25 JULY 1946

INDEX Of WITNESSES

P	rosecution's Witnesses	Direct	Cross
	Ching, Teh-chun		2479 to 2518
	Wilson, Dr. Robert 0.	2527	

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Pros.	Def.	Lident	In
No.	No. Description		Evidence
204	Affidavit of Dr. Robert O. Wilson	2527	

Thursday, 25 July, 1946 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjounr sent, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, same as before. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese, Japanese to English, English to Chinese, and Chinese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

2,477

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA who is represented by counsel. Does any counsel desire to mention any matter?

2,478

The Chief Referee of the Language Section saw me today and complains that on account of the unnecessary length of some of the questions, it is most difficult for the interpreters to perform their duties. Some of the passages from the Lytton Report, if not the whole report, have already been translated into Japanese, and if the translation were made available to the interpreters, their duties would not be so difficult.

The interpreters are also having difficulty with questions which are in negative form, although they could be in affirmative form. I again urge counsel to make their questions short and clear, and to give due notice of any passage from a report or other document which they desire to be read to a witness.

Is there any further cross-examination? MR. T. OKAMOTO: May I be permitted to continue my cross-examination of yesterday?

oldberg & Wor

G

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

۲

2,479 CHING CROSS CHING T E H - C H U N, called as a witness on 1 behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and 2 testified as follows: 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 4 BY MR. T. .. OKAMOTO: 5 6 Q Since the reply to my last question yesterday was not clear, I should like to have it repeated. 7 8 Yesterday I answered to the question by A 9 saying that it was because the Japanese occupation of 10 Tientsin and Peiping that many of the Chinese students 11 were Communists and compelled to join the Communist 12 Party. So it can be said that it was the Japanese 13 who indirectly nurtured the growth of the Communists. 14 Your present explanation does not seem to Q 15 show any relationship between cause and effect. Could you explain it further? 16 17 A What I have told you is concrete fact. 18 Students, because of the fact that Tientsin, Peiping 19 and surrounding areas fell to the Japanese, were com-20 pelled to join the Chinese Communists. This fact is 21 a concrete fact. 22 When was that? Q 23 A That is referring to some time after July 24 7th, 1937. 25 Then, do you mean to say that this was after Q

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

CROSS

the outbreak of the Marco Polo Bridge Incident, or are you trying to explain a situation which existed prior to that Incident?

A Referring to the time after the outbreak of the July 7th Incident -- prior to the outbreak of the July 7th Incident -- some of the students may have been found to have some Left inclination, but there was never any Communist troops.

9 Q Then, are you aware of the fact that on the 10 tenth of June, 1935, Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek issued 11 an executive order for friendly relations between two 12 neighboring countries, namely, between China and Japan?

A Yes, I know.

Q Do you know the cause for the proclamationof such an order?

A The purpose was to maintain peace in China, and ultimately maintain the peace of Asia, and ultimately the peace of the world.

Q Was not this order issued to prevent the
general anti-Japanese movement in China, especially
in North China, as well as the anti-Japanese resistance
movement carried on by the Communists in that northern
area?

A At that time there was no anti-Japanese movement in North China. The purpose of the order was

CHING

4

5

6

7

8

13

CROSS

the outbreak of the Marco Polo Bridge Incident, or
are you trying to explain a situation which existed
prior to that Incident?

A Referring to the time after the outbreak of the July 7th Incident -- prior to the outbreak of the July 7th Incident -- some of the students may have been found to have some Left inclination, but there was never any Communist troops.

9 Q Then, are you aware of the fact that on the 10 tenth of June, 1935, Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek issued 11 an executive order for friendly relations between two 12 neighboring countries, namely, between China and Japan?

A Yes, I know.

Q Do you know the cause for the proclamation of such an order?

A The purpose was to maintain peace in China, and ultimately maintain the peace of Asia, and ultimately the peace of the world.

Q Was not this order issued to prevent the
general anti-Japanese movement in China, especially
in North China, as well as the anti-Japanese resistance
movement carried on by the Communists in that northern
area?

A At that time there was no anti-Japanese movement in North China. The purpose of the order was

	CHING CROSS
	to admonish the people as a whole, as well as the
	2 Chinese Army, to respect and be friends with neighbor
	3 countries.
	Q Then, Mr. Witness, are you acquainted with
	5 General Shang-chen who was in North China about 1935?
	6. THE MONITOR: Correction: "who was the
	7 Governor of Hopei Province."
	A Yes, I know.
	9 Q Are you aware of the fact that General Shang-
1	o chen issued an order to bring under control anti-
1	Tanana i an
1	A No, not that I ever heard of.
1	6 m
14	16.6
15	
10	
17	
18	이야 하는 것은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 수 있다. 것을 가지 않는 것
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Q Then, are you aware of the Hsi-an Incident
	, and you aware of the hst-an incident

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

22

CROSS

of November, 1936?

Yes, I know of that. A

Was not this Incident one in which Marshal Q Chang Hsueh-liang in collaboration with the Chinese Communists kidnapped and placed under custody Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek?

> That I don't know. A

COL. MORROW: I raise the question of material-8 ity and relevancy of this line of questioning, if the 9 Court please. 10

MR. T. OKAMOTO: This incident has a very 11 relevant connection with the present point. 12

THE PRESIDENT: I think it is as relevant as 13 any of the other matters we have allowed cross-examin-14 ation about. The whole purpose is to discover the 15 state of China -- its disturbed state is suggested, 16 and it is suggested, I suppose, that the Japanese took 17 appropriate measures to protect their interests there, 18 and it will be further suggested that they were acting 19 within treaties. This is a suggestion that the country 20 was so disturbed that its chief was imprisoned. 21 You may proceed.

Q Does the witness know what kind of change 23 took place in the relationships between the Kuomintang 24 and the Chinese Communist Party as a result of the 25

CHING

CROSS

Hsi-an Incident? 1 No, I don't know. A 2 Where were you, Mr. Witness, at that time" Q 3 I was in Peiping then. A 4 What was your position at that time? Q 5 Then, I was the Mayor of Peiping. What I A 6 know is this: that after the Incident at Hsi-an, the 7 person responsible for that incident was Chang Hsueh-8 liang, became repentant, and then he sent Generalissimo 9 back to Nanking and then the people all over the 10 country were, so overwhelmingly joyous that they showed 11 the greatest sign of vindicating the Generalissimo, 12 and the country was unified as a whole; and Japan, 13 then, was so much jealous of that fact. 14 When you say that China had never been more Q 15 unified than at that time, do you mean to say that 16 peace and collaboration was established between the 17 Kuomintang and the Chinese Communists? 18 Yes, the feeling between the two parties A 19 were very good then. 20 Does that not mean, then, that the Kuomin-Q 21 tang resolved jointly with the Chinese Communists to 22 pursue a policy of anti-Japanese resistance? 23 No, that was not the case. The two parties A 24 joined together to prepare themselves to guard against 25 the further onslaught of the Japanese.

CHING

Ab

m

° 6

r

S 7

r 2 a

& 4

M 5

CROSS

Q I take your present reply to be an endorsement of the statement included in my question. This fact, together with the fact that General Shang-Chen and General Sung Che-yuan successively issued orders to bring under control anti-Japanese terrorists and other light groups is a matter which could be reconciled with your present reply.

MONITOR: Correction: I take your answer to 8 mean the confirmation of the statement contained in 9 my question. Now I would like to ask another question 10 on a different point, that is, in 1935, as I said 11 before, Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek issued an order 12 for maintenance of friendly relations with his 13 neighbors and then that was followed later by orders 14 from General Shang-Chen and General Sung Che-yuan 15 for suppression and surveillance over terrorists and 16 anti-Japanese secret societies. Now, how can these 17 facts be reconciled with your present reply? 18

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel must not make statements to the witness. That in effect is largely a conversation with the witness. Counsel must confine himself to asking questions and the questions should be reasonably brief and clear. I do not think the witness should be asked to answer that question. You had better make another attempt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

CROSS

2,485

Then, may I inquire, Mr. Witness, whether Q the executive order issued by the Generalissimo for friendly relations between two neighboring countries, that is, China and Japan was effective even after the Hsi-an Incident?

A - Of course, it was conditional upon the fact that Japan should withhold her aggression.

