07] And, Mr Witness, only if you can, would it be possible for you to
- 12 estimate or to know the time that took to you to reintegrate in the community after
- 13 you came back from the bush?
- 14 A. [10:28:30] It took me approximately five years. And after five years I started
- 15 forgetting. In 2008 things got better. So that's the -- that's how long it took me.
- 16 Q. [10:28:59] And my last question, Mr Witness, what are your hopes or wishes for
- 17 the future?
- 18 A. [10:29:21] I did not understand that question very well. Could you please
- 19 specify exactly what you want to find out from me?
- 20 Q. [10:29:31] Do you have any dream or any expectation for your future today,
- 21 anything that you would like still to achieve?
- 22 A. [10:29:50] Of course there is, with respect to my home, my family. I have plans
- 23 for the future. I would like to be successful. I would, I would also like my children
- 24 to be educated so that they could also be successful, because in order to be successful,
- 25 you have to have an education. So I have to struggle. If I'm still alive, if I still have

- time to live, I have to live well with people, I have to make sure that I integrate and
- 2 live socially well with people. Those are my plans.
- 3 Q. [10:30:48] Thank you very much, Mr Witness. I wish you all the best.
- 4 MS MASSIDDA: [10:30:52] Thank you, your Honours. This ends my questioning.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:30:55] Thank you, Ms Massidda.
- 6 Mr Manoba, any questions by your team?
- 7 MR MANOBA: [10:31:00] No questions, Mr President.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:31:04] Thank you.
- 9 Then it is now the turn of the Defence, and I assume that I give Mr Obhof the floor.
- 10 Please, Mr Obhof, but take your time.
- 11 MR OBHOF: [10:31:33] Thank you very much, your Honour.
- 12 QUESTIONED BY MR OBHOF:
- 13 Q. [10:31:35] Good morning, Mr Witness.
- 14 A. [10:31:40] Good morning.
- 15 Q. [10:31:44] Now, Mr Witness, at paragraphs 9 and 10 of your admitted testimony,
- 16 you talk about your life before your abduction, and in particular you talk about the
- 17 lack of choice to stay in your village and being forced to move to the camps. Now,
- apart from -- apart, of course, from the risk of being abducted by the LRA, how would
- 19 the government have reacted to people if they refused to go the camp?
- 20 A. [10:32:37] Well, I am not able to answer that question because I do not know
- 21 what the government would have done if people refused to leave their home.
- Q. [10:32:53] While you were in the IDP camp, did anybody tell you about them
- 23 trying to stay at home instead of going to the IDP camp?
- 24 A. [10:33:24] No, no one said.
- 25 Q. [10:33:29] And you also talked about the Mambas that came to your village or

- 1 came to villages. How would you describe their -- the way the Mambas fired into
- 2 these villages?
- 3 A. [10:33:57] The Mambas that I said fired into the villages. One day they also
- 4 passed through my homestead and were firing bullets. When the government
- 5 receives information that rebels were coming from some direction and when they are
- 6 shown the direction, they would just shoot that direction without even seeing them.
- 7 Q. [10:34:32] So in your opinion, Mr Witness, they would fire indiscriminately
- 8 without cause or reason, without cause or specific aim at persons?
- 9 A. [10:34:53] Yes. Whenever they receive information that the rebels were at
- 10 a particular direction, they would fire towards that direction.
- 11 Q. [10:35:06] Mr Witness, did you ever witness or did you ever hear about the
- 12 UPDF assaulting people or beating people at their villages?
- 13 A. [10:35:35] I do not recall hearing such.
- 14 Q. [10:35:42] Did you ever witness or did you ever hear about the military
- destroying people's gardens or burning their homes?
- 16 A. [10:36:07] I did not see, I did not witness and I never recall hearing anyone
- 17 telling me that.
- 18 Q. [10:36:20] Now, Mr Witness, when you moved to the camp, could you tell us the
- 19 prevailing conditions of the Pajule-Lapul IDP camp?
- 20 A. [10:36:46] Well, when people moved to the camp, people left behind their
- 21 property and their belongings. People gathered near a religious mission where there
- 22 was hardly any food, there were no toilet facilities, there was no water to drink.
- 23 Shortly after, the LC3 chairperson which was in Pajule informed people that they
- should group up themselves and then be in a camp.
- 25 The leader of the group, known as a camp commandant, told people that the

- 1 government would start distributing food and warned against returning back home,
- 2 saying that if -- those who go back home would be abducted by rebels. Then people
- 3 gathered together and they started bringing food and water where people had
- 4 gathered.
- 5 Q. [10:38:11] Now, Mr Witness, did the government help you move from your
- 6 home village to the IDP camp?
- 7 A. [10:38:32] Well, the government soldiers did not say anything about help. It is
- 8 the LC3 chairperson who gave instructions to people. But also there was fear since
- 9 government troops were firing indiscriminately and people feared that it could hit
- 10 their houses. But for that reason, people left and went to the camp.
- 11 Q. [10:39:10] Now, did the government give you a small amount of money to hire
- 12 a boda boda in order to help transfer some of your goods, some of your food, from
- 13 your home village to the Pajule-Lapul IDP camp?
- 14 A. [10:39:36] No, people fled for their dear life. Even the things that people had in
- 15 their houses remained behind. People just fled without carrying anything.
- 16 Q. [10:39:53] Now, Mr Witness, in your home village, did you have a curfew?
- 17 A. [10:40:13] No, there was no curfew. I heard about it long ago, during the time
- of Okot Walit, when people came to the centre and they said that there was a curfew,
- 19 people should stay within the centre for two days. I heard about it, but it was not in
- 20 our village. We just have to take care so that you are not abducted. When you hear
- 21 about the rebels coming, you have to take care of yourself.
- 22 Q. [10:40:50] Mr Witness, did you have a curfew at the IDP camp?
- A. [10:41:04] Yes, there was. Even on the main road they would stop vehicles.
- 24 The government would sometimes say no one should travel that day, they would be
- 25 doing whatever they know. If you proceed when you have been stopped, you

- 1 actually get abducted by the rebels.
- 2 Q. [10:41:30] What would happen if somebody came back to the camp, a civilian
- 3 came back to the camp after curfew?
- 4 A. [10:41:57] Well, I recall one incident when I was in a school called Pajule
- 5 Technical School, a man came back home late. The soldiers arrested him and made
- 6 him dig a pit latrine. I do not recall the others. They would fear that someone who
- 7 comes late was probably collaborating with the rebels.
- 8 Q. [10:42:31] Now, during your time in the IDP camp, could you go back to your
- 9 village and work in your garden?
- 10 A. [10:42:53] Those whose homes were close by the camp would go, but those
- 11 whose homes were far away would not be allowed to go.
- 12 Q. [10:43:13] Did the government ever give those persons who moved to the camp
- any small plots of land just to grow maybe a few Irish, a few tomatoes and a little
- small, small something for yourself, especially considering those who might have
- 15 lived in villages far away?
- 16 A. [10:43:49] No, the government did not give any plots, because there was no land.
- 17 There were no plots to give out to people.
- 18 Q. [10:44:08] And, Mr Witness, you discussed at paragraph 14 of your statement of
- 19 today's testimony that there were previous attacks in Pajule before 10 October 2003.
- 20 Can you tell us by comparison how big this October 2003 attack was in relation to the
- 21 previous ones?
- 22 A. [10:44:50] Is it about Pajule only or other places as well?
- 23 Q. [10:44:59] Just about the attacks on the Pajule-Lapul IDP camp.
- 24 A. [10:45:17] What I recall is that in 1996, when people had just started gathering at
- 25 the camp, there was a rebel attack and burnt houses of those who had starting

