INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JULY 29, 1946

(pp. 2616--2686 inc.)

901772

DAVID NELSON SUTTON

24 /616 . 2686

Tank to

PURL: https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/a5a0b0/

1	·
1	Monday, 29 July, 1946
2	eas and such
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building
6	Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at
9	0930.
10	en en este
11	
12	Appearances:
13	For the Tribunal, same as before.
14	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
15	For the Defense Section, same as before.
16	
17	gain anns anns
18	(The which to Tomorogo Tomorogo to
19	(English to Japanese, Japanese to
20	English, English to Chinese, and Chinese to
21	English interpretation was made by the
22	Language Section, IMTFE.)
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session and ready to hear any matter brought before it.

THE PRESIDENT: Until I announce otherwise, it is to be taken that at the commencement of each session all the accused are present except OKAWA, and that OKAWA is represented by counsel.

Does any counsel desire to mention any matter?

MR. UZAWA: Mr. President, if the Court

please, Dr. TAKAYANAGI, chief defense counsel for the

defendant SHIGEMITSU, Mamoru, has resigned, and Mr.

YANAI, Tsuneo has taken his place.

The chief defense counsel for the defendant SUZUKI, Teiichi, counsel HASEGAWA, has resigned and his associate, Dr. TAKAYANAGI has taken his place.

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution desires to call as its next witness Hsu, G. J., a citizen of the Republic of China, who has some knowledge of the English language but desires to testify in his native language. Chinese.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, would'nt it be wise, and I suggest the advisability of first examining the witness in English and see if he can testify satisfactorily in the English language. If he cannot, then he

2425

might proceed in Chinese. THE PRESIDENT: We think that he should be examined in his own language if he wishes.

1	G. J. H S U, called as a witness on behalf of the
2	prosecution, being first duly sworn, testified
3	as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, we
6	desire to offer International Prosecution document 2118
7	and ask that it be marked as an exhibit in this case.
8	DEPUTY CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution docu-
9	ment No. 2118 will receive exhibit No. 209.
10	(Whereupon, the document above
11	referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
12	No. 209 for identification.)
13	BY MR. SUTTON:
14	Q What is your name?
15	A My name is Hsu Chieh-chun.
16	Q Where do you live?
17	A I am living at No. 473 Kiangse Road, Shanghai.
18	Q You have before you exhibit No. 209. Will
19	you please state whether or not you signed that paper?
20	A Yes.
21	THE PRESIDENT: Well, he did not have it
22	before him, did he? Better ask him again.
23	(Whereupon, a document was handed to
24	the witness.)
25	Q You have before you exhibit No. 209. Will you
	The second secon

1	please state whether or not you signed that paper?
2	A Yes, they were signed by me.
3	Q Are the facts stated therein true and correct?
4	A They were all true.
5	MR. SUTTON: I desire to read the paper into
6	the record.
7	THE PRESIDENT: Better tender it first. It
8	is only for identification so far.
9	MR. SUTTON: We offer in evidence exhibit
.0	No. 209 and ask to be allowed to read it into the
1	record.
12	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted.
13	(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit
14	No. 209 was received in evidence.)
15	MR. SUTTON: (Reading)
16	"I, G. J. Hsu, a citizen of the Republic of
17	China now residing at Shanghai, China, make the follow-
18	ing statement:
19	"I am thirty-three years old and I am General
20	Manager of the Oriental Development Corporation. The
21	principal office of this corporation was formerly at
22	Kunming in Yunnan Province. I have been connected with
23	this company, which deals with textiles, since 1938. I
24	was in Yunnan Province from 1939 until February, 1946,
25	except for a portion of this time that I was in Burma.

"In May, 1942, I was traveling on the Burma-Yunnan highway when a bridge was bombed, stopping traffic. Over 300 vehicles, trucks and cars, most of them filled with Chinese refugees from Burma, could not get across the Salween River. This group dispersed and tried to cross at other points. I was with a group of about seventy, all civilians. The Japanese troops seized this group, and had them sit down in rows and took from each of us our watches, pens and money. They took from me my fountain pen and over 20,000 rupees.