Q Since the Hsi-an Incident was any order 8 issued to you, Mr. Witness, from the central headquarters of the Kuomintang Party with respect to a policy of anti-Japanism?

> A No.

At about the time of the outbreak of the Q 13 Marco Polo Incident on July 7, 1937, where was 14 General Shih Yu-sun?

A General Shih Yu-sun was first at Peiping and after the outbreak of the war against Japan he went with General Sung to Paoting.

Do you know of the fact that General Q Shih Yu-sun in the dark of the night of July the 7th fired both upon Japanese and Chinese Troops?

A What is the time you are referring to? 22 Is it before the outbreak of the war of July 7th or 23 after the outbreak of the war of July 7th? 24

> Then I should like to ask you, did not the Q

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

CROSS

Marco Polo Incident break out as a result of the fact that General Shih Yu-sun fired both on Japanese and Chinese forces?

A No. That is not the case. I will give you some further explanation. At the time the 37th Division was allegedly anti-Japanese was withdrawn from Inkuochiao they were replaced by the troops under General Shih Yu-sun, who was then considered as pro-Japanese.

When did you, Mr. Witness, become a member of the Kuomintang?

12 A I was a member of Kuomintang at the time the 13 party was in its inceptive stage.

> THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks. MR. BROOKS: Brooks, for OKAWA.

> > CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

17 BY MR. BROOKS:

Q Mr. Witness, was a declaration of war made by China or by Japan at the time of the July, 1937 Incident, or prior thereto?

THE FRESIDENT: That is a matter of which we can take judicial notice.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, the witness states, on page 5, of exhibit 198, which is prosecution's document 1750, this was the very

1

0

CHING

9

CROSS

beginning of the Sino-Japanese War. I wanted to find 1 out what he had in reference at that time. 2 THE PRESIDENT: You can have war without 3 having a declaration of it, unfortunately. 4 MR. BROOKS: May the witness answer, for 5 the base of another question I have? 6 THE PRESIDENT: It is useless, but he may 7 answer. 8 On the part of China there was never any A 9 order like that, but on the part of the Japanese 10 Government, I wonder if there is any order of what 11 we call punitive war against China. 12 Now, on exhibit 199, which is prosecution's Q 13 document No. 2340, you have stated in your discussion 14 with MATSUI you advocated that Asia -- that he ad-15 vocated that Asia, should be the Asia of the Asiatics, 16 and that European and American influences should be 17 expelled. I would like further information on the 18 influences discussed that was considered necessary 19 to be expelled. I would like further information 20 on the influences discussed in this conversation 21 22 that should be expelled.

A In brief, what he wanted is to expel the British and American interests out of Asia. The subsequent facts that happened at Pearl Harbor and

CHING

3

CROSS

the attack on Manila and other places will be factual enough to prove that.

THE PRESIDENT: We have had that answer before.

Q I wish to enlarge upon the answer. Did 5 not certain countries have powerful commercial interests 6 in China which they were fostering.

7 COLONEL MORROW: If the Court please, counsel 8 for General MATSUI went into this matter and I raise 9 the question that this is repetition.

THE PRESIDENT: I think the question is objectionable on another ground entirely, that unless it can be shown that the action of other countries compelled the action taken by Japan, the whole thing is irrelevant.

MR. BROOKS: That is exactly what I am going
 to show, if the Court please.

17 COLONEL MORHOW: I also raise the question 18 of relevancy, if the Court please.

THE PRESIDENT: That is what I said to Captain
 Brooks.

²¹ MR. BROOKS: I would like to point out, if ²² the Court please, that I read and laid the basis for ²³ this, that certain European and American influences ²⁴ should be expelled. I would like to correct my ²⁵ statement as to what I intend to prove. I do not

23

A

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CROSS

intend to show that certain nations caused these conditions which brought about this war. I do believe that certain powerful commercial interests, joining from various countries, brought about conditions which did bring this about, and I think with a little patience on the part of the Court I can bring that out, and I would like to get the information which this witness has bearing upon it, for later on I will have witnesses of my own to carry on.

THE PRESIDENT: If you are not merely fishinng, 10 but have evidence as you suggest, your questions should 11 be very specific. They should be based on that 12 evidence, and your questions are not specific; they 13 are very vague, and I propose to disallow your ques-14 tions until they are made specific, because you now 15 say you have evidence. You must put your questions 16 on that evidence. 17

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I am now 18 in the process of cross-examination. I am not pre-19 senting evidence on this phase, but I have a right 20 to know how far to carry my evidence and what re-21 striction is placed on the cross-examination on the 22 statements made that a conversation was had about 23 European and American influences. It doesn't say 24 European and american nations; it says influences, 25

CHING

CROSS

and I think that is very vital to this case. It is vital to know where China over all this period of years got the arms, the war materiel, the equipment, and the technical assistance and training necessary to prolong this strife, of which Japan was complaining because she was the recipient of this strife which was carried on over a long period of time.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you now state the nature of this evidence. You can refuse if you like, but at present so far as you have indicated it appears to be too remote.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I will 12 show by testimony, by affidavits, by certain records, 13 of which I think possibly we will ask the Court to 14 take judicial knowledge of certain facts, because 15 they are of common knowledge, but I do not wish at 16 this time to introduce evidence on this point or to 17 expose to the prosecution the evidence that we are 18 19 accumulating. However, I will make one specific reference to an American concern, I believe it was 20 the Curtis-Wright concern, had a 30 million dollar 21 aircraft plant that they were building in China at 22 the time, a very critical time, and I think that 23 24 will be shown later on in the testimony. If that 25 is any indication, I would like to proceed.

W

h

а

1

е

n

&

D

u

d

a

CROSS

THE PRESIDENT: You have fallen back in this alleged evidence for your justification and we will fix you there. You have now made it transparently plain that this evidence that you propose to rely on is far too remote to be of any assistance. Therefore, I decline, on behalf of the Tribunal, to allow you to proceed with that line of cross-examination.

MR. BROOKS: I see I will have to disclose 8 further evidence to make my point clear. I state that 9 behind that, even, there was a growing apprehension 10 which may or may not have been justified by the Japan-11 ese and by Asiatic peoples after a study of the econo-12 mic aggression of the various countries of the world 13 over a period of years far before this which may or 14 may not have made them feel justified in taking cer-15 tain defensive measures which they today state are 16 defensive and which are and may be proven defensive 17 in regard to the relations and activities of the 18 various nations in regard to assisting and directing 19 Chinese armies in the field during this period of time. 20 Was the war started with Pearl Harbor, or was it 21 started prior to 1931? 22

THE PRESIDENT: The mere economic developments of other countries could not justify the Japanese resort to war.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CROSS

2,492

MR. BROOKS: That is true, if the Court please, unless a close study of diplomatic history for the last sixty years is made to show that not only economic development is followed -- is carried on by various countries, but political aggression follows closely in the footsteps and protective measures in various and sundry ways are fostered by certain powerful commercial interests which have been the cause of most of our wars in the past.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is clear that you 10 wish to pursue an interminable inquiry going back 11 sixty years, and for all we know, eighty years, going 12 back perhaps to Japan's first contact with the outside 13 world. We cannot allow that. This Court would never 14 conclude its duties, would never finish its work, if 15 we allowed you to go that far back. That is too 16 remote. If we go back to first causes we may go back 17 centuries. We have to take a reasonable view. We 18 refuse to allow you to proceed with that line of cross-19 examination. 20

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, in Section **JI** of the Indictment there is a charge made of economic aggression in China and Greater East Asia. There is no limit on that period of time, as has been shown by the prosecution in their evidence produced thus far.

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CROSS

2,492

MR. BROOKS: That is true, if the Court please, unless a close study of diplomatic history for the last sixty years is made to show that not only economic development is followed -- is carried on by various countries, but political aggression follows closely in the footsteps and protective measures in various and sundry ways are fostered by certain powerful commercial interests which have been the cause of most of our wars in the past.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is clear that you 10 wish to pursue an interminable inquiry going back 11 sixty years, and for all we know, eighty years, going 12 back perhaps to Japan's first contact with the outside 13 world. We cannot allow that. This Court would never 14 conclude its duties, would never finish its work, if 15 we allowed you to go that far back. That is too 16 remote. If we go back to first causes we may go back 17 centuries. We have to take a reasonable view. We 18 refuse to allow you to proceed with that line of cross-19 examination. 20

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, in Section **J**I of the Indictment there is a charge made of economic aggression in China and Greater East Asia. There is no limit on that period of time, as has been shown by the prosecution in their evidence produced thus far.