- 1 building in the camp and people were forced to go back to their homes. That was in
- 2 the year 1996.
- 3 Another attack, also in Pajule, one day it was about 4 p.m. The rebels crossed from
- 4 their -- crossed from the centre of Pajule and they abducted people, also took, looted
- 5 a few things. They passed through the centre.
- 6 Those are the ones that I remember in Pajule. When you talk about Pajule, it
- 7 includes Pajule and Lapul.
- 8 Q. [10:46:35] Good. I was just going to ask that question to ensure that.
- 9 Mr Witness, compared to this October 2003 attack and the other ones where you said
- 10 people were abducted and things were looted and houses were burned, can you
- 11 compare the relative size of the attack between the three?
- 12 A. [10:47:14] The attack of 2003 was the worst attack that I saw, because people
- who were abducted -- and people who were abducted were way more than any other
- 14 attack. I remember even someone who was mentally derailed was abducted during
- 15 that attack. I also remember the manner in which people were abducted. Whatever
- 16 they find you wearing, they would abduct you in it; even if you are wearing an
- 17 underwear, even if you are bare chested, they would take you and mix up people.
- 18 They abducted all categories of people.
- 19 Q. [10:48:08] Now, Mr Witness, backtracking on what you said a little bit earlier
- 20 about mentioning the collaborator, that the UPDF might consider people
- 21 collaborators, do you know or did you ever hear about what happened to alleged
- collaborators by the UPDF?
- A. [10:48:38] I do not know because whatever happens to collaborators happens
- 24 from the barracks. It was against the regulations for a civilian or a young person to
- 25 go to the barracks. The one that I said was taken to dig a pit latrine was because he

- 1 was arrested in the evening. So when we were passing in the morning, we saw him
- 2 digging a pit latrine. That is the only thing I can say.
- 3 Q. [10:49:16] Mr Witness, when you first moved to the Pajule IDP camp, which side
- 4 of the road was the military barracks on?

filed in the case

- 5 A. [10:49:37] When we had just come to Pajule to settle in the camp, like I said
- 6 earlier, it was in land belonging to the religious mission. When you are going to the
- 7 mission, it was on the right, after a football field.
- 8 Q. [10:50:01] So just for spatial recognition, that would be on the western side of
- 9 the Lira-Kitgum road; is that correct, Mr Witness?
- 10 A. [10:50:18] Yes, that is correct.
- 11 Q. [10:50:19] Which is also known as the Lapul side of the Pajule IDP camp?
- 12 A. [10:50:32] Yes, it was on the Lapul side.
- 13 Q. [10:50:40] Now, at any time after you moved there, did the UPDF detachment
- move from the Lapul side to the Pajule side?
- 15 A. [10:51:14] It was not relocated because there was a previous place which the
- soldiers used to stay. I mentioned earlier that World Food Programme used to
- distribute food and they will use that same place which the soldiers had stayed to
- 18 distribute food.
- 19 Q. [10:51:40] So just to be clear, Mr Witness, to your recollection, there was never
- 20 a military barracks or a UPDF or LDU detachment located just south of the Pajule
- 21 main market?
- 22 A. [10:52:12] What I meant -- what I said is that an old barracks of the army was on
- 23 Pajule side. But it was relocated to the mission land when people were in the camp.
- 24 What used to be the barracks became a field for distributing food. But at night
- 25 during the operation, the soldiers would also deploy there.

- 1 Q. [10:52:45] Do you remember when the barracks moved from the Pajule side to
- 2 the Lapul side next to the mission?
- 3 A. [10:53:05] I do not recall.
- 4 Q. [10:53:11] Mr Witness, how close was the barracks that was on the Lapul side?
- 5 How close was that to the homes of the people in the IDP camp?
- 6 A. [10:53:38] The military barracks, in my estimation, is not an exact distance. It
- 7 could be about a hundred metres. It is not very far away. Because the camp was
- 8 also housed in the mission land, while the barracks was also within the mission land,
- 9 so it was not far away from each other.
- 10 Q. [10:54:12] Now, Mr Witness, the former barracks that we just briefly discussed
- that was on the Pajule side, how close was that barracks to the people living in the
- 12 IDP camp?
- 13 A. [10:54:45] I cannot estimate the -- I cannot estimate it exactly. Because the old
- barracks was near the tobacco area and from that place to the mission could be about
- 15 half a kilometre. It's my estimation, it's not the exact distance. If you look at the old
- barracks which was the home for the tobacco growers to the mission, it's about half
- 17 a kilometre.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:55:25] Mr Witness, it is perfectly clear that you
- only can give estimates and that you do not know exactly how many metres it are.
- 20 That's perfectly okay.
- 21 MR OBHOF: [10:55:41]
- 22 Q. [10:55:41] Mr Witness, which barracks, from your opinion and from what you
- 23 noticed and saw, which one of these barracks were closer to residents living at the
- 24 Pajule IDP camp? The one next to the mission or the one on the Pajule side?
- 25 A. [10:56:21] The one on the Pajule side was closer to the people, because it was on

- 1 the other side of the road. The other -- one side of the road had the barracks while
- 2 the other side had the residence. That is why I mentioned that it was close, it was at
- 3 the home of the tobacco growers. Around that space people had built houses and
- 4 then people would rear animals on one side while people lived on the other side.
- 5 Q. [10:57:03] Now, Mr Witness, the Pajule side barracks, as you stated, I just want
- 6 to make sure we're clear, was being used still by the soldiers during the day at the
- 7 time of the October 2003 attack; is that correct, Mr Witness?
- 8 A. [10:57:37] Yes, the soldiers would stay there also.
- 9 Q. [10:57:47] Mr Witness, prior to the attack in October 2003 do you recall seeing
- some bombs stationed at the military barracks?
- 11 A. [10:58:14] Yes, I saw. There were others that could be rolled and then there
- were others which were like on top, mounted on top of a vehicle called a tanker.
- 13 And then others would be mounted on top of other vehicles known as Mamba, they
- 14 would be moving around.
- 15 Q. [10:58:51] Mr Witness, before the attack do you ever remember seeing some of
- these bombs or weapons being housed near the IDP camp?
- 17 A. [10:59:14] Yes, it was located where the soldiers were staying. It was in the
- 18 place where I was estimating the distance.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:59:26] Mr Obhof, the time.
- 20 MR OBHOF: [10:59:30] Today is the two-hour session.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:59:33] Indeed. So thank you for reminding me.
- 22 I had forgotten it. So we have still a half an hour to go.
- 23 Q. [10:59:44] Now, Mr Witness --
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:59:47] Because of that you stayed so calm. So I

25 should have noticed, I should have noticed of course.

- 1 MR OBHOF: [10:59:53] I actually looked at the clock too and thought the same thing
- 2 about a minute ago. And then realised (Overlapping speakers)
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:59:59] You know, when you have customs or
- 4 habits in the courtroom it's always a problem when there is an exception.
- 5 MR OBHOF: [11:00:12] It happens.
- 6 Q. [11:00:13] Mr Witness, at paragraph 18 of your testimony you said that when the
- 7 attack started you first thought that it was just drunken soldiers firing guns after
- 8 Independence Day. Was it often for the soldiers around the Pajule IDP camp to be
- 9 intoxicated?
- 10 A. [11:00:52] That is what I thought, but not that it was happening every now and
- 11 then.
- 12 Q. [11:01:02] A similar question, Mr Witness: Was the behaviour of the UPDF
- 13 soldiers and LDUs randomly shooting a usual occurrence at the IDP camp?
- 14 A. [11:01:41] No.
- 15 Q. [11:01:42] Mr Witness, do you recall seeing any soldiers intoxicated the night of
- 16 October 9, 2003?
- 17 A. [11:02:10] I do not recall.
- 18 Q. [11:02:17] And, Mr Witness, can you explain to Court why you would think it
- 19 was drunken soldiers randomly shooting in the morning rather than an attack by the
- 20 LRA?
- 21 A. [11:03:00] Well, the reason why I guessed that it was drunken soldiers was
- because, on occasion, when they are in a festive mood, before -- well, the people who
- 23 were there before Lakwena, when people are in a festive mood people fire their guns
- 24 for happiness, so I guessed that that day, that dawn, the reason why there were
- 25 gun -- why there were gunshots was because there were soldiers, government