The Japanese officers divided us into two groups, about half, something over thirty, in each group. One group was marched off into the mountains. The remainder of us were required to remain seated beside the river. We were seated almost in a circle. A Japanese officer ordered a machine gun to be placed at the opening in the circle, and open fire on our group. I bent forward on the ground just as the firing started and remained motionless. The men on either side of me were killed and their bodies fell on me. Their blood was on my clothes. I remained among their bodies from around noon until about six o'clock in the evening. Some Chinese civilians who

1	had been previously captured by the Japanese and made
2	to carry loads came through and ${ t I}$ joined these laborers
3	About thrity civilians of our group were killed. There
4	were two other survivors beside myself who joined the
5	same group of laborers, and began working with them
6	carrying lumber and taking it to the river bank and
7	doing other work during that night, and the next day
8	I saw over 1,000 bodies, mostly civilians, along the
9	road. I carried water from the river up to the
10	officers quarters the next day. The Chinese soldiers'
11	bodies appeared to have been stabbed with bayonets.
.2	The bodies of the civilians were usually in rows or
13	groups. They had been shot.
4	"In the afternoon of that day I saw four
15	Japanese soldiers take two women into the hills and
16	when the women came back they were both crying. They
17	told me that they had been raped.
ક	"On the third day I managed to escape
19	along with some local men who knew the roads in that
20	vicinity.
21	"In witness whereof I have herewith set my
22	hand and seal this 18th day of June 1946."
23	
24	(Signed) "G. J. Hsu."
25	

MR. SUTTON: Defense may cross-examine the witness. MR. BROOKS: No cross-examination, if the Court please. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SUTTON: The prosecution desires to call as its next witness, Dr. M. S. Bates.

1	MINER SEARLE BATES, called as a wit-
2	ness on behalf of the prosecution, being first
3	duly sworn, testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. SUTTON:
6	Q Dr. Bates, will you please state your full
7	name?
8	A Miner Searle Bates.
9	Q When and where were you born?
10	A At Newark, Ohio; May 28, 1897.
11	Q Where did you receive your education?
12	A At Hiram College, Hiram, Ohio; at Oxford Uni-
13	versity in England; and in later years graduate work
14	in history at Yale and Harvard universities.
15	Q Where is your residence?
16	A At Nanking, China.
17 18	Q How long have you been a resident of China?
19	A Since 1920.
20	Q What is your business in China?
20	A Professor of history in the University of
22	Nanking.
23	Q Were you connected with any of the committees
24	which were organized in the late fall of 1937 at Nanking
25	A Yes. I was a member, a founding member, of
	the International Committee for Safety Zone in Nanking.

3

Q Will you please tell when this committee was formed, and its function?

A This committee was set up in the last days of November, 1937, anticipating the attack of the Japanese Army upon Nanking.

Following the example of the international committee organized by Father Jacquinot, a French priest in Shanghai, which was of considerable help to a large body of Chinese civilians there, we attempted in Nanking to do something similar in our very different conditions.

This committee was organized at first with a Danish chairman, with German, British, and American members. But because foreign governments withdrew almost all of their nationals from the city, there were at the time of the Japanese attack only Germans and Americans remaining upon it.

The chairman was a distinguished German merchant, Mr. John Raabe. This committee was assisted to
get into touch with the Chinese and Japanese commanding
officers through the communications and good officers of
the American, German, and British embassies. The
purpose was to provide a refuge in a small, noncombatant zone where civilians might escape the dangers
of the fighting and actual attack.

Q Who was the secretary of this committee?

A Professor Lewis Smythe, professor of sociology in the University of Nanking.

Q Did this committee make reports from time to time?

A The committee expected that its chief duties would be to provide housing and if necessary some food during a period of a few days or possibly of a few weeks when the city was under siege and when Chinese civilian authority might have disappeared but Japanese military authority would not yet have been established.

The actual event was very different, because the Japanese attack and seizure of the city was swift. But then the troubles began. The treatment of civilians was so bad that the chairman and secretary of the committee went regularly to any Japanese officials who could be reached and soon began to prepare daily reports of the serious injuries to civilians that occurred within the safety zone. Over a period of several weeks a total of several hundred cases, many of them compound cases, involving groups and large numbers of individuals, were thus reported in writing and orally to Japanese officials. They were later published under the editorship of Professor Shu-hsi Hsu, of Nanking University, by the British firm of Kelly and Walsh, in Shanghai, in

2

the year 1939 or 1940.

Q By whom were most of these reports in writing that were made -- change the question. Just disregard the question. I will change it, please.

Whose signature appeared to most of these reports that were made in writing by the International Committee for the Nanking Safety Zone to the Japanese authorities?

A Most of them were signed by Professor Smythe, as Secretary, though part of them were also signed by Mr. Raabe, as Chairman.

