Case No. IT-09-92-T

## IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

**Before:** Judge Alphons Orie, Presiding

Judge Bakone Justice Moloto Judge Christoph Flügge

**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking

**Date Filed:** 29 September 2014

## THE PROSECUTOR

v.

## RATKO MLADIĆ

# PUBLIC WITH PUBLIC ANNEX A and PUBLIC ANNEX B

# DEFENCE MOTION PURSUANT TO RULE 92TER TO ADMIT THE WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF MILE PETROVIĆ

## The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Dermot Groome Mr. Peter McCloskey

# Counsel for the Accused:

Mr. Branko Lukić

Mr. Miodrag Stojanović

**PROSECUTOR** 

v.

RATKO MLADIĆ

# PUBLIC WITH PUBLIC ANNEX A and PUBLIC ANNEX B

# DEFENCE MOTION PURSUANT TO RULE 92TER TO ADMIT THE WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF MILE PETROVIĆ

The Accused, **RATKO MLADIĆ**, by and through his counsel of record, hereby files the instant Motion pursuant to Rule 92*ter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, and in support thereof states as follows:

#### I. Introduction

- 1. Pursuant to the Guidance previously expressed by the Trial Chamber, motions offering written statements under Rule 92ter of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence are to be filed at least 30 days before the anticipated testimony of a given witness. Witness MILE PETROVIĆ is expected to testify in the next 30 days, and thus the Defence hereby files the instant motion in compliance with the Chamber's Guidance, and applies for the evidence of this Witness to be heard pursuant to Rule 92ter. This Motion is filed publicly, as the Witness does not require protective measures.
- 2. This application is made to tender one written witness statement of the Witness. At this time, the Defence estimates that the evidence-in-chief of this Witness will last up to 30 minutes.

**3.** The Defence does not otherwise seek to deviate from the Chamber's Guidelines in tendering this Witness' evidence.

## II. Applicable Law

- 4. Under Rule 92*ter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (hereinafter the "Rules"), a Trial Chamber is permitted to admit the evidence in whole or in part in the form of a witness statement of transcript or proceedings before the Tribunal, under the following conditions: i) the witness is present in court; ii) the witness is available for cross-examination and any questioning by the Judges; and iii) the witness attests that the written statement or transcript accurately reflects the witness's declaration and what the witness would say if examined.<sup>1</sup>
- 5. A party calling a witness pursuant to Rule 92*ter* may seek to admit into evidence documents that have been discussed by the witness in his witness statement of previous testimony.<sup>2</sup>
- 6. In addition to meeting the requirements for admission under Rule 89, these "associated exhibits" must form an "inseparable and indispensable" part of the witness's written evidence.<sup>3</sup> A document falls into this category if the witness discusses the document in his or her written statement or transcript and if that written statement or transcript would become incomprehensible or have lesser probative value without its admission.<sup>4</sup>

Case No. IT-09-92-T

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rule 92*ter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prosecutor v. Karadzic, Case No. IT-95-5/18-T, "Decision on Prosecution's Submission regarding Additional Transcript Pages from Momcilo Mandic's Stanisic and Zupljanin Testimony for Admission into Evidence." 8 September 2010, para. 5.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Prosecutor v. Lukic & Lukic*, Case No. IT-98-32/1-T, "Decision on Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence pursuant to Rule 92*bis*," 22 August 2008, para. 21.

#### III. Arguments and Submissions

## The Chamber Should Admit the Witness Statement

- 7. The Defence seeks leave of the Chamber to call Mile Petrović as a witness pursuant to Rule 92*ter*. The Defence submits that the proffered statement (attached hereto as Annex A) meets the requirements of Rule 92*ter*, and thus should be admitted under that Rule.
- **8.** Witness Petrović's statement is relevant, reliable and probative, as set forth in greater detail below. A copy of said statement is attached as **Public Annex A**, with corresponding 65*ter* number for the statement.
- 9. The Witness will be present at trial and thus able to attest to the evidence and available to be cross-examined by the Prosecution. The requirements of Rule 92ter will, therefore, be fulfilled and fairness to the Prosecution observed.
- 10. In July 1995, Witness Mile Petrović was engaged as a conscript and assigned to the post of a military policeman in the Bratunac Brigade in Bratunac. He was one of about 20 military policemen in the Military Police platoon that was commanded by Mirko Janković and his superior, Captain Momir Nikolić who was the chief of the intelligence and security organ. In reference to the events in Srebrenica, the Witness testifies that General Mladić or his entourage were nowhere on the Konjević Polje road and that Momir Nikolić and he did not see the General at any point during their 2 km long ride in a military personnel carrier from Bratunac to Konjević Polje and back, or in the buildings in which Nikolić had interviewed two of the Muslim civilian prisoners picked up on the road all contrary to Momir Nikolić's claims. The Witness insists that Momir Nikolić had lied about many things, them having seen the General and Nikolić having reported to the General on a crossroad in Konjević Polje being one of them. The Witness believes the reason why Nikolić told lies about him, namely that he had killed 6 prisoners they had supposedly picked up on the road and put in the carrier, is that he had refused to testify