- soldiers who were in a festive mood, but apparently no, it wasn't them.
- 2 Q. [11:03:49] Had you witnessed something like this happen before on the six to
- 3 seven previous Independence Day celebrations whilst you were at Pajule IDP camp?
- 4 A. [11:04:17] No, I hadn't witnessed that.
- 5 Q. [11:04:24] Now, Mr Witness, you testified in paragraph 22 that the rebels went
- 6 into your house and took things but did not abduct your wife. Now when you
- 7 returned did your wife tell you if the rebels saw her inside the house when they
- 8 removed some of your possessions?
- 9 A. [11:05:12] She told me that when I was taken outside they took things like
- onions. We had a shop, a small store, so they took onions and other small groceries
- and they closed the door, but nobody else came and opened the door after that.
- 12 Q. [11:05:42] So was it correct to say that the rebels did not physically mistreat your
- wife when they removed the onions and the other small goods in the shop?
- 14 A. [11:06:02] Not while she was in the house, no, she didn't tell me that.
- 15 Q. [11:06:11] Mr Witness, in your testimony you also talk about being told to go
- back into your house and close the doors by the rebels, and that while you were
- 17 leaving Pajule when the helicopter arrived you were also told to take cover by the
- 18 rebels.
- 19 Now, Mr Witness, in your opinion were the people from the LRA trying to protect the
- 20 civilians by telling them to go back inside of their house and by telling them to take
- 21 cover when the helicopter arrived?
- 22 A. [11:07:13] Yes. Because when the helicopter is approaching, they wanted us to
- 23 take care of the commander that we were taking care of so that nothing would
- 24 happen to that commander or us. But when I stated that they asked me to go back
- 25 inside, there were no gunshots yet so they were just there. There was nothing

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

1 happening.

filed in the case

- 2 Q. [11:08:03] Now, Mr Witness, without saying the specific location, was your
- 3 home located on Lapul or Pajule side of the camp?
- 4 A. [11:08:32] It was on the Lapul side.
- 5 MR OBHOF: [11:08:36] Now, your Honour, I will have to go into a private session
- 6 for one to maybe two questions.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:08:41] Private session.
- 8 (Private session at 11.08 a.m.)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Open session at 11.11 a.m.)
- 8 THE COURT OFFICER: [11:11:22] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 9 MR OBHOF: [11:11:33]
- 10 Q. [11:11:33] Now, Mr Witness, without mentioning who you were with or what
- 11 you were doing, do you recall if the rebels were physically beating civilians or
- 12 mistreating them in any way, or were they more interested in the items in the
- marketplace and finding civilians to help carry those items back to the RV point?
- 14 A. [11:12:23] I saw them at the time when more people came and found us where
- 15 we had encamped.
- Q. [11:12:37] But whilst the attack was happening were rebels stopping at people's
- doors and pulling them out and beating them to death, or were they merely taking
- 18 food items and other necessities of life to take them back to their RV point?
- 19 A. [11:13:17] I saw the rebels knocking doors, knocking down doors, same as they
- 20 did with my door. They take you out, they look for things. They took -- they take
- 21 food and immediately give the food to carry. But when I was taken out of the house
- I was taken to the commander who has sustained the injury, but I knew that when
- 23 I was being taken out other people were also being taken out. But with regard to
- carrying the luggage I saw people carrying luggage when they got to the place where
- 25 we had encamped. The luggage would have been taken out of their homes or from

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

- 1 the shops because they took things like soap and other things from the shops and they
- 2 also took food. So when they came and found us where we had been encamped,
- 3 that's when I saw them.
- 4 Q. [11:14:25] Mr Witness, at paragraph 26 of your testimony you stated that there
- 5 was shooting, that you believe you heard shooting from the barracks going towards
- 6 the mission. Do you remember where you were located at this time when you heard
- 7 this gunfire?
- 8 A. [11:15:04] As I stated earlier, I was still at home, but the barracks is further -- my
- 9 home is on one side and the barracks is on the other side.
- 10 MR OBHOF: [11:15:27] Your Honour, these next few questions will need to be in
- 11 private session.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:15:32] Private session.
- 13 MR OBHOF: [11:15:36] Just for maybe two minutes.
- 14 (Private session at 11.15 a.m.) *(Reclassified in public)
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: [11:15:40] We're in private session, Mr President.
- 16 MR OBHOF: [11:15:46]
- 17 Q. [11:15:47] Now, Mr Witness, you talked about how you arrived at Wangduku
- 18 Primary School and you stayed with the commander in sickbay for a while and that
- 19 you met the commander's wife. Do you recall this wife's name?
- 20 A. [11:16:16] When we are in the bush it's very difficult to know such names. We
- 21 usually refer to them as "Madam". If you know her name you don't even refer to her
- by her name, because if you refer to her by her name then you're in trouble, so we
- used to refer to them as "Madam".
- 24 Q. [11:16:45] Was this Madam part of the attack on Pajule?
- 25 A. [11:17:01] I do not know because we met at Wangduku. We did not walk

- 1 together from Pajule, but we met at Wangduku.
- 2 MR OBHOF: [11:17:14] We can go back into open session.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:17:16] Open session.
- 4 (Open session at 11.17 a.m.)
- 5 THE COURT OFFICER: [11:17:20] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 6 MR OBHOF: [11:17:32]
- 7 Q. [11:17:32] Mr Witness, when you arrived at Wangduku Primary School you
- 8 eventually went to an area called Ogul, or Lela Ogul, or sometimes has been called
- 9 Te Ogul, and you stated that Otti addressed the people at the RV point and told them
- about the importance of your role in the bush and the need to continue the struggle
- 11 for the Acholi people. When you were eventually divided into separate groups do
- 12 you recall if your commander gave you a similar type speech as did Vincent Otti?
- 13 A. [11:18:37] I do recall.
- 14 Q. [11:18:45] Do you remember if any of the people that you were abducted with
- told you that they agreed with Otti and the points that he had mentioned?
- 16 A. [11:19:13] I didn't get that. Could you please repeat your question.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:19:18] Perhaps you ask him what his attitude
- towards the speech was and then you can go to other persons, if he knows.
- 19 MR OBHOF: [11:19:29]
- 20 Q. [11:19:29] Now, Mr Witness, what was your attitude about what Otti said of the
- 21 struggle of the Acholi people and those people living in the bush?
- 22 A. [11:19:55] I did not take it very well, because at the time he was addressing
- 23 people, even though your mother or your sister who is perhaps wearing her
- 24 underwear, people who are carrying luggage and are extremely tired, when you look
- at all these people suffering, that is not something that encouraged me. It wasn't

- 1 very encouraging.
- 2 Q. [11:20:30] Did anyone you talked to whilst in the bush agree with what
- 3 Otti Vincent said?
- 4 A. [11:21:01] Yes, the commander that I was carrying did -- did agree with that
- 5 speech and he kept on talking to me and advising me that I should not go back home,
- 6 I should continue staying in the bush, because if I went back home then I would be in
- 7 trouble with the government. So he gave me that kind of advice.
- 8 Q. [11:21:27] So your commander's advice was don't go back home because the
- 9 government might beat you, might imprison you and might kill you?
- 10 A. [11:21:43] Yes. He said -- he also told me that if I stay in the bush then I'm in
- 11 the place to be, because later on I will be in the government.
- 12 Q. [11:21:58] Mr Witness, you weren't abducted until 2003 and did you know about
- 13 the amnesty laws in Uganda?
- 14 A. [11:22:26] Yes, I did know about the amnesty laws, because as I stated earlier
- 15 (Redacted) and I
- 16 knew that when people are taken from Pajule rehabilitation centre they are taken to
- 17 Gulu and granted amnesty. This was also something that was broadcast constantly
- on the radio. They were talking about amnesties. Mega Radio used to broadcast
- 19 such announcements as well and say if you come back home you would be granted
- amnesty.
- Q. [11:23:10] But is it fair to say, Mr Witness, that the people who were in the bush
- 22 that were not there working and seeing amnesty in process, is it fair to say that they
- 23 did not believe that amnesty was true?
- 24 MR GUMPERT: [11:23:33] I object to this question.
- 25 THE WITNESS: [11:23:37] (Interpretation) They did not agree to this or they

- 1 (Overlapping speakers)
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:23:42] (Overlapping speakers) First of all, the
- 3 objection by Mr Gumpert and then we decide, and then the answer or not by the
- 4 witness.

