



Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before: Judge Patrick Robinson, Presiding

Judge Fausto Pocar Judge Liu Daqun Judge Theodor Meron Judge Carmel Agius

Registrar: Mr. Adama Dieng

Decision of: 27 May 2010

ÉDOUARD KAREMERA MATTHIEU NGIRUMPATSE JOSEPH NZIRORERA

v.

THE PROSECUTOR

Case No. ICTR-98-44-AR91.2

DECISION ON JOSEPH NZIRORERA'S MOTION FOR ORDER OF COMPLIANCE WITH APPEALS CHAMBER DECISION

Counsel for Joseph Nzirorera

Mr. Peter Robinson

Mr. Patrick Nimy Mayidika Ngimbi

Counsel for Mathieu Ngirumpatse

Ms. Chantal Hounkpatin

Mr. Frédéric Weyl

Counsel for Édouard Karemera

Ms. Dior Diagne Mbaye

Mr. Félix Sow

Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Hassan Bubacar Jallow

Mr. Don Webster

Mr. Saidou N'Dow

Ms. Sunkarie Ballah-Conteh

Mr. Takeh Sendze

Mr. Jean-Baptiste Nsanzimfura

- 1. The Appeals Chamber of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighbouring States, between 1 January and 31 December 1994 ("Appeals Chamber" and "Tribunal", respectively), is seized of a motion filed by Joseph Nzirorera ("Nzirorera") on 19 April 2010¹ requesting the Appeals Chamber to order the Trial Chamber to comply with the Appeals Chamber's decision of 16 February 2010.² The Prosecution filed its response on 26 April 2010.³
- 2. On 16 February 2010, the Appeals Chamber granted, in part, the Prosecution's and Nzirorera's appeals⁴ against the Trial Chamber's Decision on Motion to Prosecute BTH for Providing False Testimony.⁵ It remanded the issues of the prosecution of Witness BTH for willfully providing false testimony and of further inquiry into witness interference to the Trial Chamber so that it could apply the correct legal standards and exercise its discretion accordingly.⁶
- 3. Nzirorera recalls that more than two months have passed since the Appeals Chamber issued its decision without the Trial Chamber having issued a decision on remand.⁷ Nzirorera requests the Appeals Chamber to order the Trial Chamber to decide the issue remanded to it and to provide a deadline for doing so.⁸ The Prosecution does not oppose the Motion.⁹
- 4. The Appeals Chamber notes that the Trial Chamber issued its decision on remand publicly on 18 May 2010.¹⁰

Case No.: ICTR-98-44-AR91.2

¹ Joseph Nzirorera's Motion for Order to Compel Compliance with Appeals Chamber Decision, filed confidentially on 19 April 2010 ("Motion").

² Decision on Joseph Nzirorera's and the Prosecutor's Appeals of Decision Not to Prosecute Witness BTH for False Testimony, filed confidentially on 16 February 2010 ("Appeals Chamber Decision").

³ Prosecutor's Response to Joseph Nzirorera's Motion for Order to Compel Compliance With Appeals Chamber Decision, filed confidentially on 26 April 2010 ("Response").

⁴ Prosecutor's Notice of Appeal (Rule [sic] 77 and 91), filed confidentially on 23 September 2009; Prosecutor's Appeal from the 10 September 2009 "Decision on Motion to Prosecute BTH for Providing False Testimony" (Rule [sic] 77 and 91), filed confidentially on 6 October 2009; Joseph Nzirorera's Appeal from Decision Not to Prosecute Witness BTH for False Testimony, filed confidentially on 24 September 2009.

⁵ The Prosecutor v. Édouard Karemera et al., Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, Decision on Motion to Prosecute BTH for Providing False Testimony (Rule 91(C) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), filed confidentially on 10 September 2009, p. 6.

⁶ Appeals Chamber Decision, para. 26.

⁷ Motion, para. 3.

⁸ Motion, para. 6.

⁹ Response, p. 1.

¹⁰ The Prosecutor v. Édouard Karemera et al., Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, Decision on Remand Following Appeal Fsicğ Chamber's Decision of 16 February 2010 (Rule 91 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), 18 May 2010.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.	
Done this 27 th day of May 2010, at The Hague, The Netherlands.	
	Judge Patrick Robinson Presiding

Accordingly, the Appeals Chamber **DISMISSES** the Motion as moot.

5.

FSeal of the Tribunalğ