

ANNEX
LIST OF AUTHORITIES

A. JURISPRUDENCE

(a) ICTY

Prosecutor v Blagojević, IT-02-60-T, Order for additional submissions from parties in relation to request for certification, 24 August 2004
<http://www.un.org/icty/blagojevic/trialc/order-e/040824.pdf>

Prosecutor v Blagojević et al., IT-02-60-PT, Decision on Joint Defence Motions for Certification of Decision on Joint Defence Motions for Reconsideration of Trial Chamber's Decision to Review all Discovery Materials Provided to the Accused by the Prosecution, and Request for Stay of Execution of Decision, 10 February 2003.
<http://www.un.org/icty/blagojevic/trialc/decision-e/030210-2.pdf>

Prosecutor v Delalić et al., IT-96-21-A, Judgement, 20 February 2001
<http://www.un.org/icty/celebici/appeal/judgement/cel-aj010220.pdf>

Prosecutor v Erdemović, IT-96-22, Judgement - Joint Separate Opinion of Judge McDonald and Judge Vohrah, 7 October 1997
<http://www.un.org/icty/erdemovic/appeal/judgement/erd-aj971007e.pdf>

Prosecutor v Halilović, IT-01-48-PT, Separate Opinion of Judge O-Gon Kwon Appended to Trial Chamber Decision Dated 12 January 2005 on Prosecution Request for Certification for Interlocutory Appeal of “Decision on Prosecutor’s Motion Seeking Leave to Amend the Indictment”, 14 January 2005
<http://www.un.org/icty/halilovic/trialc/decision-e/so-kwon050114.htm>

Prosecutor v Halilović, IT-01-48-T, Decision on Prosecution Request for Certification for Interlocutory Appeal of Decision on Motion for Exclusion of Statement of Accused, 25 July 2005
<http://www.un.org/icty/halilovic/trialc/decision-e/050725.htm>

Prosecutor v Halilović, IT-01-48-T, Decision on Motion for Certification, 30 June 2005
<http://www.un.org/icty/halilovic/trialc/decision-e/050630.htm>

Prosecutor v Milošević, IT-02-54-T, Decision on Prosecutor’s Application for Certification under Rule 73(B) Concerning the Evidence of an Investigator, 20 June 2002
<http://www.un.org/icty/milosevic/trialc/decision-e/020620.pdf>

Prosecutor v Milošević, IT-02-54-T, Decision on Prosecution Motion for Certification of Trial Chamber Decision on Prosecution Motion for Voir Dire Proceeding, 20 June 2005

<http://www.un.org/icty/milosevic/trialc/decision-e/050620.htm>

Prosecutor v Milošević, IT-02-54-T, Order on Request for Certification to Appeal the Decision of the Trial Chamber on Court Assigned Counsel, 10 September 2004

<http://www.un.org/icty/milosevic/trialc/order-e/040910.htm>

Prosecutor v Milošević, IT-02-54-T, Decision on Two Prosecution Requests for Certification of Appeal Against Decision of the Trial Chamber, 6 May 2003

http://www.un.org/icty/milosevic/trialc/decision-e/030506_2.htm

Prosecutor v Milošević Contempt Proceedings Against Kosta Bulatović, IT-02-54-R77.4, Order on Defence Motion Seeking Reconsideration of Order on Contempt Concerning Witness Kosta Bulatović and Alternatively Motion Requesting Certification, 3 May 2005

<http://www.un.org/icty/milosevic/trialc/order-e/050503.htm>

Prosecutor v Mrksić, IT-95-13/1-PT, Decision Granting Certification to Appeal, 29 May 2003

<http://www.un.org/icty/mrksic/trialc/decision-e/030529.htm>

Prosecutor v Strugar, IT-01-42-T, Decision on Defence Motion for Certification, 17 June 2004