filed in the case

- 5 MR GUMPERT: [11:23:47] The witness is being asked to tell the Court what other
- 6 people believed. I respectfully submit he can't do that. Perhaps he could be asked
- 7 whether they said anything about what they believed, but the question needs to be
- 8 more precise.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:24:04] I agree in grand part, I would say. But
- 10 you have already brought out of the witness what this one commander said. And
- the witness could, for example, be asked with regard to this answer, to this
- 12 commander how he interpreted it.
- 13 MR OBHOF: [11:24:23] I understand. And as Mr Gumpert I was starting off, as
- 14 you normally do with questions and going very broad and then my next questions
- are who had told you and why do you feel that.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:24:34] Yes. Yes. Okay, please continue in that
- 17 way.
- 18 MR OBHOF: [11:24:39] But I will start off with the commander though, as you
- 19 (Overlapping speakers)
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:24:44] Yes, start off with the commander. And
- 21 you can ask then if, if he had heard anything from other people too. And we have to
- 22 distinguish of course between commanders or people longer staying with the LRA
- 23 and those who had been recently abducted. This should also -- the line should also
- 24 not be blurred.
- 25 MR OBHOF: [11:25:04]

- 1 Q. [11:25:05] Now, Mr Witness, without saying any names or your commander's
- 2 position, did your commander ever tell you that he believed or whether or not he
- 3 believed in the amnesty law?
- 4 A. [11:25:32] They did hear about the amnesties, but they did not believe in it.
- 5 Q. [11:25:41] Did you hear this same thing from other commanders that you met?
- 6 A. [11:26:05] I heard this from the commanders, but there are other people -- I gave
- 7 examples earlier, like of people who would go out and then come and brief the
- 8 commander. They would say that -- they would say that the government is trying to
- 9 propagate this amnesty so that people would go back home and people would be
- 10 killed. So this was a way of trying to keep people back in the bush.
- 11 Q. [11:26:43] Now, Mr Witness, from what you witnessed, was there a difference
- between the people who had been recently abducted and between those who were in
- the LRA for much longer, ie the commanders, and in their beliefs in the amnesty law?
- 14 A. [11:27:15] Yes, there was a difference.
- 15 Q. [11:27:23] Now, Mr Witness, in regards to a question asked earlier by my
- learned colleague from the victims, was life in the IDP camp easier or harder than life
- 17 in the village?
- 18 A. [11:27:57] Life in the camp was extremely hard. Life at home was easier.
- 19 Q. [11:28:17] Mr Witness, regarding your observations of the way that Rwot Oyat
- 20 was interacting with the rebels at the RV point --
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:28:27] This is a completely new area, I would
- 22 say, so --
- 23 MR OBHOF: [11:28:32] (Microphone not activated)
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:28:33] -- I think it -- I would suggest that we
- 25 have now the break, because I would assume that it takes a little bit longer than

- 1 a couple of minutes.
- 2 So we have now the break until 12.30.
- 3 THE COURT USHER: [11:28:45] All rise.
- 4 (Recess taken at 11.28 a.m.)
- 5 (Upon resuming in open session at 12.30 p.m.)
- 6 THE COURT USHER: [12:30:38] All rise.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [12:30:58] Now I have a binder on my desk.
- 8 Now I'm confused what I should use, but I think I stick with my own paperwork that
- 9 I had.
- 10 Mr Obhof, you still have the floor.
- 11 MR OBHOF: [12:31:12] Thank you, your Honour.
- 12 Q. [12:31:14] Good afternoon, Mr Witness. I hope you had a good lunch.
- 13 A. [12:31:21] Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. [12:31:24] I know it's rather rough trying to find some malakwang down there
- 15 in Kampala so ...
- Now, Mr Witness, we're going to discuss a little bit about the Rwot, Rwot Oywak.
- 17 Now, when you saw him at the RV point and he was interacting with the LRA
- persons, you said that people and the government knew that he was communicating
- 19 with the rebels. Were you surprised to see him abducted along with everyone else?
- 20 A. [12:32:19] I saw that he was abducted, he was together with those ones who
- 21 were abducted, indeed.
- 22 Q. [12:32:31] Were you surprised when you saw him though being an abductee?
- 23 A. [12:32:46] Yes, I was surprised because he was also with the group that was
- 24 with Otti, where Otti had gathered people. What surprised me was that he was
- 25 exchanging greetings with Otti. Everybody was undressed, some people had half

- 1 clothes, but for him, he was not undressed.
- 2 Q. [12:33:13] Now, from what you witnessed, did it appear that the Rwot was
- 3 frightened or worried at that time?
- 4 A. [12:33:35] From my observation, it was not -- he was -- he looked happy.
- 5 That is what I thought, he looked happy.
- 6 Q. [12:33:46] Could you tell us what you observed that makes you draw the
- 7 conclusion that he looked happy?
- 8 A. [12:34:06] What I saw was that when a commander called Otti I learned the
- 9 name later right from where he was briefing us from, when Rwot came, they were
- 10 exchanging greetings and he was happy. They even hugged. But all the people
- 11 who sat down had -- some of them were bare chested, others had carried huge loads,
- others had been given rags instead of the good clothes that they had. For him, he
- was dressed up fully in trousers and shirts as well.
- 14 Q. [12:34:54] Did you see him smiling?
- 15 A. [12:35:01] Yes, he was smiling with Otti while they were greeting, but not with
- 16 the people. They were standing at the side.
- 17 Q. [12:35:14] During your time in the LRA, did anyone talk to you about
- 18 Rwot Oyat's interactions with the LRA?
- 19 A. [12:35:37] During my time in the bush, no one told me.
- 20 MR OBHOF: [12:35:45] Now, your Honour, these next four questions will have to
- 21 be asked in private session. It should take about three to four minutes for the people
- 22 watching on TV and in the audio.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [12:36:00] Thank you very much, Mr Obhof, for
- 24 this reminder. We go to private session. And also for telling us how long you

envision it to be.

(Private Session)

filed in the case

1 Private session.

Trial Hearing

2 (Private session at 12.36 p.m.)

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

04.10.2017 Page 42

ICC-02/04-01/15

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Open session at 12.38 p.m.)
- 4 THE COURT OFFICER: [12:38:57] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 5 MR OBHOF: [12:39:08]
- 6 Q. [12:39:09] Now, Mr Witness, what was Acel Calo Apar's role in the LRA?
- 7 A. [12:39:33] Acel Calo Apar was among the commanders, he was one of the
- 8 commanders.
- 9 Q. [12:39:41] And which group did he lead?
- 10 A. [12:39:55] He was in Control.
- 11 Q. [12:39:59] Did he have a position in Control, Mr Witness?
- 12 A. [12:40:15] I'm not certain which position, but when I say "commander", it
- means that he was in charge of the group that he was in.
- 14 Q. [12:40:33] Now, did he ever tell you about his role in the Pajule attack?
- 15 A. [12:40:54] Yes, he told me.
- 16 Q. [12:40:56] Now, also in your statement you mention several commanders who,
- 17 according to you, Acel Calo Apar said participated in the attack. The first one is
- 18 Okwonga. Do you know Okwonga's full name, Mr Witness?
- 19 A. [12:41:27] Yes -- no, I don't know another name. I only know him as
- 20 Okwonga.
- 21 Q. [12:41:35] Did you ever come to know his rank and role during the Pajule
- 22 attack?
- 23 A. [12:41:54] I did not come to know what he did while -- during the Pajule
- 24 attack.
- 25 Q. [12:42:02] Mr Witness, Acel Calo Apar, what was his role during the Pajule

- 1 attack?
- 2 A. [12:42:25] I do not recall, but he told me that he was among those who came
- 3 for the attack in Pajule.
- 4 Q. [12:42:33] Did he ever he meaning Acel Calo Apar did he ever tell you if he
- 5 helped to plan the attack on Pajule?
- 6 A. [12:43:02] What he told me is that he too participated in the attack in Pajule,
- 7 but he did not tell me about how they planned it. That, he didn't mention to me.
- 8 Q. [12:43:20] How about the name of Raska? Raska, specifically
- 9 Raska Lukwiya?
- 10 A. [12:43:34] About Raska Lukwiya, he told me his name when he was
- 11 encouraging me to stay. And I continued working with them because if I persevered
- and stayed on, I would be a commander like those who went to the camp. He
- encouraged me to stay and not escape so that I am like one of -- I'm like those who
- 14 went to work in Pajule who did a good job there.
- 15 Q. [12:44:19] Did he ever tell you Raska's role in the Pajule attack?
- 16 A. [12:44:30] No. He did not tell me what he did exactly, but he told me that he
- was among those who participated in the attack.
- 18 Q. [12:44:42] This might speed it a little bit through, Mr Witness. I'm going to
- 19 name the last three names and let me know if you were told what their role in the
- 20 Pajule attack was -- were.
- 21 The names are, Mr Witness: Aboro, Ongwen and Owiny.
- 22 A. [12:45:20] What Acel Calo Apar told me, he told me about Owiny Guli, that he
- 23 was among those who participated in the Pajule attack. He did not mention any
- other name, but said that Owiny was one of those who went to Pajule, because we
- 25 met them later with the -- some people from Pajule whom I knew personally.