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Statement, para. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Statement, paras. 10-13.

before the ICTY when Nikolić was preparing his defence.<sup>7</sup> He emphasizes the fact that commander Janković too, who was also present with them in the carrier during the ride, denied all of the related accusations and lies told by Nikolić.<sup>8</sup>

- 11. The Defence submits that due to the nature of the Witness's testimony 30 minutes will be necessary in order to conduct a limited and focused direct examination of the Witness to clarify, expand on, and contextualise aspects of his evidence directly relevant to the defence case.
- **12.** Witness Petrović's testimony is relevant to the Defence in that it will, *inter alia*, establish the following:
  - a. The Witness did not receive any report on preparation being underway in July 1995 for a military operation or an operation aimed at capturing Srebrenica. He states that if there had been any, they would have been carried out at the level of the Corps and Brigade, i.e. at the level of the Corps Commander and the Brigade Commander.<sup>9</sup>
  - **b.** One day in early July 1995, after Srebrenica had fallen, Momir Nikolić ordered the Witness and commander Janković to get into a military personnel carrier and drive to Konjević Polje. On their way to Konjević Polje, 2 men in civilian clothes, presumably Muslim from Srebrenica, were spotted on the road waving for the carrier to stop and they were brought into the carrier under Nikolić's orders. After the Witness searched them and Nikolić hit one of them on the head with a rifle butt, the men were taken to Konjević Polje as prisoners and interrogated by Nikolić. <sup>11</sup>
  - **c.** Nikolić falsely claimed that 6 prisoners were taken in the carrier and that the Witness had killed them upon their arrival to Konjević Polje. Commander Janković also denied this. The Witness further explains that this scenario as told by Nikolić would not have been possible as there would have been too

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Statement, para. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Statement, para. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Statement, para.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Statement, paras. 3-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Statement, paras. 6-8.

- many people able to fit inside the carrier. He further points to the illogical elements of Nikolić's false claims by explaining that 6 prisoners could have disarmed the three of them already in the carrier very easily.<sup>12</sup>
- **d.** None of the men they saw or Nikolić spoke to on their way back from Konjević Polje to Bratunac, was General Mladić or any of his entourage.<sup>13</sup>
- **e.** General Mladić was not in the buildings in which Nikolić interviewed the two prisoners, i.e. where he took them upon their arrival to Konjević Polje.<sup>14</sup>
- **f.** The Witness maintains that Nikolić's claim that he briefed General Mladić at a crossroad in Konjević Polje is false as they did not meet the General on the road that day they were travelling in the carrier from Bratunac to Konjević Polje and back.<sup>15</sup>
- **g.** The Witness is familiar with Nikolić having lied about many things and he believes the reasons for that come from the fact that he had refused to testify before the ICTY in Nikolić' defence. At the time, he was asked by Nikolić's defence counsel whether Vidoje Blagojević had ever personally issued him an order to carry out any kind of task and said he had not since the Witness had his own military police commander and the chief of security to do so. The Witness does not whether these two were ever issued orders by Blagojević. <sup>16</sup>
- **h.** The Witness knows that Nikolić had presented many untruths before the Tribunal and had also made groundless accusations against other people, possibly believing that would ensure him a more favourable treatment.<sup>17</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Statement, para. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Statement, para. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Statement, para. 10.

<sup>15</sup> Statement, para. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Statement, para. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Statement, para. 13.