<http://www.un.org/icty/strugar/trialc1/decision-e/040617.pdf>

Prosecutor v Strugar, IT-01-42-PT, Decision on the Defence's Request for Certification to Appeal the Trial Chamber's Decision dated 26 November 2003 on the Prosecutor's Motion for Separate Trial and Order to Schedule a Pre-trial Conference and the Start of the Trial against Pavle Strugar, 12 December 2003

<http://www.un.org/icty/strugar/trialc1/decision-e/031212-2.htm>

(b) ICTR

Nyiramasuhuko v The Prosecutor, ICTR-98-42-AR73.2, Decision on Pauline Nyiramasuhuko's Appeal on the Admissibility of Evidence, 4 October 2004

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Nyira/decisions/041004.htm>

The Prosecutor v Bagosora et al, ICTR-98-41-T, Certification of Appeal Concerning Access to Protected Defence Witness Information, 29 July 2005

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bagosora/decisions/290705.htm>

The Prosecutor v Bagosora et al, ICTR-98-41-T, Certification of Appeal Concerning Prosecution Investigation of Protected Defence Witnesses, 21 July 2005

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bagosora/decisions/210705.htm>

The Prosecutor v Bagosora et al, ICTR-98-41-T, Decision on Certification of Interlocutory Appeal from Decision on Severance and Scheduling of Witnesses, 11 September 2003

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bagosora/decisions/110903.htm>

The Prosecutor v Bizimungu et al, ICTR-99-50-T, Decision on the Prosecutor's Motion for Certification to Appeal the Trial Chamber's Decisions on Protection of Defence Witnesses, 28 September 2005

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bizimungu/decisions/280905.htm>

The Prosecutor v Bizimungu et al, ICTR-99-50-T, Decision on Bicamumpaka's Request pursuant to Rule 73 for Certification to Appeal, 4 February 2005

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bizimungu/decisions/040205b.htm>

The Prosecutor v Bizimungu et al, ICTR-99-50-T, Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Certification to Appeal the Chamber's Decision of 3 February 2004, 20 February 2004

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bizimungu/decisions/200204.htm>

The Prosecutor v Karamera et al, ICTR-98-44-T, Decision on the Defence Request for Certification to Appeal the Decision on Accused Nzirorera's Motion for Inspection, 26 February 2004

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/Karamera/decisions/260204.htm>

The Prosecutor v Ntahobali, ICTR-97-21-T, Decision on Ntahobali and Nyiramasuhuko's Motions for Certification to Appeal the Decision on Defence Urgent Motion to Declare Parts of the Evidence of Witnesses RV AND QBZ Inadmissible, 18 March 2004.

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Nyira/decisions/040318.htm>

(c) SCSL

Prosecutor v Brima et al, SCSL 04-16-PT, Decision on Prosecution Applications for Leave to Appeal Decision on Oral Application to Witness TF1-150 to Testify without Being Compelled to Answer Questions on Grounds of Confidentiality, 12 October 2005

<http://www.sc-sl.org/Documents/SCSL-04-16-T-414.pdf>

Prosecutor v Kallon, SCSL-2003-07-PT, Decision on the defence application for leave to appeal 'decision on the prosecution's motion for immediate protective measures for witnesses and victims and for non-public disclosure, 10 December 2003

<http://www.sc-sl.org/Documents/SCSL-03-07-PT-148.pdf>

Prosecutor v Norman et al, SCSL-04-14-T, Decision on Prosecution Appeal Against the Trial Chamber's Decision of 2 August 2004 Refusing Leave to File an Interlocutory Appeal, 17 January 2005

<http://www.sc-sl.org/Documents/SCSL-04-14-T-319.pdf>

Prosecutor v Norman et al, SCSL-04-14-T, Decision on Application by First Accused for Leave to Make Interlocutory Appeal against the Decision on the First Accused's Motion for Service, 16 December 2004