G	
r	
е	
е	
n	
b	
е	
r	
g	
&	
В	
a	
r	
t	
0	
n	

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q	Was th	nere any	resistanc	e on the	e part	of the
Chinese	troops	or any r	esistance	on the	part o	of the
Chinese	elqooq	against	the Japan	ese for	ces in	the city
of Nank	ing afte	er Decemb	per 13, 19	37?		

Greatly to the disappointment of the Chinese population, and to the surprise of the small group of foreign residents, there was no resistance of any In the many conferences which kind within the city. Mr. Raabe, Fromessor Smythe, and I had with Japanese officials on the matter of atrocities, we found that the Japanese officials never in any way alleged that there was resistance or gave any such excuse for the attacks upon civilians. One case only, about ten days after the entrance in the city, involved a single sailor on the river.

- Did you conclude your answer?
- Α The answer to that question?

MR. McMANUS: Mr. President, Members of the Tribunal, may I point out at this time that, in lieu of an objection to this testimony, that -- to call the Court's attention that no conspiracy has been established as yet. Not one of these accused has been tied in in any way to a conspiracy charge so far. in view of that, if your Honor pleases, how do these atrocity stories affect the accused? I ask your

Honors, and I think that such testimony as this should not be permitted until the time that one of these men -- or at least a semblance of a primafacie case is established.

THE PRESIDENT: We are all of the opinion that the link can be established at any stage of the trial. Of course, if it isn't established, why, there is no case in conspiracy. But the order of evidence isn't that you must give evidence of a conspiracy first.

We have already given a decision on this point, if my recollection serves me rightly.

MR. McMANUS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled.

Q What was the conduct of the Japanese soldiers toward the civilians after the Japanese were in control of the city of Nanking?

A The question is so big, I don't know where to begin. I can only say that I, myself, observed a whole series of shootings of individual civilians without any provocation or apparent reason whatsoever; that one Chinese was taken from my own house and killed. From my next door neighbor's house two men, who rose up in anxiety when soldiers seized and raped their wives, were taken, shot at the edge of

the pond by my house, and thrown into it. The bodies of civilians lay on the streets and alleys in the vicinity of my own house for many days after the Japanese entry. The total spread of this killing was so extensive that no one can give a complete picture of it. We can only say that we did our best to find out, in checking up carefully upon the safety zone and adjoining areas.

Professor Smythe and I concluded, as a result of our investigations and observations and checking of burials, that twelve thousand civilians, men, women and children, were killed inside the walls within our own sure knowledge. There were many others killed within the city outside our knowledge whose numbers we have no way of checking, and also there were large numbers killed immediately outside the city, of civilians. This is quite apart from the killing of tens of thousands of men who were Chinese soldiers or had been Chinese soldiers.

Q What were the circumstances under which the former soldiers or alleged soldiers were killed?

A Large parties of Chinese soldiers laid down their arms, surrendered, immediately outside the walls of the city and there, within the first seventytwo hours, were cut down by machine gun fire, mostly

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

upon the bank of the Yangtze River.

We of the International Committee hired laborers to carry out the burials of more than thirty thousand of these soldiers. That was done as a work relief project inspected and directed by us. The number of bodies carried away in the river, and the number of bodies buried in other ways, we cannot count.

Within the safety zone a very serious problem was caused by the fact that the Japanese officers expected to find within the city a very large number of Chinese soldiers. When they did not discover the soldiers, they insisted that they were in hiding within the zone and that we were responsible for concealing them. On that theory, Japanese military officers and non-commissioned officers were sent among the refugees in the safety zone day after day for about three weeks attempting to discover and seize former soldiers. It was their common practice to require all able-bodied men in a certain section of the zone, or in a certain refugee camp, to line up for inspection and then to be seized if they had callouses upon their hands or the marks of wearing a hat showing on the skin of the forehead.

I was present throughout several of these

inspections and watched the whole process. It was undoubtedly true that there were some soldiers — former soldiers among these refugees, men who had thrown away their arms and uniforms and secured civilian clothes. It was also clearly true that the majority of the men so accused or seized — and seized were ordinary carriers and laborers who had plenty of good reasons for callouses on their hands. The men so accused of having been soldiers were seized, taken away, and, in most cases, shot immediately in large groups at the edges of the city.

In some cases a peculiar form of treachery was practiced to persuade men to a dmit that they had been soldiers. Using the proclamation issued by General MATSUI before the Japanese Army took Nanking, and distributed widely by airplane, the proclamation which declared that the Japanese Army had only good will for peaceful citizens of China and would do no harm to those who did not resist the Imperial Army, Japanese officers tried to persuade many Chinese to come forward as voluntary workers for military labor corps. In some cases these Japanese officers urged Chinese men to come forward, saying, "If you have previously been a Chinese soldier, or if you have ever worked as a carrier or laborer in the Chinese

Army, that will all now be forgotten and forgiven if you will join this labor corps." In that way, in one afternoon, two hundred men were secured from the premises of the University of Nanking and were promptly marched away and executed that evening along with other bodies of men secured from other parts of the safety zone.