# III. CONCLUSION

**WHEREFORE**, for the foregoing arguments, the Defence respectfully requests that the Chamber issue an order:

a. Granting the Defence leave to present the evidence of Mile Petrović pursuant to Rule 92ter.

Word Count: 1613

## RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

Branko Lukić

Lead Counsel for Ratko Mladić

Miodrag Stojanović

Co-Counsel for Ratko Mladić

Cuippedat In.

Case No. IT-09-92-T

# THE PROSECUTOR

v.

# RATKO MLADIĆ

# **PUBLIC**

# ANNEX A

82848

# INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE PROSECUTION OF PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR SERIOUS VIOLATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW COMMITTED IN THE TERRITORY OF THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA SINCE 1991

## WITNESS STATEMENT

WITNESS INFORMATION:
Last name: PETROVIĆ
First Name: Mile
Father's name: Milan
Date of birth: 22 May 1967
Ethnicity: Serbian
Date(s) of interview(s): 14 October 2013
Interviewer(s): Nenad PETRUŠIĆ
Language(s) used in interview: Serbian
Signature of witness:

#### **STATEMENT**

My name is **Mile PETROVIĆ**. I was born in 1967 in Srebrenica and now I live in Bratunac, Bjelovac. I work at the Sase mine. I am married with two children.

- 1. In July 1995, I was engaged as a conscript and assigned to the post of a military policeman in the Bratunac Brigade in Bratunac. There was a Military Police platoon in the Bratunac Brigade, and its commander was Mirko JANKOVIĆ and his superior was Captain Momir NIKOLIĆ, chief of the intelligence and security organ. There were about 20 of us military policemen in this platoon.
- 2. I did not receive any report that in the month of July 1995 preparations were underway for a military operation or an operation aimed at capturing Srebrenica, and if such preparations were indeed afoot, they were carried out at the level of the Corps and Brigade, i.e. at the level of the Corps Commander and the Brigade Commander, who might have been working on such preparations with their assistants. In the month of July and during the several preceding months I was often on leave from the Military Police platoon because of the poor health of my newly born child to whom I had to devote as much attention and care as possible. My commander JANKOVIĆ understood the reasons for my absences.
- 3. Basically, I was absent for two or three hours at a time and was given a day or two off from time to time. I cannot recall the exact date, but I know it was in early July 1995 and that Srebrenica had fallen, when Momir NIKOLIĆ met me on the way to the Brigade command, that is, to the Military Police station, and ordered me to wait for him in our unit. When I arrived in our duty room, I asked the Military Police duty officer where commander JANKOVIĆ was in order to report to him, and he told me that he was at the car park behind the VP /Military Police/ station trying to start a military personnel carrier.
- 4. I went behind the VP building and told JANKOVIĆ that Captain NIKOLIĆ had given me an order that we should wait for him. Momir NIKOLIĆ appeared before long and ordered JANKOVIĆ to start the carrier, which is what he did. NIKOLIĆ also ordered me to get into the carrier. Momir NIKOLIĆ also came in and said to JANKOVIĆ to drive to Konjević Polje.
- 5. We set off from the compound of the Bratunac Brigade towards Konjević Polje and, while Mirko was driving, Momir and I stood inside the carrier: I was standing behind the mounted antiaircraft machine gun and Momir was next to me at the command post.
- 6. As we drove towards Konjević Polje, I cannot say exactly where, but I know that this was before Konjević Polje, I noticed two men in civilian clothes as they came out from behind a small hill on the left side of the road, and they waved to us to stop.
- 7. NIKOLIĆ told JANKOVIĆ to pull up and ordered me to open the door so that these people could come inside the carrier. He told me to search these people and

bring them inside the carrier, which is what I did. When they entered the carrier, Momir hit one of them on the head with a rifle butt. I did not know these two men, but I assumed that they were Muslims leaving the area of Srebrenica. They went with us to Konjević Polje.