THE PRESIDENT: You are divorcing that from 1 its context. Economic aggression is not a crime. 2 We have given our decision. 3 MR. BROOKS: In this instance, if the Court 4 please, if economic aggression --5 THE PRESIDENT: We refuse to hear you further 6 on that. We have given our decision and our reasons 7 for it and you are simply wasting time now in pursuing 8 the matter. 9 MR. BROOKS: If I may, I would like to cross-10 examine on another point. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Permission to cross-examine 12 on those points is refused. 13 MR. BROOKS: I say on another point, if your 14 Honor please. 15 THE PRESIDENT: What is the other point? 16 MR. EROOKS: May I ask the question and have 17 your Honor find out if it is proper? 18 BY MR. BROOKS (Continuing): 19 Q Did your government, during this long period 20 of trouble, make any formal complaint in reference to 21 any of the matters set out in your testimony to either 22 the League of Nations, to the Japanese Government, or 23 any other governments? 24 I was then only an official in the particular A 25

CHING

CROSS

2,494

CROSS

locality. Further, whether the central government foreign office had filed any official complaint with 2 any of the governments or League of Nations, I cannot 3 exactly tell. But there was some formal protest made 4 to the League of Nations after September 18, 1931. 5

Q Did you, in your official capacity for this area, forward any material or reports as a basis for such complaints?

I had reported to my central government A 9 about the several provocative acts and aggressive acts 10 on the part of Japan. But whether the central govern-11 ment had reported same to the League of Nations, I 12 don't know. 13

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for 14 fifteen minutes. 15

> (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1105, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

CHING

1

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now 1 resumed. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks. 3 On what dates were these reports that you Q 4 5 have mentioned made? I cannot recall the exact dates. Whenever 6 A & 7 there is an incident occurred, the report was immedi-8 ately made. 9 Q Can you recall the year in which any such 10 reports were made? 11 A For instance, this Cha-Peh affair -- about 12 the Cha-Peh Incident, a negotiation was made in June, 13 1935; and following every negotiation, I made re-14 ports. And I was negotiating on the instructions 15 sent to me by the Central Government, and I have made 16 my report to the Central Government on every negotia-17 tions I had. 18 Q This affair in 1935 that you refer to, did 19 that have any connection with the kidnapping of 20 Chiang Kai-shek? 21 A There is no relation. 22 I believe I recall that was in 1925, was it Q 23 not? 24 Which case do you refer to? A 25 The kidnapping of Chiang Kai-shek. Q

CHING

G

r e

e

r

D

e r

g

В

a

rt

0 n

X

CROSS

2,495. 5

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now G 1 r e resumed. 2 e THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks. n 3 D On what dates were these reports that you Q e 4 r have mentioned made? 5 g I cannot recall the exact dates. Whenever 6 A \$ 7 В there is an incident occurred, the report was immedia 8 ately made. rt 9 Q Can you recall the year in which any such 0 n 10 reports were made? 11 For instance, this Cha-Peh affair -- about A 12 the Cha-Peh Incident, a negotiation was made in June, 13 1935; and following every negotiation, I made re-14 ports. And I was negotiating on the instructions 15 sent to me by the Central Government, and I have made 16 my report to the Central Government on every negotia-17 tions I had. 18 Q This affair in 1935 that you refer to, did 19 that have any connection with the kidnapping of 20 Chiang Kai-shek? 21 There is no relation. A 22 I believe I recall that was in 1925, was it Q 23 not? 24 Which case do you refer to? Α 25 The kidnapping of Chiang Kai-shek. Q

CHING

X

CROSS

2,495. 5

CROSS

2,496

I think you have made a mistake of the year A 1 in which General Chiang Kai-shek was kidnapped. 2 What was your official position at the time Q 3 this report was made in 1935? 4 I was then the Deputy Commander of the 29th A 5 Army and concurrently the Special Commissioner of 6 Civilian Affairs in the Cha-Peh Provincial Govern-7 ment. I was then stationed in Chahar. 8 To who and to what branch of the Central 9 Q Government was such report directed? 10 I was obliged to make reports separately to 11 Α several organs. As the Deputy Commander of the 29th 12 13 Army I was obliged to make reports to the Ministry of 14 Military Affairs; and, as Special Commissioner of the 15 Department of Civilian Affairs of the Chahar Provin-16 cial Government, I was obliged to make reports to 17 the Executive Yuan. 18 What was contained in this report that you Q 19 made in 1935? Do you memember the gist of the report? 20 I think you had better refer to the state-A 21 ments I had already written in regard to the results 22 of negotiations I had. 23 Do you show in such statement the contents Q 24 of such report as you have testified to? 25 THE MONITOR: Will the reporter please read

8

٤.
ł
1

CROSS

that.

1 (Whereupon the last question was 2 read by the official court reporter.) 3 Do I understand you that you want me to A 4 make a complete report of the cables sent forth and 5 back from the Central Government to me and from me 6 to the Central Government, which is about two 7 inches high? 8 I want a brief summary of the gist of the 9 Q 1935 report which you testified you sent after that 10 incident. 11 The first major point in the report I made 12 A In 1935, June, is like this: I reported that there 13 14 were two Japanese civilians and two Japanese military 15 officers coming in from To-Lun by truck. They were 16 then trying to force their entry into the North Gate 17 of Chang-Peh district. At the gates they were 18 stopped by the gate guard who asked them to produce 19 their passports. They refused and quarrel ensued. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Do you really think it will 21 help us to have this story over again? After all, 22 you have to convince us, you know. 23 CAPTAIN BROOKS: I was asking of the reports 24 with the purpose of ascertaining the dates they were 25 made so that it might be obtained for defense

C	H	TN	n
0	11.	τ1/	IU I
-			~

CROSS

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

(Whereupon the last question was read by the official court reporter.)

A Do I understand you that you want me to make a complete report of the cables sent forth and back from the Central Government to me and from me to the Central Government, which is about two inches high?

9 Q I want a brief summary of the gist of the 10 1935 report which you testified you sent after that 11 incident.

A The first major point in the report I made In 1935, June, is like this: I reported that there were two Japanese civilians and two Japanese military officers coming in from To-Lun by truck. They were then trying to force their entry into the North Gate of Chang-Peh district. At the gates they were stopped by the gate guard who asked them to produce their passports. They refused and quarrel ensued.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you really think it will help us to have this story over again? After all, you have to convince us, you know.

CAPTAIN BROOKS: I was asking of the reports with the purpose of ascertaining the dates they were made so that it might be obtained for defense

2.498

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

22

23

24

25

CROSS

material; if there was any discrepancy or any other matter that might explain them, to see what action was taken by the Chinese Government upon them, and if they were considered important at that time; and I believe it will be relevant in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think it will help at all, Captain Brooks. I don't know what my colleagues think. I feel they agree with me.

Do keep in mind that we really want to hear the things that will help us to see the defense viewpoint. But these small things won't, particularly as we have heard them before, and more than once.

13 CAPTAIN BROOKS: If the Court please, what 14 I am trying to show is the defense viewpoint on this 15 thing that looks to me like it is being disallowed on 16 cross-examination. I think that, where he has made 17 a statement here, although what he is saying in the 18 report is repetitious, we are getting that it was made in the report of June, 1935 by him as the 20 Deputy Commander of the 29th Army, and it was made 21 to the Central Government, to certain offices, where it might be examined for further information; and we would like to put the truth before the Court.

The truth in these matters should come out regardless of how it affects any party so that the

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

material; if there was any discrepancy or any other matter that might explain them, to see what action was taken by the Chinese Government upon them, and if they were considered important at that time; and I believe it will be relevant in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think it will help at all, Captain Brooks. I don't know what my colleagues think. I feel they agree with me.

Do keep in mind that we really want to hear the things that will help us to see the defense viewpoint. But these small things won't, particularly as we have heard them before, and more than once.

CAPTAIN BROOKS: If the Court please, what I am trying to show is the defense viewpoint on this thing that looks to me like it is being disallowed on cross-examination. I think that, where he has made a statement here, although what he is saying in the report is repetitious, we are getting that it was made in the report of June, 1935 by him as the Deputy Commander of the 29th Army, and it was made to the Central Government, to certain offices, where it might be examined for further information; and we would like to put the truth before the Court.