Q What was the conduct of the Japanese soldiers toward the women in the city of Nanking?

A That was one of the roughest and saddest parts of the whole picture. Again, in the homes of my three nearest neighbors, women were raped, including wives of University teachers. On five different occasions, which I can detail for you if desired, I, myself, came upon soldiers in the act of rape and pulled them a way from the women.

The safety zone case reports, to which we have previously referred, and my own records of what occurred among the thirty thousand refugees on the various grounds and in the building of the University of Nanking, hold a total of many hundreds of cases of rape about which exact details were furnished to the Japanese authorities at the time. One month after the occupation, Mr. Raabe, the Chairman of the International Committee, reported to the German authorities

PURL: https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/a5a0b0/

that he and his colleagues believed that not less than twenty thousand cases of rape had occurred. A little earlier I estimated, very much more cautiously and on the basis of the safety zone reports alone, some eight thousand cases.

Every day and every night there were large numbers of different gangs of soldiers, usually fifteen or twenty in a group, who went about through the city, chiefly in the safety zone because that's where almost all the people were, and went into the houses seeking women. In two cases, which I remember all too clearly because I nearly lost my life in each of them, officers participated in this seizing and raping of women on the University property. The raping was frequent daytime as well as night and occurred along the roadside in many cases.

On the grounds of the Nanking Theological Seminary, under the eyes of one of my own friends, a Chinese woman was raped in rapid succession by seventeen Japanese soldiers. I do not care to repeat the occasional cases of sadistic and abnormal behavior in connection with the raping, but I do want to mention that on the grounds of the University alone a little girl of nine and a grandmother of seventy-six were raped.

Wolf & Spratt

Q What was the conduct of the Japanese soldiers with regard to the personal property of Chinese civilians in the City of Nanking?

A From the very hour of entry, the soldiers took anything, at any time, from any place.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness must not hold back anything because he thinks it is too horrible to tell us.

THE WITNESS: I hardly know how to respond to that invitation; but, unless I am questioned, I believe I will let it go because my own personal knowledge does not include any great number of the sadistic cases.

In the first days of the occupation the soldiers, whom we roughly guessed to be about fifty thousand in number, took a great deal of bedding, cooking utensils and food from the refugees. Practically every building in the city was entered many, many times by these roving gangs of soldiers throughout the first six or seven weeks of the occupation. In some cases the looting was well organized and systematic, using fleets of army trucks under the direction of officers. The vaults in the banks, including the personal safe deposit boxes of German officials and residents, were cut open with acetylene torches. On one occasion I observed a supply column, two-thirds of a mile long, loaded with

2 into

_

high-grade redwood and blackwood furniture.

After some months a few foreign residents were given the opportunity to recover pianos taken from their own houses, and they were led to a place where more than two hundred pianos were in one storage hall.

The foreign embassies were broken into and suffered robbery, including the German Embassy and the personal property of the Ambassador. Practically all commercial property of any noticeable value was taken.

Q What was the conduct of the Japanese soldiers toward the real estate, the buildings in the City of Nanking after they were in complete control of that city?

A On the very night of the entry the Japanese forces placed adequate and effective guards upon the Sun Yat-Sen tomb and upon the government and party buildings. With the exception of one or two minor fires, apparently started by drunken soldiers, there was no burning until the Japanese troops had been in the city five or six days. Beginning, I believe, on the 19th or 20th of December, burning was carried on regularly for six weeks. In some cases the burning followed the lcating of a line of stores, but in most instances we could not see any reason or pattern in it.

Dolpt

At no time was there a general conflagration, but the definite firing of certain groups of buildings each day. Sometimes gasoline was used, but more commonly chemical strips, of which I secured samples.

The other major problem in regard to real property was the seizure of private property in order to supply incoming Japanese residents. I leave aside the taking of buildings for military purposes and offices, and refer only to the fact that during 1938 and part of 1939 any Japanese merchant coming to Nanking would receive a commercial and a residential property taken from the Chinese by the gendarmerie or the special service. I have again and again seen in the streets outside their house a Chinese family put out on twelve hours notice. These included some dozens of my own friends of many years.