- 1 Q. [12:45:49] Now, Mr Witness, you also talked about Otti Vincent and that he
- 2 was called Lapwony Madit. Now, why didn't you call him Lieutenant General Otti?
- 3 A. [12:46:21] The reason that he is referred to as Lapwony Madit, I have no idea.
- 4 I didn't know it at the start. I went and found he was being referred to as
- 5 Lapwony Madit.
- 6 Q. [12:46:37] Now, Mr Witness, of all these people we've just talked about, did
- 7 Acel Calo Apar ever tell you which one was more superior than the other?
- 8 A. [12:47:00] No, he did not. But from what I saw, whenever they wanted to
- 9 communicate, they would send a message to Otti, then Otti would send a message to
- another person. If there is anything, they also referred to him as Lapwony Madit,
- and the only Lapwony Madit at that time was Otti. That is what made me believe
- 12 that Otti was more senior because all things are referred to him and all orders come
- 13 from him.
- 14 Q. [12:47:40] Now, during your time in the bush, was it common to call people
- identified as commanders, was it common to call them Lapwony and Ladit?
- 16 A. [12:47:57] You refer to them as Lapwony, because that is the only title used in
- 17 the bush. But if there is someone who is more senior, he is referred to as
- 18 Lapwony Madit. And in every group there is someone who is always
- 19 Lapwony Madit.
- 20 Q. [12:48:36] Mr Witness, you also mentioned in your -- in paragraph 7 of -- or
- 21 paragraph 57 of your testimony that Acel Calo Apar told you that there were three
- 22 groups that came to attack, but you only saw one group that was between houses of
- 23 Lapul and the market. Did he by any chance tell you who was the overall
- 24 commander of the entire attack at Pajule?
- 25 A. [12:49:16] No, he did not differentiate. He just told me that.

- 1 Q. [12:49:26] Now, Mr Witness, as you did not see any radios being used by the
- 2 LRA on the ground when you were abducted, do you know who was in charge of the
- 3 attack on the ground?

filed in the case

- 4 A. [12:49:58] Like I said, there is a general commander, for this case Otti, because
- 5 all orders come from him and all reports were sent to him.
- 6 Q. [12:50:12] Mr Witness, you stated at paragraph 58 of your testimony that
- 7 Acel Calo Apar told you about the groups that were involved in the Pajule attack,
- 8 specifically Control, Kipola and Sapuu. Did you ever come to learn that Sapuu was
- 9 also a battalion within Stockree?
- 10 A. [12:51:10] I do not recall that properly. But when you hear me talking about
- 11 Control, I am talking about the main group, the brigade. But certain groups like
- battalions can be things like Kipola, Stockree and others.
- 13 Q. [12:51:41] Now, did Acel Calo Apar ever tell you that Sinia brigade was a part
- 14 of the attack at Pajule?
- 15 A. [12:52:04] No, he never told me that. I never heard it.
- 16 Q. [12:52:14] Now, Mr Witness, did Acel Calo Apar ever tell you which group
- 17 Dominic Ongwen belonged to in the LRA?
- 18 A. [12:52:32] No, he never told me the group.
- 19 Q. [12:52:38] Now, Mr Witness, when you were being abducted during the attack
- 20 on Pajule, did you witness any LRA soldiers fighting completely naked?
- 21 A. [12:53:05] No, I did not see.
- 22 Q. [12:53:10] Since your return from the bush, have you talked to other returnees
- 23 who were abducted during that attack? Without saying any names of who you
- 24 talked to, of course.
- 25 A. [12:53:41] Yes, I did talk.

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

1 Q. [12:53:51] Have you talked to anybody who stated that they left Pajule with

2 Mr Ongwen?

filed in the case

- 3 A. [12:54:02] The people I talked to never mentioned the name of Ongwen in the
- 4 Pajule attack.
- 5 MR OBHOF: [12:54:29] Your Honour, the next -- and I'm really sorry for the
- 6 people upstairs and the people watching online, the next 15 minutes will have to be in
- 7 private session.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [12:54:39] Then private session.
- 9 (Private session at 12.54 p.m.)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

ICC-02/04-01/15-T-118-Red2-ENG WT 04-10-2017 48/67 NM T

— ICC 02/04-01/15 T-118 Red ENG WT 04-10-2017 48/67 SZ

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber' IX's instructions, ICC-02/04-01/15-497, dated 13 July 2016, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Open session at 1.01 p.m.)
- 10 THE COURT OFFICER: [13:01:08] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 11 MR OBHOF: [13:01:13]
- 12 Q. [13:01:15] Mr Witness, did you ever come to hear or learn about a commander
- 13 named Ayoli Silvio?
- 14 A. [13:01:31] No, I did not.
- 15 Q. [13:01:40] During your time in the bush with Stockree did you ever hear about
- 16 groups called Mendu and Wake Up?
- 17 A. [13:02:07] No, not while I was in the bush.
- 18 Q. [13:02:10] And which brigade was Kipola battalion a part of, Mr Witness?
- 19 A. [13:02:21] Kipola battalion was part of Control.
- 20 Q. [13:02:29] During your time in the bush did you ever learn of who the overall
- 21 commander for Stockree brigade was?
- 22 A. [13:02:55] Well, I knew of the people that I met there at the time, Michael and
- 23 David.
- Q. [13:03:07] Now, maybe to refresh your memory, I believe in your testimony at
- 25 paragraph 16 -- 60, sorry, six-zero, you mentioned the names Tabuley and Odhiambo.

- 1 Does that sound familiar, Mr Witness?
- 2 A. [13:03:26] Yes, that refreshes my memory.
- 3 Q. [13:03:34] At paragraph 67 -- sorry, at paragraph 76 of your testimony you talk
- 4 about orders from Kony not to disturb civilians and to eat only the food that the
- 5 soldiers had. Mr Witness, how did Kony send this message to the different brigades
- 6 and battalions?

filed in the case

- 7 A. [13:04:19] To my understanding, the messages would have been
- 8 communicated to a particular commander in charge of an area and then that
- 9 commander would inform us. So they would send the message, for example, to my
- 10 commander and then my commander would come and tell us. They would talk via
- 11 radio call. But while they were in the bush they did not refer to it as radio, they
- 12 referred to it as wire.
- 13 Q. [13:04:47] Now, Mr Witness, do you know where Joseph Kony was located
- 14 during this time of this order?
- 15 A. [13:05:11] No, I did not know his exact location, but we were told that he was
- in the Sudan. But we did not know the exact location and they did not tell
- 17 that -- they did not tell us that either.
- 18 Q. [13:05:27] Did your commander ever give you a reason why this order was
- 19 implemented?
- 20 A. [13:05:57] Yes. They would tell everybody so that if they're instructions,
- 21 those instructions are to be complied with so that no harm befalls the people that are
- 22 there.
- 23 Q. [13:06:16] Mr Witness, how long did these orders -- or how long was this order
- 24 in place?
- 25 A. [13:06:39] To my recollection approximately two weeks, but there were