The truth in these matters should come out regardless of how it affects any party so that the

0

CROSS

Court has it before it. It is often elusive to find 1 the truth, and it is often hard to get it believed 2 and to get the viewpoint of a certain group or 3 individual seen. 4 THE MONITOR: Would the reporter kindly 5 read that last statement? 6 (Whereupon the last paragraph was 7 read by the official court reporter.) 8 9 CAPTAIN BROOKS: A misunderstanding of the intentions of certain parties often would even to 10 11 mitigation -- if only to mitigation alone, should 12 be allowed to be brought to the Court for the purpose 13 of showing mitigating circumstances as the basis for 14 certain actions. I think that the prosecution in 15 this case has started this case with 1928 when the 16 shooting started, but in any criminal case --17 THE MONITOR: Would the reporter please 18 read the last statement? 19 (Whereupon, the last statement 20 was read by the official court reporter.) 21 THE PRESIDENT: I think we had better 22 terminate this matter by the understanding that the 23 Tribunal will give you every assistance to get that 24 report if it will be released by the Chinese Govern-25 ment, or to have a Commission, if necessary, in

CHING

CROSS

China if the matters in the report are really of importance to you. In the meantime, I think you had
better cease cross-examining about that report.
CAPTAIN BROOKS: I will cease cross-exampoint in the report. I was glad to find out that
there was a report so that we could discover it.
Previous to this we did not know where it was or anything about it.

Q Now, in 1937, at the time of the Marco Polo
 Bridge Incident, what was your official position at
 that time?

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks, I would ask
 your cooperation with the Court. You are asking
 things already obtained.

CAPTAIN BROOKS: I want to find out: Did
 you make a report in your official capacity as such?

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel owes a duty to the
 Tribunal. The position of American counsel is no
 different from that of British counsel; they are
 officers of the Court, and they must help the Court
 and not impede it.

Q Now, Mr. Witness, do you know what claim
 China had to sovereignty over the territory of Outer
 Mongolia and whether the orders of the Central Govern ment were obeyed by the officials there up to the

	CHING CROSS
1	year 1945?
2	THE PRESIDENT: That has been put and
3	answered. That is repetition.
4	CAPTAIN BROOKS: I was asked by associate
5	counsel to ask that question. I hadn't heard it
6	myself, your Honor. I think you are thinking about
7	Manchuria. We were talking about Outer Mongolia.
8	THE PRESIDENT: The question was put gener-
9	ally before on the control exercised by the Central
0	Government over the troops in the outside areas.
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
14	
5	

CROSS CHING General, where did you receive your training Q 1 as a staff officer? 2 The answer cannot help us. THE PRESIDENT: 3 MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I won't ask 4 any further on cross-examination. I would like, as a 5 matter of information now to know if on our defense we 6 will be limited in showing the conditions previous to 7 8 when the shooting began, involving the question of who 9 supplied the weapons; the arms, the munitions and 10 materials -- with which war was engaged with these 11 various rebels and bandits -- were used to kill Japanese citizens and to violate their property rights. I be-12 13 lieve it is very material. 14 THE PRESIDENT: We want to understand the defense fully, but this line of cross-examination is not 15 16 helping us to understand the defense. MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, in a criminal 17 18 case you are allowed to go back into the motive as to 19 who furnished the weapons, whether it was conspiracy 20 and what was said before the shooting actually took 21 place. This is a criminal case, and it is very im-22 portant to know what large commercial interest and 23 what other interests -- black markets or undercover 24 agents or otherwise -- were furnishing arms of which 25 Japan complained numerous times, not only to China,

W

01

f

&

S p

r

at

t

25

CROSS

but to other nations of the world. It is possible that this is not the proper time, on cross-examination, to ask that type of question. I understand I have been refused to cross-examine along those lines. However, the question for a point of information was: Is the defense proper in going ahead and preparing this as a matter of defense evidence along that line, to show the relationship between Japan and China, and the damage that was caused to Japan by these conditions that prevailed, and powerful commercial interests in their marketing various arms and supplies -- petroleum and war materials -- that was allowing this strife to continue over this long period of years -- fifteen years before 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, put your questions, and If will say whether they will be allowed or disallowed. Put your question, and I will say whether it is allowed or not.

¹⁹ Q Mr. Witness, hasn't there been a constant ²⁰ growing or increase in tension and antipathy between ²¹ the Chinese and Japanese since the year 1900, and a ²² desire by the National Government or Central Government ²³ of China for the retrenchment of certain territories ²⁴ which it has lost previously?

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose "retrenchment" means

CHING

i

2

24

25

CROSS

recovery.

MR. BROOKS: Recovery.

THE PRESIDENT: I think it somewhat remote, 3 but I will not prevent the witness from answering. 4 Ever since the Sino-Japanese War, it was but A 5 evident that the Chinese people as a whole were trying 6 their best to live up to the standards of a modern 7 world. It was not the desire of the Chinese people --8 Chinese Government -- to recover their lost territory 9 right then, but it was the desire of the Chinese Govern-10 11 ment to have the national strength consolidated, and put the nation on a modern basis. I said that the 12 13 Chinese Government was realizing its feeble strength, 14 but was not prepared to recovery the lost territory. 15 This can be proved by the fact that while the Chinese 16 not as a whole resented the imposing by the Japanese 17 of the twenty-one demands on China, the Chinese Govern-18 ment has very, very reluctantly accepted it. Due to the 19 fact that Japanese aggression knew no bounds, and the 20 fact that the Chinese Government then was a very weak 21 one, the Chinese people rose and put out this foreign 22 expeditionary force, and had that Government thrown out. 23 The weakness of the Chinese Government, tiough, Q

was not the only reason why foreign nations found it necessary to keep troops stationed in China; is that true?

CHING

CROSS

Only Japan took that advantage of stationing A 1 troops there; other nations didn't. 2 Mr. Witness, as a result of the Boxer Protocol, Q 3 other nations had troops there, but even beside that 4 reason and the activities of bandits and rebels being 5 prevalent in China over this long period of years, 6 weren't there still other reasons why foreign nations 7 had troops stationed in China? If you know of them, I 8 would like to have them stated. 9 THE PRESIDENT: You should suggest the reasons, 10 if you know them, and not have him guessing. This cross-11 12 examination is most unenlightening. MR. BROOKS: May he answer that question, if 13 14 he knows? 15 THE PRESIDENT: I do not expect him to answer it. 16 You suggest the reasons, and he may or may not adopt 17 them. That is the correct way. 18 Mr. Witness, would it not be a reasonable Q 19 apprehension, that could be entertained by the Japanese 20 residents as a result of numerous incidents, that 21 another Boxer uprising might be imminent? 22 THE PRESIDENT: The state of China from 1900 23 on is not relevant, and is too remote. What we want to 24 discover is the state of China as of the times when 25 the Japanese took action, when the Japanese armed forces

1

2

23

24

25

CROSS

2,506

began to operate. The conditions then are the things that we want to know. You cannot go back forty years.

MR. BROOKS: In this case, the shooting 3 started in '31 on a large scale, but since it is a 4 criminal case I have considered -- the defense has 5 considered -- it relevant to show the conditions giv-6 ing rise to the mounting blood pressures in the various 7 countries -- of nationals -- their apprehensions and 8 fears, their conflicting commercial interests, and to 9 show that hostilities, although not openly declared, 10 started long before this period as shown by numerous 11 12 incidents -- several hundred has been testified to by the 13 prosecution's own witness here.

THE PRESIDENT: I would suggest to you, with
 all respect, I hate to say anything that may appear
 offensive to counsel, more particularly to American
 counsel who are practically strangers to me, that you
 frame your questions more carefully, and with a view to
 assisting the Court, keeping in mind that it is your duty
 to assist the Court.