Were the buildings of the Russian Embassy burned by Japanese soldiers?

A Yes, they were burned at the beginning of 1938. Also, just to illustrate the range of burning, the Y. M. C. A. building, two important church buildings, the two chief German commercial properties with the Swastika flying upon them, were among those burned.

Q Did you personally make to the Japanese authorities reports as to the conduct of the soldiers

a not

2

,

. 5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

in the City of Nanking?

On four or five occasions I accompanied A Yes. Mr. Raabe and Dr. Smythe in their interviews with the officials in the Japanese Embassy, who were sent there by the Gaimusho in an effort to provide cushions between this little group of foreign residents and the Japanese military. Furthermore, because the University of Nanking was immediately adjoining the Japanese Embassy, and because it was a very large and important test case of American property with the American flag, and this large number of refugees, it was agreed between Mr. Raabe and myself that I should make supplementary reports on behalf of the University. Almost daily for the first three weeks I went to the Embassy with a typed report or letter covering the preceding day, and frequently had also a conversation with the officials regarding it. These officials were Mr. T. FUKUI, who had the rank of consul, a certain Mr. TANAKA, viceconsul, Mr. Toyoyasu FUKUDA. The latter is now secretary to the Premier YOSHIDA. These men were honestly trying to do what little they could in a very bad situation, but they themselves were terrified by the military and they could do nothing except forward these communications through Shanghai to Tokyo.

THE PRESIDENT: We recess now for fifteen minutes.

Here

Greenberg	
&	•
B a r t o	
n	
. •	

- 8

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1112, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

BY MR. SUTTON (Continued):

Q I believe you had not completed your answer to the last question.

A I should like to read a few sentences from these daily typewritten reports given to the officers in the Japanese Embassy. I will do this from my own notes made last month from the carbon copies of the originals. These copies are on file in the American Embassy at Nanking. The originals are in my own baggage which I believe to be on board ship between the United States and China at this time.

MR. MCMANUS: Mr. President, I think the witness is capable of testifying himself without offering any notes, particularly copies. He said he has the originals, are not here, and he is very capable of testifying himself. I don't see why any notes should be offered to the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if the strict rules of evidence applied, he would have to refresh his memory

if he needed to do so, from notes made at the time. But they don't apply, and substantially your position is not affected. There is no reason why, if he needs to refresh his memory, he should not use these copied notes that he made from the original. The objection is overruled.

MR. McMANUS: Mr. President, if the witness uses these notes, may we examine them?

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will allow you to peruse the notes if you have any doubt about them.

THE WITNESS: If the Court pleases, my purpose is only to state with a little more accuracy exactly what I reported to the Japanese officers in the Japanese Embassy.

A (Continuing): In the letter of December 16th I complained of many cases of abduction of women from the University's properties and of the rape of thirty women in one University building the previous night.

In the letter of December 17th, besides detailing the specific cases by rote, the reign of terror and brutality continues in the plain view of your buildings and among your own neighbors.

In the letter of December 18th I reported that on the previous night rape had occurred in six different buildings of the University of Nanking. For three days

. 12

and three nights many of the thousands of women on our property had not been able to sleep and, in the hysterical fear that was developing, violent incidents might occur. I reported the saying common among the Chinese that where the Japanese Army is, no house or person is safe.

In a letter of December 21st, I complained that many hundreds of refugees had been taken away for forced labor. My own house had just been looted for the fourth time by Japanese soldiers and, indeed, every University house was being regularly entered. I also reported that for the second time the American flag had been torn down from the American school and trampled by Japanese soldiers who issued a threat of

I may say in passing, this was not in this particular letter, that the American flag was torn down six times from the University of Nanking and six times we put it up again.

death to anyone who would put up the flag again.

THE PRESIDENT: That is not evidence of any war crime.

A (Continuing): On Christmas Day I reported that in one building of the University about ten cases per day of rape and abduction were continually occurring.