<http://www.sc-sl.org/Documents/SCSL-04-14-T-313.pdf>

Prosecutor v Norman et al, SCSL-04-14-T, Dissenting Opinion of Judge Pierre Boutet on Decision on the Prosecution's Application for Leave to File an Interlocutory Appeals Against the Decision on the Prosecution's Request for Leave to Amend the Indictment, 5 August 2004

<http://www.sc-sl.org/Documents/SCSL-04-14-T-172.pdf>

Prosecutor v Sesay et al, SCSL-2004-15-PT, Decision on Prosecution's Application for Leave to File an Interlocutory Appeal against the Decision on the Prosecution Motions for Joinder, 13 February 2004

<http://www.sc-sl.org/Documents/SCSL-04-15-PT-014.html>

(d) PCIJ/ICJ

Water from the Meuse Case (Netherlands v Belgium) (Merits), PCIJ Rep Series A/B No 70, 28 June 1937

http://www.icj-cij.org/cijwww/cdecisions/ccpij/serie_AB/AB_70/01_Meuse_Arret.pdf

Corfu Channel Case (United Kingdom v Albania) (Merits) [1949] ICJRep 4, 9 April 1949

http://www.icj-cij.org/icjwww/icasess/icc/icc_ijudgment/iCC_ijudgment_19490409.pdf

The International Status of South West Africa Case (Advisory Opinion), [1950] ICJ Rep 128, 11 July 1950

South West Africa Cases (Second Phase) (Ethiopia v. South Africa; Liberia v. South Africa) (Merits), [1966] ICJ Rep 6, 18 July 1966

Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company, Limited (Belgium v. Spain) (Merits), [1970] ICJ Rep 3, 5 February 1970

(e) Domestic Courts

Australia, Sammartino v Commissioner Foggo [1999] FCA 1231

<http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/disp.pl/au/cases/cth/federal%5fct/1999/1231.html?query=%5e+commissioner+foggo>

Canada, *McDonald v Montreal*, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 460, 25 C.C.C. (3d) 481
<http://www.canlii.org/ca/cas/scc/1986/1986scc26.html>

R. v Gardiner, [1982] 2 S.C.R. 368
<http://www.canlii.org/ca/cas/scc/1982/1982scc10019.html>

Ecuador, *Judgements Nos. 131-98, 160-98, 161-98 and 189-98* (Supreme Court of Ecuador, Civil and Commercial Chamber)
<http://www.estade.org/Documentos/temasdeinvestigacion/Fallos de Triple Reiteracion/Tomo I.do>

El Salvador, *Case 68-A-99, 16 August 1999*, (Family Second Instance Tribunal in the Central Department of San Salvador)
<http://66.249.93.104/search?q=cache:N82Bj29wR1UJ:www.jurisprudencia.gob.sv/explois/indice.asp?nBD=1&nItem=22477&nModo=3+%22v%25C3%25ADa+del+Recurso+de+Hecho+como+garant%25C3%25ADa+a+la+doble+instancia%22&hl=en&lr=&strip=1>

United States, *Allen v. Hardy*, 478 U.S. 255, (1986)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/478/255/case.html>

Conway v. California Adult Authority, 393 U.S. 1062 (1969)

Ex rel. Jennings v. Ragen, 358 U.S. 276, (1959)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/358/276/case.html>

Graham v. Lynaugh 492 U.S. 915 (1989)

Hohn v. United States of America, 524 U.S. 236 (1998)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/524/236/case.html>

House v. Mayo, 324 U.S. 42 (1945)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/324/42/case.html>

Humphrey v. Cady U.S. 973 (1971), 405 U.S. 504, 506 (1972)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/405/504/case.html>

In re Shuttlesworth, 369 U.S. 35 (1962)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/369/35/case.html>

In re von Bulow, 828 F.2d 94, (2d Cir.1987)

In re Magic Marker Securities Litigation, 472 F.Supp 436 (1979)

In re United States, 903 F.2d 88, (2d Cir.1990)

Lozada v. Deeds, 498 U.S. 430, (1991)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/498/430/case.html>