We will recess now until thirty minutes past
 one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken until 1330, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

CHING

G

oldb

е

rg

&

в

a r

to

n

CROSS

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1338. 2 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International 3 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. 4 CHING TEH-CHUN, called as a witness on 5 behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and 6 7 testified as follows: 8 BY MR. BROOKS (Continuing): Mr. Witness, previous to 1937, did you, as 9 Q 10 a representative of China in that area ever request 11 any assistance of Japanese, or even of Chinese troops, 12 from other areas for the purpose of policing your 13 area of responsibility and maintaining peace and order 14 therein? 15 I am not quite clear about the question A 16 you ask. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Witness, did you ever seek 18 the assistance of the Japanese or other Chinese to 19 maintain order in your particular district? 20 THE WITNESS: No. 21 Prior to 1937, Mr. Witness, do you know Q 22 whether General Chiang Kai-shek or any other Chinese 23 24 25

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

CROSS

officials requested financial or any other type of assistance to restore peace and order in China and in your area or North China?

A What do you mean? I don't quite understand your question. Do you mean the Generalissimo and other generals requested my assistance or requested the assistance from Japanese side?

Q The latter. Wasn't Japanese national assistance sought and wasn't Japanese troops requested to be given as policing troops for your areas as well as other nations consulted by your officials on reports made from your areas and various other areas in order to restore peace and order to the whole of China?

A (Interpreter): He is answering to the first of your question. He said: "There was no request made in regard to military troops but there was some dissension about economic collaboration. But, that is, economic collaboration was suggested by the Japanese side."

Q Did this economic collaboration that you speak of involve any dealings in war materials, arms, ammunition, to give assistance to any of the opposing factions in China on the part of an association of Japanese businessmen or any company or corporation of

	2,509
	CHING CROSS
1	Japan? If so, please name them.
2	A No.
3	THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks, it must be
4	obvious to you from the trouble the interpreters are
5	getting that your questions are far too long, to say
6	the least.
7	MR. BROOKS: I will try to ask shorter ones,
8	your Honor. I have made them fairly long so the
9	complete idea would be expressed without a series of
10	short questions that might not have any meaning to
11	the Court.
12	Q During this period of trouble in China, in the
13	civil war previous to 1937, did China have outside
14	resources for war materials, weapons and equipment?
15	Do you know what they were?
16	A I don't know anything about it.
17	Q Was the arms and ammunition used by you
18	and your armies and by the opposing factions that
19	you were in conflict with previous to 1937 all of
20	Chinese make or manufacture?
21	THE PRESIDENT: What bearing on the issue
22	has the origin of the Chinese war material?
23	MR. BROOKS: I have heard, if your Honor
24	please, I know, and we will show in evidence what the
25	Japanese opinion has been of the conditions prevailing

CHING

1

2

3

4

5

CROSS

prior to this outbreak of war and of the various commercial interests involved there.

THE PRESIDENT: You have not answered my question. I want to know what bearing on the issue the origin of the Chinese war material has.

MR. BROOKS: It is difficult with this con-6 7 stant interruption from the interpretation, but I 8 wanted to add further that I wanted to find from the 9 Chinese side, from a hostile, evident hostile witness 10 in this case to the defense, the interests that they 11 said, if there were any of the members of the Zaibatsu 12 of Japan not represented by these accused who were 13 responsible for the conflict of commercial interests; 14 and it has a bearing in this case. In Exhibit No. 199, 15 prosecution paper 2340, in the discussion which was 16 a current topic at that time among Asiatics, was 17 whether European and American influences should be 18 expelled and a discussion of what would take place, 19 whether they would be Japanese or otherwise. It has 20 been shown recently that the Civil War in China has 21 been prolonged by furnishing materials. I think it 22 will be shown that materials were furnished the Japan-23 ese that made this war possible, that these various 24 interests in conflict created a situation that was 25 causing the loss of lives of Japanese residents and

CHING

2

3

4

5

24

25

CROSS

destruction of property of which Japan had through 1 various negotiations in over three hundred instances by diplomatic measures, even going to the League of Nations, and finally withdrawing because she was not given any assistance, was forced to take action.

The defense feels that it has a responsibility 6 to put forth these conditions and facts surrounding 7 the charges, surrounding the conditions and actions 8 taking place which might have influenced the defendants 9 in making certain decisions and which will explain 10 and cast, possibly, a different light on the acts 11 12 taken by the various parties and tend to justify, mitigate or even shift the responsibility to others 13 14 for what happened.

15 THE PRESIDENT: We don't permit you to 16 indulge in these generalities. Your question must 17 be directed to specific matters. No counsel ever 18 attempts to do what you are trying to do. You are 19 simply wasting time. Whenever I ask you for specific 20 information, what your questions are directed to, 21 what evidence you have to back them up, you indulge 22 in a general address. That is not permitted to any 23 counsel.

MR. BROOKS: The questions, if the Court please, that I am asking are not in relation to a

CHING

5

6

7

8

.19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

specific thing. They are in relation to general
conditions which require a general answer to the
Court's instruction as to what I am trying to show.
I will go to another point.

THE PRESIDENT: Your attitude is so utterly unreasonable that it is difficult to control in the ordinary way. Counsel rarely puts a court in that situation.

9 MR. BROOKS: If I have offended the Court, 10 I wish to apologize. It may be a difference in 11 customs in presenting a case. In the cases that 12 I have tried in American courts, the counsel is 13 allowed uninterruptedly to present cross-examination 14 in defense as long as he thinks it is material and 15 later will try to connect it up; and if it is not 16 material and not connected up, the Court at that 17 time rules it out of order or strikes it for failure 18 to tie it up. I wish to apologize.

CHING CROSS Q Were there not, Mr. Witness, officers, in Μ 1 0 1937, of the armies of other nations attached to these 2 r s various Chinese units as observers, consultants. 3 e directors, and as active participants in the military 4 & action at that time and previous thereto? 5 A b COLONEL MORROW: We object on the ground of 6 r a 7 relevancy and immateriality, if your Honor please. m MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I think it 8 would be very relevant to show what officers, how 9 10 many, and what their activities were previous to this 11 time. I am asking about 1937, previous to 1937, and 12 also previous to 1941. There has been, also, much dis-13 cussion in the papers and in various diplomatic 14 addresses about forcing Japan to commit the first 15 overt act, and I think the first overt act, as the 16 prosecution has presented it, has been as of 1941 in 17 some cases against some nations, and 1931 in others, 18 and I think this line of questioning may bring out 19 certain other conditions that may very well be regarded 20 as an overt act which led to an aggressive policy, 21 against which Japan was finally forced to take 22 defensive action. 23 THE PRESIDENT: The question is disallowed. 24 Q In your discussion with Mr. MATSUI, set out 25 on page 4 of exhibit 199, when you discussed the

CHING

S

CROSS

1	European and American influences that should be
2	expelled and the Japanese attitude, was there any
3	discussion as to the hold on Asia's markets and
4	resources having anything to do with the Japanese
5	attitude and expression "Asia for the Asiatics?" If
6	so, state what it was.
7	I am going to reframe the question, if you
8	please. I can make that shorter.
9	THE PRESIDENT: The Court will now recess
10	in order to consider their position.
11	(Whereupon, at 1410, a recess was
12	taken until 1430, after which the proceedings
13	were resumed as follows:)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHING

D 1 u

d 2 a

\$

W

a

1

n

3

4

h 5

25

CROSS

DEPUTY MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE FRESIDENT: The Tribunal has decided that in the future all cross-examination shall be limited to matters arising in the examination in chief.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I would only 6 like to make one observation and that is on exhibit 199. 7 There was a discussion by MATSUI referred to as to Asia 8 should be the Asia of the Asiatics, and that European 9 and American influences should be expelled. And my 10 line of questioning has been in line with the witness' 11 own affidavit in which a discussion was made of these 12 vital factors of which Japan had complained, and which 13 are the bases and the features of this world holocaust 14 that should be pointed out and condemned by this Tri-15 16 bunal.

17 THE PRESIDENT: Is that the end of the cross-18 examination?

19 MR. BROOKS: No further cross-examination of
 20 this witness.

COLONEL MORROW: If your Honor please, we have
 no direct examination.

23THE PRESIDENT: There is only one question I24should ask of the witness.