- different instructions at different times. But that particular order that you're
- 2 referring to, to my recollection lasted for about two weeks.
- 3 Q. [13:06:59] Now, did this order also include not abducting civilians?
- 4 A. [13:07:28] Yes, that was part of the order, because at the time when we were
- 5 moving around we would not do anything. Whether you find an animal you do not
- 6 kill the animal. If you find a house, you do not break into the house. When you
- find food, you do not take the food. You eat whatever food you have with you.
- 8 Q. [13:07:54] So even normal operations were halted, there was to be no fighting
- 9 for those two weeks; is that correct, Mr Witness?
- 10 A. [13:08:10] Yes. Most times we were praying.
- 11 Q. [13:08:16] Mr Witness, from your answer I think it is obvious, but I'd still like
- 12 to ask. Did your commander and the people underneath his command follow this
- 13 order?
- 14 A. [13:08:51] Well, to my recollection, there is one commander who did not follow
- 15 these instructions. The commander who did not follow these instructions, well,
- when we crossed a river, he is the only one who lost his life, he is the only one who
- 17 died. Everybody else survived. Nobody was harmed. Nobody was injured. But
- 18 he was the only one who died.
- 19 Q. [13:09:23] Did anybody say anything about why he died after he died?
- 20 A. [13:09:43] Yes. The person who took over, his successor, told us that if they
- 21 send any orders or if they issue any instructions, these instructions have to be
- 22 followed. He told us that later, that we were all supposed to take some plant and
- 23 hold on to that plant. The plant is called an ojui (phon) plant and each of us were
- 24 supposed to take that plant and keep it on our person. If you did not keep that plant

on your person then something would happen to you.

- 1 Q. [13:10:21] Now, Mr Witness, from what you saw or from what you heard, this
- 2 commander who died while crossing the river, did he die because somebody
- 3 executed him or did he die by an act of the spirits, by an act of God.
- 4 A. [13:10:56] No. I said that when we crossed the river we met government
- 5 soldiers and the government soldiers shot him and killed him. Of all the people that
- 6 were there he was the only person who was shot and killed. Nobody else was
- 7 injured. But when they gave instructions later, instructing us to have that leaf on our
- 8 body, then we were told that if you do not follow those instructions, you would be
- 9 killed, something would happen to you. That's what I recall we were told.
- 10 Q. [13:11:31] Now, when you said "something would happen to you", how would
- this something happen to you? Would it be by one of your lapwony or would it
- be -- or would it be done otherwise by an act of the spirits or an act of God?
- 13 A. [13:12:08] Well, it's very difficult for me to explain it. It could be some
- mystical thing, it could be something sent by God, but we were told that if you did
- 15 not follow the instruction that you were given, something would happen. But I do
- 16 not know the exact reason or I do not know what would make that happen.
- 17 Q. [13:12:32] Now, finally, Mr Witness, on this topic, were you given reasons,
- 18 reasons why the order came about, how they thought of this order? The reasons
- 19 behind the order not to abduct anybody, not to take the food of people for these two
- 20 weeks, or did they just issue it to you as an order and told you to follow?
- 21 A. [13:13:09] No. They did not explain to us the reason why.
- 22 Q. [13:13:18] At paragraph 79 of your testimony you talked about some of the
- 23 abducted females from Pajule and how you saw them in February 2004. You
- 24 mentioned that you did not see which household they were staying in but assumed
- 25 they were staying in Otti's. Now, Mr Witness, why did you make this assumption?

- 1 A. [13:13:59] I assumed that they were part of Otti's household because we met a
- 2 group from Control and Otti was in charge of that group, and that's why I assumed
- 3 that they were in that group, the group that was being commanded by Otti, because it
- 4 was when we met that group that I saw them.
- 5 Q. [13:14:23] Now, Mr Witness, would it be fair to say that from your
- 6 observations during your time in the bush Vincent Otti was the commander in charge
- 7 of all LRA and everyone, from the senior most commanders to the smallest person
- 8 who had just been abducted, had to follow his orders?
- 9 A. [13:15:19] To -- yeah, if you're -- to my knowledge, yes. Because when they
- 10 come to inform us of anything, for example, even we who were in the sickbay, they
- 11 would tell us that the communication came from Lapwony Madit, the -- any
- information that was supposed to be returned would also be returned through
- 13 Lapwony Madit, so that's who I considered was superior.
- 14 Q. [13:15:53] Now, according to your observations, would this also include the
- 15 distribution of wives to the LRA fighters?
- 16 A. [13:16:16] To my knowledge and what I saw, the division of women would be
- done within a certain battalion or a group. If they find girls or women, they would
- 18 take them all to that person who was commanding that group and then that person
- 19 commanding the group would then make the division and say send this one to this
- 20 person's household, send this one to the other person's household.
- 21 Q. [13:16:47] Now, would this be done at the exact time they were abducted or it
- 22 would be done a few days later?
- 23 A. [13:17:08] At times it was done immediately. Other times they would do it
- 24 after a few days.
- 25 Q. [13:17:20] Now, Mr Witness, during your time in the bush did you ever hear of

- direct orders from Joseph Kony or did they always come from Lapwony Madit?
- 2 A. [13:17:41] What I heard was that the communication was coming from
- 3 Lapwony Madit, Lapwony Madit. And that's, that's what I heard.
- 4 Q. [13:18:01] Now, Mr Witness, you discussed a few rules in the LRA, some
- 5 dealing with women, some dealing with water and some dealing with stones. How
- 6 did you learn of all these rules?
- 7 A. [13:18:39] The rules, some of them were given to us while we were on the
- 8 move or while you're crossing a river.
- 9 Q. [13:18:59] Did anyone tell you who made these rules?
- 10 A. [13:19:15] They told me that it was Lapwony Madit, that Lapwony Madit is the
- one who communicated this. So when we are crossing any stream or body of water
- they would tell us do not urinate in the water. Or if they are cooking, if they send
- 13 you to collect water from a stream or well, they would tell you do not scrub your feet
- on the rocks in that water body.
- 15 So those are some of the things that I do recall.
- 16 Q. [13:19:51] Now, Mr Witness, did these rules apply to everyone equally,
- meaning from the Lapwony Madit to the person abducted yesterday?
- 18 A. [13:20:14] Yes, they did. They applied to everybody. I did not see anybody,
- 19 even the veterans, I did not see them spitting in the water or scrubbing their feet in
- 20 the water. In the household or where I was based, I did not see anybody do that.
- 21 Q. [13:20:36] Who enforced these rules, Mr Witness?
- 22 A. [13:20:57] Anybody, all the veterans, all the people who had been there for a
- 23 while, all the soldiers ensured that the rules were complied with. They would
- 24 remind you. Even with the women, there was somebody among the women who
- 25 would advise them and talk to them. If, for example, with -- for example, with

- women during your menstrual cycle you're not allowed to cook, so every time you're
- 2 on the move or wherever you were they would keep on reminding you. Women
- 3 had somebody who was constantly reminding them of the rules to follow, and men
- 4 had somebody constantly reminding them as well. So were there people who were
- 5 there to ensure that the rules were not breached.
- 6 Q. [13:21:53] Mr Witness, did you ever come to hear or find out about how long
- 7 these rules were governing the LRA?
- 8 A. [13:22:18] No. But when I went there the rules already existed, so I do not
- 9 know when the rules took effect.
- 10 Q. [13:22:27] Mr Witness, these next few sets of -- this next set of questions, I
- 11 remind you not to give any personal information out or anything which might
- 12 identify you.

filed in the case

- 13 Now, at paragraph 61 of your testimony you told investigators that after your
- 14 abduction you were asked to provide your personal information. Now, do you
- 15 know why or were you told why the LRA wanted your personal information?
- 16 A. [13:23:21] I do know why.
- 17 Q. [13:23:27] Could you please tell Court.
- 18 A. [13:23:42] The reason why you were asked about your personal details was to
- 19 ensure that if you escape then it's very easy to locate your home, they will come and
- 20 look for you at home.
- 21 Q. [13:23:59] Were you ever told what they would do if they could not find you at
- 22 home?
- 23 A. [13:24:12] Yes, I was told.
- Q. [13:24:17] What did they tell you they would do if they could not find you at
- 25 your home, Mr Witness?