Manhattan Bank v. Turner & Newall, PLC, 964 F.2d 159 (2d Cir.1992)

Maine v. Superior Court (1968) 68 C2d 375
<http://online.ceb.com/calcases/C2/68C2d375.htm>

Maxwell v. Bishop, 385 U.S. 650 (1967)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/385/650/case.html>

Smith v. Digmon, 434 U.S. 332, (1978)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/434/332/case.html>

Walker v. Wainwright, 390 U.S. 335, (1968)
<http://supreme.justia.com/us/390/335/case.html>

Zygmuntowicz v Hospitality Investments Inc, 828 F.Supp 346 (1993)

UK, *Bimal Roy Paria v The State*, [2003] UKPC 36
<http://www.privacy-council.org.uk/files/other/paria.rtf>

Matthew v State of Trinidad and Tobago [2004] UKPC 33
<http://www.privacy-council.org.uk/files/other/charles%20matthews.jud.rtf>

Robert Mohammed and Johnny Richardson v the State, [2001] UK PC 21
<http://www.privacy-council.org.uk/files/other/charles%20matthews.jud.rtf>

B. LEGISLATION

(a) International

Statute of the International Court of Justice
<http://www.icj-cij.org/icjwww/ibasicdocuments/ibasictext/ibasicstatute.htm>

Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
<http://69.94.11.53/default.htm>

Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Criminal Court for the Former Yugoslavia
<http://www.un.org/icty/legaldoc-e/index.htm>

Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Special Court for Sierra Leone
<http://www.sc-sl.org/scsl-statute.html>

(b) Domestic

Argentina, *Código Procesal Penal de la Nación*, Law No. 11179

<http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/0-4999/383/texact.htm>

Código Procesal Civil y Comercial de la Nación

<http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/15000-19999/16547/texact.htm>

Australia, *Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia*

<http://www.aph.gov.au/senate/general/constitution/>

Cambodia, *Law on Criminal Procedure*, 1993

<http://www.cdpcambodia.org/soclaw.asp>

Canada, *Supreme Court of Canada Act*

<http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/S-26/text.html>

Chile, *Código Procesal Penal*, Law No. 19.696

http://www.bcn.cl/publicadores/pub_leyes_mas_soli/admin/ver_archivo_codigos.php?id_codigo=5&file=1

China, *Criminal Procedure Law of the People's Republic of China*, 17 March 1996

Democratic Republic of the Congo, *Code of Criminal Procedure*, 6 August 1959

Ecuador, *Código de Procedimiento Penal*, Law No. 000.RO/Sup 360

<http://www.dlh.lahora.com.ec/paginas/judicial/PAGINAS/Cod.Proc.Penal.htm>

El Salvador, *Código de Procedimientos Civiles*

<http://www.csj.gob.sv/leyes.nsf/0/f59b09a27a90d8e106256d02005a3ffb?OpenDocument>

Finland, *Law No. 4/1734*

<http://www.ipr.uni-heidelberg.de/studie/National%20Reports/Finland/Report%20Finland%20Provisional%20measures%20-%20Annex.pdf>