- 8. When we arrived in Konjević Polje, Momir took the prisoners out and interrogated them in a room under the flat roof of an unfinished house on the left side of the road. When he finished interrogating them, Momir ordered me to take them to a building across the road where there were more prisoners. Momir told me to take the two men whom we had brought with us to another house across the road from this one, where there were other prisoners, and when I wanted to do this, one of the soldiers who were in front of the house told me that there was no need for me to take them; instead, they would take them together with other persons to another house. In the meantime Momir had gone towards the carrier because a UN vehicle had pulled up and uniformed soldiers had got out of it. Momir talked to them about something, but I did not understand any of it. I came to the carrier and JANKOVIĆ and I waited for Momir who was with the two UN soldiers. He then brought them to us and told us to give them a lift towards Bratunac.
- 9. After travelling for about one or two kilometres from Konjević Polje towards Bratunac, these UN soldiers asked us to take them back to Konjević Polje. One of the two UN members spoke a little bit of Serbian. We stopped for a while at a crossroads where Momir spoke to some people, whom I did not know, as well as to the civilian police at a checkpoint.
- 10. None of those people at the checkpoint, whom I did not know, was General MLADIĆ. During our entire journey from Bratunac to Konjević Polje and back again to Konjević Polje, when we drove two kilometres towards Bratunac and then again to Konjević Polje, on the way we did not meet General MLADIĆ or his entourage, nor was General MLADIĆ in the buildings in which Momir interviewed those two prisoners, that is, in the buildings where he took them.
- 11. I am familiar with the claim of Momir NIKOLIĆ that he briefed General MLADIĆ at the crossroads in Konjević Polje, but I maintain that we did not meet General MLADIĆ on this road, and that we did not meet him that day when we travelled in the carrier from Bratunac to Konjević Polje and back. When I say that we did not meet him, I am referring to General MLADIĆ.
- 12. I also know that Momir NIKOLIĆ testified that I had killed six prisoners when we arrived in Konjević Polje, which is a total lie. I know that Mirko JANKOVIĆ, who was present, also denied Momir's lies. Momir NIKOLIĆ falsely claims that we took six prisoners in the carrier and that I executed them without anyone's orders. First, to take six people in the carrier, in addition to the three of us, is too many as we could not all fit in. Even if this did happen, there were so many of them and they could have disarmed us very easily. What NIKOLIĆ said is simply not true, and it is an obvious lie that on that occasion I killed anyone, as Momir NIKOLIĆ alleges.

13. I know that Momir NIKOLIĆ lied about many things and I believe that the reasons why he did this to me come from the fact that I had refused to testify before the Tribunal in The Hague when he was preparing his defence. At the time I was asked, that is, the defence counsel of Momir NIKOLIĆ asked me whether Vidoje BLAGOJEVIĆ had ever personally issued me an order to carry out any kind of a task, and I said that he had not given me any orders because I had my own military police commander and the chief of security, and as to whether BLAGOJEVIĆ issued orders to the two of them - that I do not know. This is the only possible reason I can see, and I know that NIKOLIĆ presented many untruths in The Hague and made groundless accusations against other people, me included, because he probably believed that this was the way to wangle more favourable treatment for himself.

# WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I have read this statement which consists of _	pages and it contains everything
I said to the best of my knowledge and re	ecollection. I have given this statement
voluntarily and am aware that it may be	used in legal proceedings before the
International Criminal Tribunal for the Pr	rosecution of Persons Responsible for
Serious Violations of International Law Con	mmitted in the Territory of the Former
Yugoslavia since 1991 and that I may be called	ed to testify publicly before the Tribunal.
S	Signature:
ī	Date:
1	<u> </u>

# MEĐUNARODNI SUD ZA KRIVIČNO GONJENJE OSOBA ODGOVORNIH ZA TEŠKA KRŠENJA MEĐUNARODNOG PRAVA NA TERITORIJI BIVŠE JUGOSLAVIJE OD 1991

## IZJAVA SVEDOKA

# PODACI O SVEDOKU:

Prezime: Petrović

Ime: Mile

Ime oca: Milan

Datum rođenja: 22.05.1967. godine

Nacionalnost: Srbin

Datum(i) razgovora: 14.10.2013. godine

Razgovor (e) vodili: Nenad Petrušić

Jezici korišćeni u toku razgovora: Srpski jezik

Potpis svedoka:

#### IZJAVA

Zovem se **Mile Petrović**. Rođen sam 1967. godine u Srebrenici a danas živim u Bratuncu, Bjelovac. Zaposlen sam u rudniku Sase, oženjen sam i imam troje dece.