- 1 A. [13:24:25] I was told that if they do not find you at home, then if you escape
- 2 and they do not find you they would go and find people from your area, they would
- 3 all be abducted, they would all be killed. If they do not find people from that area,
- 4 they would burn all the houses in that area, they would burn all the animals, they
- 5 would burn anything alive. They would annihilate anything and leave nothing in
- 6 the area. And that was to instill fear in you so that you do not escape.
- 7 Q. [13:25:08] Now, Mr Witness, you stated that you had to give your correct, your
- 8 correct information because of the presence of a person or persons that recognised
- 9 you. Had you considered giving a false name in spite of that fact?
- 10 A. [13:25:52] No. I did not think of giving any false name, because if they found
- out later, for example, if they asked somebody and the person told them my real
- identity, then as they stated, there would be repercussions, I would be killed. And
- that's why I gave them my real name. But there are people who, for example, people
- 14 who have been there for a long time who would change their names and use other
- 15 names. They would not use their exact name. You might use one of your Honour
- names, but then use an assumed name as well. But when you're new, if you tell a lie,
- 17 they would ask somebody else. If that person tells them that you are lying, then
- 18 you're in trouble.
- 19 Q. [13:26:47] Now, Mr Witness, one of the few things the Prosecution did not go
- 20 over with you this morning about your corrections from 2007 was something called
- 21 moo yaa. Could you please tell me what moo yaa is, Mr Witness.
- 22 A. [13:27:20] Moo yaa is shea butter. It's from a plant, the plant is called the yaa
- 23 plant. The oil is edible. It's extremely delicious. The oil, when we were in the
- bush it was used to heal injuries. And if somebody has been recently abducted they
- 25 would take that shea butter and they would make the sign of the cross on the person's

- 1 forehead, they would make the sign of the cross on their chest, on the back, and they
- 2 would instruct you that if you decide to escape, then the -- they will be able to find
- 3 you because of the strength of the shea butter. Because if they tell you that, if we do
- 4 not find you then we will go to your place, or to your home, and then we will kill
- 5 everybody, we will burn everything at your home. And that's the time when they
- 6 are performing that ritual, putting that shea butter on you, they give you these
- 7 instructions at the same time.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [13:28:38] This was quite a comprehensive answer
- 9 to this paragraph 36 I would say.
- 10 MR OBHOF: [13:28:49] One small follow-up question though, because I don't
- 11 believe you directly said it. Did you undergo this process, Mr Witness?
- 12 A. [13:29:13] Yes, I did. I went through this ritual.
- 13 Q. [13:29:17] Did you feel any different once the ritual was completed,
- 14 Mr Witness?
- 15 A. [13:29:29] No, no, I didn't feel any different at all.
- 16 Q. [13:29:36] And did you witness or hear any stories of people who tried to
- 17 escape the LRA and their plans of escape were found out?
- 18 A. [13:30:02] Yes, I did. At the time that I was (Redacted), I heard such a
- 19 story.
- 20 Q. [13:30:14] Now, Mr Witness, without discussing any names, did these people
- 21 who told you the story, did they tell you that they believed it, that they believed the
- 22 ceremony, the moo yaa worked?
- 23 A. [13:30:43] Yes, they did. They believed that it worked.
- 24 Q. [13:30:49] And again, without naming any names, were these persons
- commanders or were they newly abducted persons?

- 1 A. [13:31:11] No. Those are people who had stayed for long but they were not
- 2 even commanders.
- 3 Q. [13:31:20] Now, Mr Witness, at paragraph 98 of your testimony you talked
- 4 about Kony and admitted that you knew very little about him because people feared
- 5 that if they talked about him he would hear it. Now, Mr Witness, isn't it true that
- 6 you never once travelled with Joseph Kony during your time in the bush?
- 7 A. [13:32:10] That is true, I never travelled with him.
- 8 Q. [13:32:15] Now, Mr Witness, with Joseph Kony being up in Sudan over a
- 9 hundred kilometres away, how would he know that people were talking about him?
- 10 A. [13:32:44] That is what those who had stayed for long in the bush would tell us.
- 11 They would -- you couldn't even mention two names of those ones who were there
- 12 unless you used the name Lapwony, you would say Lapwony so-and-so. You are
- always given just one name and many times it's not even the real name; perhaps a
- 14 name which has just been started from there. If you call both names, that is a crime.
- 15 Q. [13:33:28] Mr Witness, at paragraph 97 when you were asked by the
- 16 investigators who you thought to be the most responsible, you answered that those
- 17 who ordered the others are the most responsible. Would you consider Acel Calo
- 18 Apar one of the most responsible?
- 19 A. [13:34:10] According to me, I think those who give instructions on what to be
- done and they would punish you if you don't do it, those are the ones who are
- 21 responsible.
- Q. [13:34:29] The issue is was he the one issuing the orders or was he following
- 23 the orders of Lapwony Madit?
- 24 A. [13:34:52] He was following orders of Lapwony Madit. Lapwony Madit
- 25 would give it to him but he would also convey the orders down for execution.

- 1 Q. [13:35:17] Mr Witness, regarding your conversations with Acel Calo Apar
- 2 about Dominic Ongwen, did he also tell you about whether he worked closely with
- 3 Dominic Ongwen or had gone with him on any operations in which Acel Calo Apar
- 4 praised him?
- 5 A. [13:35:56] According to what Acel Calo Apar was telling me to encourage me
- 6 to stay longer in the bush, he was mentioning names of fellow commanders or those
- 7 who were hard working.
- 8 And in regard to the name you mentioned, he said that he was working very well.
- 9 Q. [13:36:34] Did he say anything else about Dominic Ongwen?
- 10 A. [13:36:51] When we were in the bush you wouldn't hear the name Dominic
- 11 Ongwen. You would hear Odomi only. He said that he would be waiting for
- 12 vehicles on the road. For example, he talked about the road coming from Puranga
- 13 going to Gulu. He did not know that a priest was driving through, he ambushed a
- 14 reverend father, a priest who was coming to pray in Puranga. But in the bush you
- 15 wouldn't hear the name Ongwen.
- 16 Q. [13:37:44] Now, you also mentioned in your testimony that you heard that
- 17 name, Odomi, leading a group before you were abducted. Now, before you were
- abducted, were you told which group Odomi led?
- 19 A. [13:38:27] I was not told about the name of the group that he was in or he led
- 20 because I was hearing it only from people who had returned from captivity.
- 21 Q. [13:38:51] Now, Mr Witness, during your time in the bush, did you ever
- 22 interact with Odomi or Dominic Ongwen?
- 23 A. [13:39:10] No, I did not.
- 24 MR OBHOF: [13:39:21] Now, your Honour, I'd like to go into private session for
- about one to two minutes to set up the last set of questions.

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

1 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [13:39:30] Private session.

- 2 (Private session at 1.39 p.m.)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Open session at 1.40 p.m.)
- 17 THE COURT OFFICER: [13:40:46] We're back in open session, Mr President.
- 18 MR OBHOF: [13:41:01]
- 19 Q. [13:41:03] Now, Mr Witness, when you escaped and questioned by the UPDF,
- 20 what kind of information did they ask you?
- 21 A. [13:41:28] The questions that I was asked at the time was about -- first of all,
- 22 they wanted to know whether I was already a soldier while in the bush. They also
- 23 wanted to find out whether I escaped with a gun and hid it somewhere. They also
- 24 asked about the group I escaped from and also asked where we were going, where
- 25 we were heading to. Those are the questions that they were asking me.