France, *Code of Criminal Procedure*

<http://195.83.177.9/code/liste.phtml?lang=uk&c=34>

Germany, *Zivilprozeßordnung*

<http://dejure.org/gesetze/ZPO>

Guatemala, *Código Procesal Penal*, Decree No. 51-92

<http://www.mp.lex.gob.gt/descargar/CodigoProcesalPenal.pdf>

- Honduras, *Código Procesal Penal*, Decree No. 9-99-E, 30
<http://www.leyesvirtuales.com/leymas/9-1999e.asp>
- Malaysia, *Malaysian Administration of Islamic Law (Federal Territories) Act (1993)*
<http://www.parlimen.gov.my/actindexbi/pdf/ACT-505.pdf>
- Mexico, *Código Federal de Procedimientos Penales*
<http://www.cddhcu.gob.mx/leyinfo/pdf/7.pdf>
- Nicaragua, *Código Procesal Penal*, Law No. 406
[http://legislacion.asamblea.gob.ni/Normaweb.nsf/\(\\$All\)/FC5A81A738F9B807062570A600645EE2?OpenDocument](http://legislacion.asamblea.gob.ni/Normaweb.nsf/($All)/FC5A81A738F9B807062570A600645EE2?OpenDocument)
- Panama, *Código Judicial de Panamá*
http://www.realpanama.org/filemgmt_data/files/Codigo_Judicial_Panama_Libro_II_Parte_I_%28Procedimiento_Civil%29.pdf
- Peru, *Código de Procedimientos Penales*, Law No. 9024
<http://www.minjus.gob.pe/demo/lpext.dll?f=templates&fn=main-j-codprocpenales.htm>
- Philippines, *Presidential Decree No. 1083*
<http://www.chanrobles.com/presidentialdecreeno1083.htm>
- Portugal, *Código de Processo Penal*, Decree-Law No. 78/87
http://www.verbojuridico.net/download/cpp_2004.zip
- Sierra Leone, *Constitution*
<http://www.statehouse-sl.org/constitution/>
- Singapore, *Administration of Muslim Law Act 1999*
http://statutes.agc.gov.sg/non_version/cgi-bin/cgi_getdata.pl?&actno=1999-REVED-3&date=latest&method=part&segid=934446909-000589
- Spain, *Ley de Enjuiciamiento Criminal*
[Spanish Law on Criminal Procedure](#)
- Uruguay, *Código General del Proceso*, Law No. 15.982
<http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/Leyes/Ley15982.htm>
Código del Proceso Penal, Law No. 16.893
<http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/ley16893.htm>
- UK, *Judicial Committee (General Appellate Jurisdiction) Rules Order 1982 of the Privy Council*

C. SECONDARY MATERIALS

(a) Articles

Bassiouni, “A Functional Approach to ‘General Principles of International Law’” (1990) 11 *Michigan Journal of International Law*, 768

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil11&id=778&collection=journals>

Crook, “Applicable Law in International Arbitration: The Iran-US Claims Tribunal Experience” (1989) 83 *American Journal of International Law*, 278

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajil83&id=286&collection=journals>

Robinson, “Ensuring Fair and Expeditious Trials at the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia” (2000) 11 *European Journal of International Law*, 569

<http://www.ejil.org/journal/Vol11/No3/110569.pdf>

Rühl, “Preparing Germany for the 21st Century: The Reform of the Code of Civil Procedure” (2005) 6 *German Law Journal*, 909

http://www.germanlawjournal.com/pdf/Vol06No06/PDF_Vol_06_No_06_Articles_909-942_Ruehl.pdf

Waldock, “General Course on Public International Law” (1962-II) 106 *Recueil des Cours* 54

(b) Books

Arrieta Gallegos, *Impugnación de las Resoluciones Judiciales* (Ed. Jurídica Salvadoreña, El Salvador: 1996)

Brownlie, *Principles of Public International Law* (6th ed.) (OUP, Oxford: 2003)

Córdoba, “Recurso de queja en el Código Procesal Penal de la Nación”, in Maier, Bovino and Diaz Cantón (eds.) *Los recursos en el procedimiento penal* (2nd ed.) (Ed. Del Puerto, Buenos Aires: 2004)

Harris, *Cases and Materials on International Law* (6th ed.) (Sweet & Maxwell, London: 2004)

Higgins, *Problems and Process: International Law and How We Use It* (OUP, Oxford: 1994)

Pellet, “Applicable Law”, in Cassese, Gaeta and Jones (eds) *The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: A Commentary* (OUP, Oxford: 2002)

Shaw, *International Law* (CUP, Cambridge: 2003)

Schlunck, “Article 58” in Triffterer (ed) *Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court* (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden: 1999)

Wade and Forsythe, *Administrative Law*, (9th ed) (OUP, Oxford: 2004)