Have you any reason to doubt the genuineness

	2,516
	CHING CROSS
	of the TANAKA Memorial?
	MR. FURNESS: Does that
	THE PRESIDENT: Now, let the witness answer.
	THE WITNESS: I cannot prove that it is a true
	one, but at the same time I also have no means to dis-
	prove it. But as the development we have witnessed in
	the latter stages of Japanese aggression it seems to me
	that the author TANAKA had made himself a very good
	profit.
	THE MONITOR: English supplements: If TANAKA
	Memorial was untrue, was cooked up, everything predicted
	in it has been carried out.
	THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.
	MR. FURNESS: My objection, sir, was to the
	question put by the President, that it assumed that there
1	had been any proof that such a memorial existed. As far
	as this case has gone I think there has been no such
	proof.
	THE PRESIDENT: The question is put in the
1	interests of the defense.
	MR. FURNESS: Thank you, sir.
	CAPTAIN KLEIMAN: May it please the Tribunal,
	in the interest of the defense, may I ask this witness
	just one question concerning the TANAKA Memorial?
	THE PRESIDENT: No. We were told there was no

	2,517
	CHING CROSS
1	further cross-examination.
2	CAPTAIN KLEIMAN: All right, your Honor. THE PRESIDENT: We will keep to that.
3	Now, there is another question that I
4	Which of the two HASHIMOTOS, if either, is the
5	accused? The witness referred to two in his exam-
7	ination in chief. THE WITNESS: Neither of them, of the
8	HASHIMOTOS I mentioned in the written statement, can
9	be identified with the HASHIMOTO accused in this case.
10	MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, I was going to
11	point out he previously testified that neither of them
12	them were this man.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further re-
14	examination?
15	Mr. Smith.
16	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, evidently the Court
17	came back unexpectedly and most of the Japanese
18	counsel were out of the room when you made the
19	ruling. Could we have the reporter read that back
20	for their benefit?
21	THE FRESIDENT: Counsel who were in the room
22	are quite capable of advising those who were not.
23	COLONEL MORROW: I understand this witness
24	may be dismissed, if the Court please?
25	THE PRESIDENT: The witness may go.

CROSS CHING DEPUTY MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The witness will 1 sign this oath: "I swear that the testimony I have given 2 is a true statement." 3 (Whereupon, a document was signed by 4 the witness.) 5 THE PRESIDENT: The Representative of China 6 advises me that that is the Chinese form. 7 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 8 COLONEL MORROW: If the Court please, pursuant 9 to a permission of this Court to take certain witnesses 10 out of turn from the Republic of China, I desire to 11 present for that purpose further, Mr. David Nelson 12 Sutton of the bar of West Point, Virginia, and of the 13 bar of the Supreme Court of the United States, a member 14 of the prosecution staff, who will present certain wit-15 nesses from China out of order, with the permission of 16 this Court. 17 THE PRESIDENT: For the information of other 18 Members of the Tribunal you might explain what you mean 19 by out of order. 20 MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the 21 witnesses are presented without at this time presenting 22 certain documents which would normally have been pre-23 sented. The witnesses are presented one after the other. 24 The evidence will be connected up later. 25

THE PRESIDENT: I understand these witnesses 1 21 will deal with matters which ordinarily would have been presented at later stages, but I had no idea you were 3 going to dispense with documents. 4 MR. SUTTON: We are not presenting any docu-5 ments except the affidavits of the witnesses. 6 THE PRESIDENT: That microphone is in a bad 7 position. We have the greatest difficulty hearing . 8 9 counsel at that lectern. MR. SUTTON: The statement which I made was 10 that we are not at this time presenting any documents 11 12 except the statement of the witness. THE PRESIDENT: Well, proceed. 13 14 MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, my recollec-15 tion of the Court's order was that because certain wit-16 nesses were here in Japan and wanted to return to China, 17 that the testimony of those witnesses could be put on 18 out of order. That is, they could interrupt the phase 19 on which they were offering proof and put in the evidence 20 of other witnesses who might not be available here in 21 Japan. 22 My recollection further is that the names of 23 those three witnesses were General Ching, who has just 24 testified, and Mr. Dorrance, and Mr. Fitch, and that 25 those are the only three witnesses to whom that order

0

1 applied.

25

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Court, these 2 witnesses whom we are offering at this time have been 3 here in response to summons issued by this Court since 4 the 12th of June. One, the next witness, was brought 5 6 from the United States of America, the rest from the Republic of China. Their own circumstances are such 7 8 that they cannot remain longer without great embarrassment. They testify with regard to certain phases of 9 the war in China as to which the Court has been hearing 10 11 testimony for the last several days.

¹² MR. FURNESS: The Court has been hearing the ¹³ testimony of one witness to whom the order of the Court ¹⁴ applied. I say with that reservation that the testimony ¹⁵ of the witnesses of whom Mr. Sutton now speaks was not ¹⁶ the subject of the Court's order. It applied to two ¹⁷ other witnesses. We are not prepared on these witnesses ¹⁸ which he plans to call now.

¹⁹ MR. SUTTON: Not only were the statements of
 ²⁰ these witnesses distributed to defense counsel, but the
 ²¹ defense counsel were given the names of the particular
 ²² witnesses who will be next called, these names having
 ²³ been furnished to them the first of this week at the
 ²⁴ time General Ching was called.

THE PRESIDENT: The defense will not be taken

by surprise, Major Furness.

2 MR. FURNESS: I do object to his statement 3 that these witnesses are being put on pursuant to a 4 Court order. They are not. And I wish the prosecution 5 would admit that.

> I think Colonel Warren wants to say something. MR. WARREN: Let the record show it is Mr. War-

2,521

8 ren.

1

6

7

9 If the Tribunal please, in a sense, yes, we are 10 caught unprepared. The mere presentation of an affidavit 11 to us has no indication as to when that witness will be 12 called. Your Honor realizes, and as I know all the 13 Members of the Tribunal do realize, that because of the 14 exigencies of time that has existed throughout, we have 15 had to prepare our case as the case progressed.

16 Ordinarily there would be no objection to tak-17 ing a witness out of turn if we were notified in time so that we may proceed ahead of ourselves in preparing 18 19 that particular phase of the case. We cannot understand 20 how any witness can be embarrassed by being required to 21 wait a few days on one of the highest tribunals that 22 the world has ever known. It seems strange to us that 23 the prosecution did not inform this Tribunal at the time 24 that they asked for the other witnesses to be taken out 25 of turn in order that the defense could be prepared.

1	We can take no other view except that they are trying
2	to take advantage of a situation. That is our unalter-
3	able view, sir.
• 4	THE PRESIDENT: The only question is whether
5	you are prepared to cross-examine. You have not stressed
6	the fact that you are not.
7	MR. WARREN: Sir, we are not.
8	THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYOSE.
9	DR. KIYOSE: May I speak a few words on behalf
10	of the Japanese counsel?
11	Actually speaking, this Tribunal is now under-
12	taking a review of the Manchurian phase of the question.
13	However, as Major Furness has already pointed out, three
14	witnesses have been called from China, one of whom is
15	an important official, and others have very important
16	business waiting for them in China to the extent that
17	the Tribunal has said that a master commissioner would
18	be asked to the extent that prosecution asked for
19	a master commissioner. To this request the Court, in-
20	stead of nominating a master commissioner, has made a
21	ruling of reviewing the case, actually, at this time
22	here and it is according to that that the present trial
23	is now in progress.
24	One of these three witnesses, namely General
25	Ching Teh-chun, has already been cross-examined.

୍

Following that we have been expecting Mr. Fitch and Mr. Dorrance to appear on the witness stand and have been preparing for them ever since this morning. We would like to know, Mr. President, what shall we do about taking evidence from Messrs. Fitch and Dorrance?

2,523

One other point which I wish to present to the 6 7 Court is that one of the causes for the confusion here 8 is that witnesses are introduced out of order. If 9 witnesses are to be examined with respect to the China 10 Incident, then if an opening statement on the China 11 Incident would be presented by the prosecution at the 12 outset, then it would very greatly clarify the position 13 and attitude of the prosecution and enabling us, the 14 members of the defense counsel, to prepare and carry on 15 our case.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In connection with the China Incident, the charges made are with respect to military aggression, economic aggression, to the use of narcotics, and other harmful drugs as well as atrocities. Unless some clarification is made by the prosecution on how they are going to handle these charges and produce their witnesses out of order, it puts us into a very difficult position and creates confusion in this Court.

2,524

As the honorable Mr. President has already stated -- asked of us, we are indeed most anxious to cooperate with this Tribunal. From the standpoint of our own responsibility in the handling of the cases on hand, we should like to ask the Tribunal to establish a fixed system in order that the proceedings of this Court may progress smoothly. It is regretful that since yesterday the proceedings have not been going on smoothly.