- 1 Q. [13:42:24] Now, Mr Witness, were you happy to tell the UPDF everything you
- 2 could about your experiences in the bush?
- 3 A. [13:42:41] Yes, I was happy.
- 4 Q. [13:42:49] Now, Mr Witness, did you want to go back to your family as soon as
- 5 possible?

filed in the case

- 6 A. [13:43:03] That is true.
- 7 Q. [13:43:08] Now, after verifying that indeed you had not remained with the
- 8 LRA for that long, despite your age, did they inform you why they held you at the
- 9 barracks for a month?
- 10 A. [13:43:44] Yes. I was told that they -- a few of them that I remember. First,
- 11 that I should mention all the secrets that I could have come across while I was in the
- bush. Secondly, I was told that I'm already of age and I should replace soldiers who
- 13 had died so that I can work for the government army. But for me, I did not accept
- 14 that. And it took them quite some number of days to keep asking me. They kept
- asking me the same thing.
- 16 Q. [13:44:37] So they were actually pressuring you to try to join the UPDF,
- 17 Mr Witness, if I understood you correctly?
- 18 A. [13:44:53] Yes. I was also assigned a number so that I can become a soldier.
- 19 But I wasn't interested in becoming a soldier. That's why I refused.
- 20 Q. [13:45:12] Now, Mr Witness, during that month were you ever compensated
- 21 for the work you did while at the barracks?
- 22 A. [13:45:28] No. There was no payment. I was only given -- I was only giving
- 23 help.
- Q. [13:45:43] Now, Mr Witness, during that month at the barracks did UPDF
- 25 threaten you at all?

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

- 1 A. [13:45:57] No, they did not bring, but what would happen is, they would keep
- 2 asking me, talking to me about it now and then.
- 3 Q. [13:46:17] Now, paragraph 106 of your testimony, you also mentioned that
- 4 there were quite a few other people there at the barracks. Did you ever find out how
- 5 long the seven other people were at the barracks, Mr Witness?
- 6 A. [13:46:47] I do not quite understand, but others who were brought later
- 7 sometimes stay two days, others a week, others three days, and then they would be
- 8 taken to Lira in Rachele or to Gulu.
- 9 MR OBHOF: [13:47:05] Your Honour, I'd like to read him paragraph 106, please, in
- 10 private session.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [13:47:10] Yes. Private session.
- 12 (Private session at 1.47 p.m.)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Open session at 1.48 p.m.)
- 4 THE COURT OFFICER: [13:48:58] We're back in open session, Mr President.
- 5 MR OBHOF: [13:49:03]
- 6 Q. [13:49:03] Now, Mr Witness, were the other people who were coming in and
- out of the barracks, were they being pressured by the UPDF to join the military too?
- 8 A. [13:49:34] If you were already of age, you would remain behind and they keep
- 9 asking you until they really establish all the facts, or if you were also interested, you
- 10 would join. But if they insist and you refuse, then they would take you away. They
- 11 would take you away to Lira or to Gulu.
- 12 Q. [13:50:09] Now, Mr Witness, in paragraph 107 of your testimony, you
- mentioned that a soldier told you that you were being kept at the barracks for your
- own protection. Did you believe that soldier when he told you that?
- 15 A. [13:50:36] I was not satisfied with what he told me because I was interested in
- 16 going back home.
- 17 Q. [13:50:51] Now, Mr Witness, this same soldier told you that if you escaped
- 18 from the barracks and went back home, they would come and get you, that the UPDF
- 19 would come and get you. Now, did you feel threatened when the -- when you were
- 20 told that?
- 21 A. [13:51:17] Yes, I felt threatened. It made me think twice that if I escape -- it
- 22 made me remember that if you escape from the bush, they would come and look for
- 23 you. And then they also told me from here that if I escaped, they would come for
- 24 me. I feared and I continued staying there.
- 25 Q. [13:51:57] Mr Witness, whilst you were at the battalion and at the barracks,

- 1 was your family made aware that you were in fact alive?
- 2 A. [13:52:20] At the beginning my family was not aware. When my family got to
- 3 know of my presence, on the second day I was taken to Gulu.
- 4 Q. [13:52:37] So you're saying you stayed approximately five weeks in the hands
- 5 of the UPDF without your family being notified that you were alive?
- 6 A. [13:52:56] No, not five.
- 7 Q. [13:53:03] Well, how long, Mr Witness, was it until they were notified, if you
- 8 were with the battalion first and then at the barracks second, which is not in Gulu?
- 9 A. [13:53:22] I do not recall, but I think it could be about three or four weeks, but
- 10 not five.
- 11 Q. [13:53:44] Now, Mr Witness, after being in the bush and then being told if you
- 12 escaped from the barracks they would come and get you, did a different UPDF
- 13 soldier explain to you why you had to keep that issue quiet?
- 14 A. [13:54:34] Can you repeat the question, please?
- 15 Q. [13:54:39] I'll rephrase it.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [13:54:41] And, Mr Obhof, I think --
- 17 MR OBHOF: [13:54:44] I think it's already been answered, asked and answered by
- 18 the --
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [13:54:47] It's asked and answered because I just
- 20 wanted to laud you, so to speak, because you really have stuck to the statement as it is
- 21 on the table and here we have it in the paragraph, we have another person telling the
- 22 witness something about the matter which is exactly what I assume you were heading
- 23 at.
- 24 MR OBHOF: [13:55:08] Yeah. I'll considered asked and answered as the Judge
- 25 advised.

- 1 Q. [13:55:15] Mr Witness, I only have one small section left. We should be done
- 2 in maybe 5, 10 minutes.
- 3 Again remember not to mention the name of the barracks or the battalion.
- 4 Now, did you ever receive any of the food that you planted during your stay at the
- 5 barracks, Mr Witness?
- 6 A. [13:55:42] No, I did not.
- 7 Q. [13:56:00] Were you able to tell any of the soldiers from the UPDF your
- 8 grievances against the way you were treated?
- 9 A. [13:56:11] I did not because one person said that if they get to know, it would
- 10 be bring problems for me but also for him because they are the ones who are keeping
- 11 us from there.
- 12 Q. [13:56:39] Were you ever made aware of any formal mechanism to file a
- complaint against the UPDF for the way they treated you at the barracks?
- 14 A. [13:57:05] No. I was not told and I did not even ask because I was not
- 15 interested.
- 16 Q. [13:57:13] From what you observed at your time at the barracks did this type
- of treatment appear to be normal of people who escaped the LRA and arrived at that
- 18 barracks?
- 19 A. [13:57:41] Well, I don't know if they were doing that to everybody, but mine
- 20 happened the way it did because I was already mature at that time and they were
- 21 suspecting me to know other -- many things.
- 22 Q. [13:58:01] Now, before you left the bush, before you escaped, Mr Witness, had
- 23 people in the LRA warned you that you would be mistreated by the UPDF?
- 24 A. [13:58:21] Yes, that is usually mentioned that even civilians would kill you,
- even the government troops would find you and kill you. That is what they tell

- 1 those who are in the bush so that they are discouraged from escaping.
- 2 Q. [13:58:56] Now, Mr Witness, have you heard similar stories from others who
- 3 have escaped the LRA and returned home? Without mentioning any names, of
- 4 course.
- 5 A. [13:59:28] Yes, I heard.
- 6 Q. [13:59:32] Now, Mr Witness, have you heard stories of women being raped by
- 7 UPDF when they returned back from the bush?
- 8 A. [14:00:04] I did not hear that from where I was.
- 9 Q. [14:00:13] Did you hear about other escapees being arrested and charged with
- treason by the government of Uganda?
- 11 A. [14:00:43] I read about it in the newspaper.
- 12 MR OBHOF: [14:00:54] Your Honour, that concludes the Defence's
- 13 examination-in-chief.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [14:00:59] Thank you very much, Mr Obhof.
- 15 Also I think I can say we appreciate your diligence with regard to the question of
- open and private session so we could conduct the examination largely in open
- 17 session.
- 18 This concludes your testimony, Mr Witness. On behalf of the Chamber I would like
- 19 to thank you for having made yourself available as a witness in these proceedings and
- 20 for helping the Court to establish the truth. Thank you very much.
- 21 (The witness is excused)
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: This concludes also the hearing for today. We
- continue tomorrow with P-256 at 9.30.
- 24 THE COURT USHER: [14:01:40] All rise.
- 25 (The hearing ends in open session at 2.01 p.m.)

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber' IX's instructions, ICC-02/04-01/15-497, dated 13 July 2016, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case

(Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Trial Hearing WITNESS: UGA-OTP-P-0081

1 RECLASSIFICATION REPORT

- Pursuant to the Trial Chamber' IX's instructions, ICC-02/04-01/15-497, dated 13 July 2
- 2016, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the 3

4 case.