1	On the 27th of December, after a long list
2	of individual cases, I wrote: "Shameful disorder
3	continues and we see no serious efforts to stop it.
4	The soldiers every day injure hundreds of persons most
5	seriously. Does not the Japanese Army care for its
6	reputation."
7	THE PRESIDENT: He is not refreshing his
8	memory from his notes. He is just reading them.
9	THE WITNESS: These are sufficient to show
10	the nature of the reports and the way in which they
11	were clearly or even strongly stated.
12	THE PRESIDENT: This sort of thing is only
13	provoking the defense which I am saving time by
14	anticipating it.
15	Q How long did the conduct on the part of the
16	Japanese soldiers which you have detailed continue
17	following the fall of the City of Nanking on December
18	13th, 1937?
19	A The terror was intense for two and one-half
20	to three weeks. It was serious to a total of six to
21	seven weeks.
22	Q What measures did the Japanese military
23	authorities take to control the troops?
24	A We were assured by the civil officials in
25	the Embassy that on several occasions strong orders

4

5

6

8

Q.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

were sent from Tokyo to restore order in Nanking. We saw no significant results of such orders until the coming of some kind of high military deputation about the fifth or sixth of February. At that time, as slightly indicated in the newspapers and more fully by foreign diplomats and by a Japanese friend who accompanied the deputation, I learned that a high military officer called together a large body of lower officers and non-commissioned officers, telling them very severely that they must better their conduct for the sake of the name of the Army.

Prior to that time we saw and heard of no instance of effective discipline or penalty inflicted upon soldiers who were seen by high officers in the very act of murder and rape. On three or four occasions, Mr. Raabe and other members of the committee were in the presence of high officers when they saw the shooting or bayoneting of a civilian or an act of In each case the soldier was required to give an extra salute to the officer and an oral reprimand was administered but the name of the soldier was not taken nor was there any other indication of discipline. It was impossible for us neutral observers to report the names of individual criminals because there was no name or number worn upon the outside of the uniform

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

~ ^

15

16

17

18

19

20

40

21

22

23

24

25

and, during the first weeks of the occupation, there was not even an indication of the unit to which a soldier belonged.

The several officers in the Embassy declared that one great reason for difficulty was the small number of military police or gendarmes available which, at the moment of occupation, they declared to be seventeen in number. After, three days after the entry, the civil officials secured from high officers of the gendarmerie certain small posters or proclamations to be put up at the entrance to foreign property ordering all soldiers to keep away. Not only did the soldiers daily disregard these proclamations from the gendarmerie headquarters but they also frequently tore them down. I took several of these torn proclamations to the Japanese Embassy for transmission to the gendarmerie. After February sixth or seventh there was a noticeable improvement in the situation and, although many serious cases occurred between then and summer, they were no longer of a mass and wholesale character.

	,	
A b r	1	
a	2	
m	3	
&	4	
M	5	
r s	6	
е	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
•	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	

Q Who was the commanding general of the Japanese forces at the time of the capture of the City of Nanking during the remainder of December, 1937, and January, 1938?

A We were not aware of any one local commander at Nanking, since each detachment or butai seemed to be independent. However, the official proclamations and the general statements of the newspapers, including the Japanese newspapers, indicated that General Iwane MATSUI was in command for the Shanghai-Nanking region.

Q Were the Chinese allowed to conduct private business following the occupation of the City of Nanking by the Japanese soldiers?

A There was no general prohibition of Chinese private business, although as a matter of fact so many of the business men lost their commercial property by looting and burning and lost their store buildings by confiscation for the use of Japanese merchants that there were dealt very heavy blows at the beginning. Then monopolies and exclusive controls were set up which reserved transportation, banking, the wholesale trade in rice, cotton, metals, and building materials for Japanese concerns.

.

MR. McMANUS: Mr. President, may I enter an objection at this time as to the relevancy of this particular type of testimony, and also an objection on the ground that the testimony now is becoming repetitious, and I am requesting the Court to permit me to make that objection.

THE PRESIDENT: I take the evidence to be directed to the provisions of The Hague Convention, requiring belligerents to respect property rights. It rests with the prosecution, of course, to establish the connection between the accused and this conduct which is testified to. I fail to notice any repetitious evidence here. The objections are overruled.

caused by the pressure put upon many Chinese business men to accept Japanese partners. In many cases this was done by the direct instruction of the gendarmerie or the special service. In other cases it was done by the threat that the Chinese business man could not receive permits, or carry on his business freely unless there was a Japanese whose name could be used to secure such permission. Among my friends were many such business men who were required to admit Japanese partners, men

BATES

25

who invested no capital but were given influence of control and a share of the profits in return for that power to get permits from the controlling military authorities. The controls were employed in a way desperately injurious, not only to Chinese business men but also to producers and consumers as well. For example, on behalf of the International Relief Committee, I tried during a period of three months to purchase rice outside of the City of Nanking for the use of that committee. At that time the price of rice within the city was held by the monopoly at 18 to 22 dollars per picul. In producing areas 40 miles west on the Mangaze River the price was held by the monopoly to 8 and 9 dollars per picul. At the same time the monopoly was transferring rice to Shanghai to be sold at 35 dollars per picul and to Chinan in Shangteng to be sold at 45 dollars per picul. Our committee applied to the food control office of the municipal government for permission to make these purchases in the producing area and so save half the cost of rice to be distributed in relief work. We met with the standard reply of those years, "this matter can be done only through the colonel of the special service, and then we tried to approach him through the

Japanese Embassy. The civil authorities approved our efforts and tried to assist this relief enterprise, but they could not persuade the military monopoly to give up its profits even for that purpose. I have given this case merely because it shows from my own experience the typical situation of the working of the controls.