Japanese counsel respectfully asks the Tribunal to make an appropriate ruling in order to assure smoothness in the proceedings. The carrying out of our responsibility -- our desire to carry out our responsibility is also with respect -- also should be taken as our responsibility to the people of Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: The case is divided into sections by order of the Court, and the Court may

ã

G

r

ee

n b

e r

g

&

в

a

rt

o n 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

modify its order. Instead of having this evidence 1 taken by Commission, the Court has decided to take it 2 itself. Nothing more than that has happened. There 3 has been no confusion, and there will be none on that 4 account. The real question is whether the defense 5 are in position to examine the witnesses that will 6 7 now be called, and it appears they have had ample 8 notice. 9 Is this witness from the United States a 10 long witness? 11 MR. SUTTON: He is, sir, on direct examination, a very short witness. His statement is con-12 13 tained on three pages. THE PRESIDENT: We will hear his evidence 14 15 in chief this afternoon, and, if necessary, we will 16 postpone his cross-examination until tomorrow. That 17 ought to meet the position of the defense. 18 MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, I 19 would like to correct one impression -- I think 20 wrong impression -- the Tribunal has. We were not notified that they intended to call these witnesses. 21 22 They serve us with affidavits, and maybe it would be weeks -- I mean a document, and maybe it would be 23 24 weeks before they come up in Court. So much for 25 that phase.

2,525

We should not have objected had we been told. However, we are in Court without these affidavits, and we are forced at this time to ask the Tribunal to give us a ten minute recess to go pick up these statements from our office.

2,526

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, I called the administrative office of defense counsel myself on Tuesday afternoon, talked to Commander Harris, and told him at that time, pursuant to a request made by Mr. Logan of defense counsel to Mr. Carlisle Higgins, Acting Chief of Counsel for the prosecution, on the morning of that day -- told Commander Harris that the witness whom the prosecution would offer, following the testimony of General Ching, was Dr. Robert O. Wilson. I gave him the document number of Dr. Wilson's affidavit and also told him the name of the other witnesses who would be offered following Dr. Wilson.

THE PRESIDENT: The defense cannot be prejudiced if the examination in chief is taken this afternoon. We will take the examination in chief this afternoon.

MR. WARREN: There is no controversy on that, sir. We just want a ten minute recess to run and get our documents. May we have that, sir?

4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, proceed. Call the 1 witness. 2 3 ROBERT O. WILSON, called as a witness 4 on behalf of the prosecution, being first duly 5 sworn, testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. SUTTON: 8 Are you Dr. Robert O. Wilson of Arcadia, 9 Q California? 10 11 A I am. I hand you a statement marked IPS document 12 Q 13 No. 2246 and ask if you signed it and made affidavit 14 to that. A This is my affidavit, and I signed it. 15 16 MR. SUTTON: We ask that this be filed as 17 an exhibit. 18 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 19 2246 is given exhibit No. 204. 20 (Whereupon, the document above 21 referred to was marked prosecution's ex-22 hibit No. 204 for identification.) 23 MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, we would like 24 to object to the use of this affidavit as an exhibit 25 in this case or as the testimony of this witness.

DIRECT

WILSON

WILSON

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT

We believe that none of the reasons assigned by the Court for permitting the use of affidavits in this case are applicable to this witness. The witness is a graduate of Princeton and Harvard Universities and a well educated man and speaks English as well as anyone in this courtroom.

When the Court announced -- when the President announced the decision of the Court to permit affidavits, it stated that it did so with grave misgivings. We have no situation with this witness that is applicable to any of the other witnesses who have appeared -- that is, those who spoke either Chinese or Japanese. Under these circumstances we believe that the witness should be directed -- that the testimony of the witness should be offered by question and answer.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no need for you to say any more. We will hear what you have to say, Mr. Sutton. We see no reason why this man's evidence in chief should not be taken in the ordinary way. There is nothing we can think of to justify this departure except the mere fact that you have prepared an affidavit.

You can treat that as the proof of his evidence and examine him from it. The objection is

WILSON

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT

sustained.

MR. SUTTON: We had understood, may it please the Tribunal, that we should in each case offer the affidavit of the witness in order to shorten the proceedings and then offer the witness for cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: It was offered in evidence, there was no objection, and the Court couldn't reject it. But it is desirable that the witness should now be examined in chief as though his affidavit was a mere proof of his evidence.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, my objection to the affidavit -- I offered it as an objection to the affidavit. I assumed that that was the proper time to make the objection, when it was offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: It was already in evidence and marked when you objected, but we may be prepared to sustain the objection and reject the affidavit. We can always do that.

MR. LEVIN: If the Court please, my objection was based according to practice to which I am accustomed. In our practice, when the exhibit is offered it is not considered in evidence. At the time it was offered I thought I made my proper

DIRECT WILSON objection to it. I now object to it. I thought I 1 had objected to it, and I ask that the Court sustain 2 our objection to the use of this affidavit. 3 THE PRESIDENT: We can treat your objection 4 as made nunc pro tunc and uphold it. We will reject 5 the affidavit. 6 7 The Page had better collect the affidavits 8 from the judges. 9 MR. SUTTON: Shall I proceed with the 10 examination, if the Tribunal please? 11 THE PRESIDENT: Yes. 12 BY MR. SUTTON (Continued): 13 Dr. Wilson, when and where were you born? Q 14 I was born in Nanking, China, October 5, A 15 1906. 16 What is your profession, and where did you Q 17 receive your education? 18 I am a surgeon. I received my education at A 19 Princeton University and Harvard Medical School. 20 Following your graduation in medicine, did Q 21 you return to China, and, if so, during what period 22 did you practice medicine in the Republic of China? 23 I returned to China in January, 1936 and A 24 practiced medicine at the University Hospital in 25 Nanking, China from that time until August of 1940.

DRECT

WILSON

Were you connected with the University Q 1 Hospital in Nanking, and, if so, in what capacity? 2 I was an Associate in Surgery at the Uni-A 3 versity Hospital in Nanking. 4 Did the Chinese doctors and nurses on the Q 5 staff of the hospital leave the hospital in the 6 Fall of 1937 and, if so, when? 7 MR. McMANUS: If your Honor please, for the 8 9 record. I object to that question -- to the form of 10 the question, and also on the grounds it is very leading, and request that the President shall in-11 12 struct the counsel to conduct his examination not by 13 asking leading questions and asking them in the proper 14 form. 15 THE PRESIDENT: These are merely introduct-16 ory matters upon which he may well be permitted to 17 lead. 18 Late in November, 1937, after the fall of A 19 Shanghai, when the Japanese Army was approaching 20 Nanking, the nurses and doctors of our staff came to 21 us with the request that they be allowed to proceed 22 up-river and not remain in Nanking when the city 23 was taken by the Japanese soldiers. Their reason for 24 doing this was because of the stories that they heard 25 about the happenings in the cities between Shanghai

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT

2,532

and Nanking. These cities were specifically Su-Chou, Wu-Hsi, Chen-Kiang, Tang-Yang, among others.

Our staff feared for their lives and wished to leave, and we attempted to calm their fears by saying that under martial law they would have nothing to fear in Nanking after the city fell. We were unable to convince them, however, and they left to up-river, leaving the hospital with Dr. Trimmer, another American doctor, and myself, five nurses who elected to remain behind, and some of the servant class who elected to stay with us. They left the city about the first of December. All together, about twenty Chinese doctors and some forty or fifty nurses and student nurses left.

Q To what number had the patients in the hospital been reduced immediately prior to the fall of the city of Nanking?

A When our staff left we were forced to reduce the number of patients to the irreducible limit and sent home all who could possibly go home, leaving about fifty patients in the hospital who either had no place to go or were too sick to move.