- 1. U Julu 1995. godine sam bio angažovan kao vojni obveznik i obaljao sam dužnost vojnog policajca u Bratunačkoj brigadi. U sastavu Bratunačke brigade bio je vod vojne policije čiji je komandir bio Mirko Janković, a njegov nadređeni bio je načelnik obaveštajno bezbednosnog organa, kapetan Momir Nikolić. Nas vojnih policajaca u tom vodu bilo je dvadesetak.
- 2. Do mene nisu došle informacije da se u Julu mesecu 1995. godine priprema izvođenje vojne akcije ili operacije koja se odnosila na zauzimanje Srebrenice, a i ako su se takve pripreme obavljale, one su se obavljale na nivou korpusa i brigade, dakle na nivou komandanta korpusa i komandanta brigade, koji su sa svojim pomoćnicima, eventualno razrađivali takve pripreme. I u julu mesecu, a i prethodnih nekoliko meseci, ja sam bio često odsutan iz voda vojne policije jer mi je novorođeno dete bilo lošeg zdravstvenog stanja a imao sam i potrebu posvetiti mu što više pažnje i nege. Razloge za moje odsustvovanje komandir Janković je razumeo.
- 3. Dakle, tokom dana sam bio odsutan tri sata, a dobijao sam odsustvo i na dan ili dva, kako kad. Ne mogu tačno da se setim datuma, ali znam da je bilo početkom jula meseca 1995. godine, i znam da je Srebrenica bila pala, kada me je na putu prema komandi brigade, odnosno stanici vojne policije, sreo Momir Nikolić, i naredio mi da ga sačekam u našoj jedinici. Kad sam došao do naše dežurane, pitao sam dežurnog vojne policije gde je komandir Janković da bih mu se javio, a on mi je rekao da se nalazi iza stanice VP, u parku gde pokušava da stavi vojni transporter u pogon.
- 4. Otišao sam iza zgrade VP, i preneo Jankoviću da mi je kapetan Nikolić naredio da ga sačekamo. Ubrzo se pojavio Nikolić Momir i naredio je Jankoviću da stavi u pogon taj transporter što je on i učinio. Meni je takođe Nikolić naredio da uđem u transporter. U isti je ušao i Momir Nikolić i po ulasku rekao Jankoviću da vozi u Konjević Polje.

- 5. Krenuli smo iz kruga Bratunačke brigade prema Konjević Polju, i dok je Mirko vozio ja i Momir smo stajali u transporteru, to jest ja sam stajao za postavljenim protivavionskim mitraljezom, a Momir je bio pored mene na komandnom mestu.
- 6. Dok smo se vozili prema Konjević Polju, ne mogu odrediti tačnu lokaciju, ali znam da je bilo pre Konjević Polja, primetio sam sa leve strane puta, da iza jednog brežuljka izlaze dvojica muškaraca u civilu i mahali su nam da stanemo.
- 7. Nikolić je Jankoviću rekao da zaustavi transporter a meni je naredio da otvorim vrata kako bi ti ljudi ušli u transporter, da izvršim pretres tih lica, da ih uvedem u transporter, što sam i učinio. Kad su ušli u transporter, Momir je jednog kundakom puške udario u glavu. Ta dva muškarca su meni bila nepoznata, ali sam pretpostavio da se radi o Muslimanima koji su napuštali područje Srebrenice i došli su sa nama do Konjević Polja.
- 8. Kad smo došli u Konjević Polje, Momir je izveo te zarobljenike i na levoj strani puta ispred neke ploče nezavršene kuće, u jednoj prostoriji je saslušao te zarobljenike. Tu je bilo još zarobljenika. Kada ih je Momir saslušao naredio mi je da ih odvedem u zgradu preko puta gde je bilo još zarobljenika. Momir mi je rekao da dvojicu muškaraca koje smo dovezli prevedem u drugu kuću preko puta ove, gde je bilo još zarobljenika, a kada sam ja to hteo da učinim, jedan od vojnika koji su bili pred ovom kućom mi je rekao da nema potrebe da ih ja vodim, već će ih oni, zajedno sa ostalim licima odvesti u drugu kuću. Momir je u međuvremenu krenuo ka transporteru jer se tu zaustavilo vozilo UN iz kojeg su izašli uniformisani vojnici. Momir je sa njima nešto razgovarao, a ja nisam ništa od toga razumeo. Došao sam do transportera i čekao sa Jankovićem Momira koji je bio sa ovom dvojicom vojnika UN, i on ih je doveo do nas i rekao nam da ih povezemo prema Bratuncu.
- 9. Nakon možda jedno dva kilometra od Konjević Polja prema Bratuncu, ti vojnici UN su tražili da ih opet vratimo u Konjević Polje, jedan od te dvojice pripadnika UN znao malo srpski jezik. Na raskrsnici smo se zadržali kraće vreme, gde je Momir razgovarao sa meni nepoznatim ljudima na punktu i sa civilnom policijom na istom tom punktu.
- 10. Niko od tih meni nepoznatih ljudi na punktu, nije bio general Mladić. Takođe, tokom celog našeg puta od Bratunca do Konjević Polja, pa opet od Konjević Polja vožnjom dva kilometra prema Bratuncu, pa opet povratkom u Konjević Polje, na putu nismo sreli generala