Q Did you have occasion to report these facts to the Japanese authorities?

A I reported them in full in the course of three months of conversations and letters over this effort to secure permits to buy rice outside the city. The other more general facts regarding the monopolies in the list of commodities and enterprises which I previously named were reported in an economic survey that I undertook on behalf of the International Relief Committee. I sent that report to the Japanese Consul General and later published it within the occupied areas.

Q Have you had occasion, Dr. Bates, to make special studies in connection with the opium and narcotic problem in the occupied area?

A Yes. My attention was drawn to the startling developments in the use of opium and Heroin while carrying on relief work in the Summer

similarly

1	
1	and Autumn of 1938. We found that many poor refugees
2	were being approached by peddlers who urged the use
3	of opium, saying, "if you take this your stomach
4	won't hurt you any more." Slightly later but similarl
5	Heroin was peddled with the statement, "if you take
6	a little of this you won't be so tired and you will
7	feel as if you could jump over mountains." In a
8	short time the rapidly expanding trade in narcotics
9	became a public enterprise, set up outwardly by the
10	puppet government. When public stores, that is,
11	government stores, were opened and when advertisements
12	of opium dens began to appear in the one newspaper
13	of Nanking, the official newspaper, I then decided
14	the matter must be investigated.
15	Q Were your investigations made on your own
16	behalf or on behalf of the United States Government?
17	A The United States Government had no connec-
18	tion with them in any way and did not know about them
19	until after the reports were published.
20	7 What was the situation in Nanking with
21	regard to the sale of opium and narcotics prior to
22	the Japanese occupation in December, 1937?
23	A There was no open and notorious sale or
24	use of opium for some ten years before the Incident
25	of 1937. Opium was used in back rooms, chiefly by

1	older men of the gentry and merchant types, but there
2	was no open parading of it before young people, and
3	indeed, in my residence there from 1920 to 1937 I
4	never saw opium or learned to recognize its odor
5	or appearance.
6	THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until
7	half past one.
8	(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was
9	taken.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

AFTER	NOON	SESS	TON

DEPUTY MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

M I N E R S E A R L E B A T E S, called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, I believe that this questioning he is developing on the opium is only -- the evidence that the witness is giving is only cumulative and could be objected to on that ground. And I think that it would be quite possible to eliminate a lot of this matter on the opium situation if, and I feel that the Tribunal could take judicial notice that opium is an old and great evil in China, and that the Chinese people are inclined to fall into the habit of using it more than any other important group.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you do not suggest that we could take judicial notice that the Japanese have greatly increased the sale of opium and have sold opium quite openly? I am not saying that is the fact but it is the evidence.

MR. BROOKS: I believe that the Court could go further and say that the potential demand there is

7

.23

enormous for the sale of opium, and in the past hundreds of years various private and official elements, Chinese and foreign, have at times supplied and developed the narcotic trade. If the Tribunal can take such notice, and since previous witnesses have testified along these lines, I think that any further testimony is objectionable as only being cumulative.

THE PRESIDENT: The evidence only becomes cumulative in that sense when quite a number of witnesses will necessarily testify to the same thing. The objection is overruled.

BY MR. SUTTON:

Q Dr. Bates, you may continue your answer.

A Investigation of the narcotic business was not easy because it was done -- although it was done by open sale yet the information as to their management and finances were kept well behind the scenes, and naturally there were no clear or honest official reports.