2,533 WILSON DIRECT WI Q Did the situation change following December 13. 01 f 2 1937; and if so, in what manner? 3 A The Japanese soldiers entered the city on the å 4 morning of the 13th of December, all resistance having S 5 prat ceased on the night of the 12th. Within a very days 6 the hospital filled up rapidly with many, many cases 7 t of injury to men, women and children of all ages, and 8 of all degrees. 9 MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I think 10 it is objectionable. I think the Court --11 THE PRESIDENT: I cannot hear you. Talk 12 through the microphone. 13 MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I think this 14 line of questioning is objectionable, and I think it 15 is made for the possibility of influencing the Mombers 16 of the Court and that the Court can very well take 17 judicial notice, and I think the defense would be 18 willing to agree that in any war there will be casualties 19 among civilians -- women, children and others -- otherwise, 20 if such purpose is not shown, I move it should be stricken 21 from the record. 22 THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled. 23 Proceed. 24 MR. BROOKS: I would like to enter a further 25 objection, on the ground of immateriality and irrel-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

DIRECT

2,534

evancy to the issue they are trying to show -- who caused the war. If this had anything to do with it, I don't see where it is material.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled. Q Doctor, will you give specific instances of the types of injuries which had been received by the persons whom you treated at the hospital?

A I can say the few instances of patients that I treated during the time immediately following the fall of Nanking, but I will not be able to give their names, except in the case of two, who are here as witnesses.

One case that comes to my mind is that of a woman of forty, who was brought to the hospital with the back of her neck having a laceration severing all the muscles of the neck, and leaving the head very precariously balanced. From direct questioning of the patient, and from those who brought her in, there was no --

²⁰ MR. MATTICE: I would like to interrupt this ²⁰ witness to object; first, for the reason that, obvious-²¹ ly, what the witness is about to say is heresay; ²² secondly, it is not in response to the question which ²³ was asked him. He was asked to describe the wounds ²⁴ which he saw and he now undertakes to tell what the ²⁵ woman told him.

D

DIRECT

2,535

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled. 1 The objection to heresay has been overruled repeated-2 ly. 3 A (Continuing) Upon direct questioning of the 4 woman, and from the story of those who brought her in, 5 there was no doubt in our minds that the work was 6 that of a Japanese soldier. 7 THE PRESIDENT: He ought to say what the 8 woman told him. 9 A (Continuing) A young boy of eight was 10 brought in with a deep penetrating wound of the 11 abdomen, which pierced his stomach. 12 MR. WARREN: If your Honor please, I was 13 about to object on the same grounds that your Honor 14 called to the attention of the witness; that he 15 should state what the patient is alleged to have told 16 him, instead of his conclusions, and let the Court 17 draw the conclusion. We respectfully request the 18 Tribunal to request the witness to state, as near as 19 he recalls, the conversations he had with these patients. 20 THE PRESIDENT: The objections are sustained. 21 (Addressing Mr. Sutton) I do not think you 22 are hearing me. You will not hear me unless you wear 23 those headphones. 24 I said the witness must state what con-25

5

DIRECT

versations he had with those wounded people. 1 A (Continuing) A man was admitted with a 2 wound through the right shoulder, obviously a bullet 3 wound, and --4 THE WITNESS: If the Court pleases, I 5 can tell his story as he told it to me then. Is 6 that what I understand? --7 A (Continuing) He was one -- the only survivor 8 of a large group of men who were taken to the river 9 bank of the Yangtze River and individually shot. The 10 bodies were pushed into the river, and hence the 11 actual number cannot be ascertained. But he feigned 12 death, crept away in the darkness, and came to the 13 hospital. This man's name was Liang. 14 Another man was a Chinese policeman and was 15 brought to the hospital with a very deep laceration 16 across the middle of his back. He was the only sur-17 vivor of a large group taken outside the city wall, 18 who were also first machine-gunned and the wounded 19 were then pierced with bayonets to be sure that they 20 were dead. That man's name was Wu Chang-teh. 21 One noon I was having lunch in my house, when 22 the neighbors rushed in and told us, at the table, that 23 some Japanese soldiers were raping the women in their 24 house. 25 MR. WARREN: If your Honor please, that

6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

DIRECT

obviously is not an answer to the question that was propounded to this witness and we request that he be required to answer the question and undoubtedly the prosecution will bring those out in further questioning.

2,537

THE PRESIDENT: He should confine his answers to the questions, but I do not think he infringed very seriously. These are all related matters. The objection is overruled.

9 A (Continuing) We rushed out of the house to accom-10 pany the men back to their own house, and the people 11 in the courtyard pointed to the closed door of the 12 gate-house. Three Japanese soldiers stood about in the 13 courtyard with their bayonets. We pushed in the 14 door of the gate-house, and found two Japanese soldiers 15 in the act of raping two Chinese women. We took the 16 women to the University of Nanking Refugee -- the campus 17 where there was a large group of refugees, under the 18 supervision of the International Refugee Committee.

A man came into the hospital with a bullet
 through his jaw, barely able to talk. About two thirds of his body was very badly burned. His story,
 as nearly as we could make it out, was that he had been
 seized by Japanese soldiers, shot, covered with gaso line, and set afire. He died two days later.
 Another man was admitted with a very severe

1

2

3

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

DIRECT

burn covering his entire head and shoulders. While still able to talk, he told us that he was the only survivor of a large group who had been bound together, had gasoline sprayed over them, and were set afire.

5 We have pictures of these particular cases, 6 that I have mentioned so far.

An old man of sixty was admitted with a 7 bayonst wound in his chest. His story was that he had 8 gone from the refugee zone back into the other part of 9 the city to look for a relative. He met a Japanese 10 soldier who bayoneted him in the chest and threw him in 11 the gutter for dead. He recovered six hours later --12 he recovered consciousness six hours later and was able 13 14 to get to the hospital.

Cases like this condinued to come in for a matter of some six or seven weeks following the fall of the city on December 13, 1937. The capacity of the hospital was normally one hundred and eighty beds, and this was kept full to overflowing during this entire period.

Q Doctor, were any children brought in with wounds during this period?

A I mentioned the eight year old boy. There are two other cases that come to my mind:

One was that of a little girl of seven or

2,538

DIRECT

eight, who had a very serious wound of the elbow, with
the elbow joint exposed. Her story to me was that
Japanese soldiers had killed her father and mother in
front of her eyes, and given her this wound.

A girl of fifteen was brought into the hospital by the Reverend John Magee, with the **detory** that she had been raped. A medical examination confirmed this. About two months later this girl came again into the hospital with a secondary rash of syphilis.

10 Q Did these patients report to you from whom 11 they had received the wounds?

A They merely stated that they received the wounds at the hands -- without exception they reported that the wounds were received at the hands of Japanese soldiers.

Q Doctor, are these two patients. -- Captain
 Liang and Wu-Chang-teh -- in Tokyo?

¹⁸ A The man you refer to as Captain Liang -- at the ¹⁹ time I knew him, he was a stretcher-bearer in the Chinese ²⁰ Army. He is here in Tokyo, and Wu-Chang-teh, the police-²¹ man also mentioned previously, also is in Tokyo.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Morrow, apparently he
 has not heard the witness finish his answer.

Q Doctor, was there any change in the matter of the sale of opium in the City of Nanking following the

DIRECT

occupation of that city by the Japanese in December,
 1937?

3 MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, patently 4 that does not tend to prove or disprove any of the is-5 sues in this case, and we ask that the witness not be 6 permitted to answer, and that this line of cross-examina-7 tion -- of direct examination -- be abandoned.

8 THE PRESIDENT: It is certainly objectionable 9 as leading in a very important matter, but what about 10 this question of relevancy? I will hear you on that. 11 The question is leading, and leading in a very important 12 matter, but it is also objected to as being irrelevant. 13 I would like to hear you on that.

(Addressing Mr. Warren) I would like to hear
 him, Mr. Warren.

16 MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, it 17 is the purpose of the testimony to show whether or not 18 there was an increase in the open sale of opium and 19 narcotics in the City of Nanking following the occupa-20 tion; and if so, something of the extent of that increase. 21 THE PRESIDENT: There is a count in the Indict-22 ment covering narcoties, but I forget the exact terms. 23 MR. SUTTON: It is to this charge in the Indict-24 ment that this portion of the evidence is directed. 25 THE PRESIDENT: Well, read the charge.

DIRECT

1	MR. SUTTON: Will your Honor excuse me for
2	a moment while I find the proper place in the Indict-
3	ment?
4	THE PRESIDENT: Well, this is a convenient
5	time to adjourn. We will recess now until half past
6	nine tomorrow morning.
7	(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment
8	was taken until Friday, 26 July 1946, at 0930.)
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
22	
23	
24	
25	

WILSON