Mladića, niti njegovu pratnju. U zgradama u kojima je Momir obavljao razgovor odnosno gde je odveo onu dvojicu zarobljenika, takođe nije bio prisutan general Mladić.

- 11. Meni je poznata tvrdnja Momira Nikolića da je raportirao generalu Mladiću na raskrsnici u Konjević Polju, ali ja tvrdim da mi generala Mladića nismo sreli na tom putu, te da ga tog dana dok smo u transporteru odlazili od Bratunca do Konjević Polja i nazad, mi nismo sreli. Kad kažem da ga nismo sreli mislim na generala Mladića.
- 12. Takođe mi je poznato da je Momir Nikolić svedočio kako sam ja prilikom dolaska u Konjević Polje ubio šestoricu zarobljenika, što je apsolutna laž. Meni je poznato da je Momirove laži negirao i Mirko Janković koji je bio prisutan. Momir Nikolić lažno predstavlja da smo mi primili šest zarobljenika u transporter i da sam ih ja streljao bez ičije naredbe. Prvo, šest lica primiti pored nas trojice u transporter je previše i ne bismo svi mogli stati u isti. Oni su nas, čak i da ih je bilo toliko, mogli vrlo lako razoružati. Jednostavno nije tačno to što je izjavio Nikolić, i ortodoksna je laž da sam tom prilikom ubio lica kako to navodi Momir Nikolić.
- 13. Meni je poznato da je Momir Nikolić lagao oko mnogih stvari, a razlozi zašto je to učinio prema meni, ja nalazim u činjenici da sam odbio svedočiti pred sudom u Hagu kada je pripremao svoju odbranu. Tada je od mene traženo, odnosno advokati Momira Nikolića su me pitali da li mi je Vidoje Blagojević ikada lično izdavao naređenje da izvršim bilo kakav zadatak, ja sam rekao da mi nije izdavao naređenja, jer sam imao svog komandira vojne policije i načelnika bezbednosti, a da li je njima dvojici Blagojević izdavao naređenja to meni nije poznato. Jedino to vidim kao razlog, a poznato mi je da je Nikolić u Hagu izneo mnogo neistina, optužujući druge ljude pa i mene, bez osnova, smatrajući verovatno na taj način da će izdejstvovati povoljniji tretman za sebe.

## POTVRDA SVEDOKA

Pročitao sam ovu izjavu od \_5 strana i ona sadrži sve što sam rekao, po svom znanju i sećanju. Izjavu sam dao dobrovoljno i svestan sam da se može upotrebiti u sudskom postupku pred Međunarodnim sudom za krivično gonjenje osoba odgovornih za teška kršenja međunarodnog prava počinjena na teritoriji bivše Jugoslavije od 1991, kao i da mogu biti pozvan da javno svedočim pred Sudom.

Case No. IT-09-92-T

# THE PROSECUTOR

v.

# RATKO MLADIĆ

**PUBLIC** 

# ANNEX B

	PETROVIC Mile				
Witness Statement to be admited pursuant Rule 92ter					
			Closed Session /		
Statement	Date	65ter Number	Under seal		
Witness Statement of PETROVIC Mile	6 June 2014	1D01674	/		
Associated Exhibits wich the Defence seeks to tender pursuant to Rule 92ter					
	Paragraph		Doc ID		
Description	Number	65ter Number	(BCS/ENG)		
Signed Witness Statement of PETROVIC Mile	/	RM 65ter 1D01674	1D18-1105		
			1D18-1110		