In the Autumn of 1938, in November of 1938, i, with the help of several old friends under my direction, visited several of the opium stores and a considerable number of the opium dens. We also secured copies of the regulations which the official monopoly made for the use of dealers under it, and tax slips and tax reports which they made to the monopoly. At that time the regular

system provided for 175 licensed smoking dens and for 30 stores which distributed to and through those dens. : The official sales were set at 6,000 ounces per day, which figure the dealers reported to be exceeded because the demand from the country districts outside Nanking was so great. The sales price was eleven Chinese dollars per ounce which worked out then, in the 6,000 ounces per 7 day, at almost exactly two million dollars per month. A Chinese agent in the Special Service reported 9 to us that the sales of Heroin under the direction of the Special Service reached three million dollars per month at that same period. Although the figures of the narcotic section of the municipal police were much higher. 14 my investigation concluded conservatively that fifty 15 thousand persons were using Heroin, one-eighth of the 16 population at that time. The increase of robbery by 17 hundreds upon hundreds of Heroin addicts became a serious 18 matter for everyone, including the University of Nanking. 19

The officials connected with the opium monopoly 20 attempted to pull the Heroin users to the use of opium 21 by arresting and prosecuting them in the courts.

I transmitted the completed report to the Japan-23 ese Consul General, asking for any comments or corrections in matters of fact; and then some ten days later 25 L Lublished it in Shanghai without any objection or

24

25

protest from the authorities then or at a later time. 1 By the following Autumn the system had become 2 well developed and established. We inquired again. 3 This time we were able to see for a brief time, brief 4 period, the book of the chief inspector of the 175 5 licensed dens, and we were also able to get the statement of the girl who sliced in portions the 3,000 ounces per 7 day sold at that time within the city walls of Nanking. 8 The figures of the consumption and revenue secured in 9 this way agreed very closely with the figures in a report 10 of the Ministry of Finance of the puppet government then 11 called the Reformed Government. The unpublished mimeo-12 graphed financial statement of that government showed in 13 the Auturn of 1939 a monthly income of three million dol-14 lars, made up of a so-called tax of three dollars on each 15 of one million ounces of opium. The financial officers 16 complained continually that there were many sales outside 17 the official system. These one million ounces were used 18 19 in the portions of three provinces which were controlled 20 by the Reformed Government.at that time. 21 In the Summer of '39, 1939, I visited Tokyo and

an the Summer of '39, 1939, I visited Tokyo and was taken by friends to talk with the opium expert of the Gaimusho. This man, Mr. Haga, had just returned from a two months' inspection tour in Central China. He told me that he was greatly distressed at the terrible

addiction that he saw in Hankow and other cities of the Yangtze Valley. When I asked him if there was any hope for improvement, he shook his head sadly and said, "No, the generals told me that so long as the war continues, there is no hope of anything better because no other good source of revenue has been found for the puppet governments."

In the report made to the Japanese officials and subsequently published I wrote, "The revenue of three million dollars from opium is the main support of the Reformed Government and is declared by Japanese and Chinese officials to be indispensable for the maintenance of any government in this area under present supervision and circumstances." The retail price at that time for opium was twenty-two dollars per ounce, which covered eight dollars paid for the basic supply at Dairen, two dollars to other Japanese interests for transportation, the so-called three-dollar tax, and left nine dollars' margin for profit, in which the Special Service and the gendarmerie shared.

The gendarmerie complained of this accusation and tried to get me to withdraw it, and at the same time to give the names of those from whom I got the information. When I replied that I would gladly make and publish any demonstrated correction of fact but could not

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

make any other changes, they dropped the matter.

Over a period of many decades missionaries in China had been active in educational and even when necessary in political work against opium. In the ten years preceding the Japanese War these efforts had become much less necessary and less important. But in the summer of 1940 the great deterioration of the situation caused the editors of the China Christian Yearbook, which is the publication of the National Christian Council of China, to ask me to prepare a report on the narcotic problem in China as a whole. I sent to some forty friends in various parts of China copies of the reports that I prepared in Nanking and a set of questions which I hoped they would attempt to answer by investigations in their own localities regarding narcotics. Despite the censorship and accompanying anxieties more than half of these persons replied with considerable care.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

For example, Professor Sailer, head of the
Sociology Department of Yenshing University, reported
that in Peking there were, in the Spring of 1940,
more than 600 licensed opium shops and that there
were even more people using Heroin than opium.
Bishop Gilman of Hankow found in that city that there
were 340 licensed dens and 120 hotels licensed to
supply opium for a population of only 400,000.

MR. BROOKS: I want to object on the basis that the witness is reading testimony -- been sitting there continuously looking down, reading this testimony. He is not refreshing his mind; he is just reading into the record phrases. We don't know whether it was prepared for him or how he got it. But, if he wants to testify in answer to the question, he should answer the question directly; and, if he has to refresh his memory, he should refresh his memory and then testify.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no objection to him reading from his notes to a limited extent so far as his notes contain statements of fact. This morning he was reading things which were not statements of fact, such things as questions to himself.

The objection is overruled.

A (Continuing) To make the facts clear, I'd

,

1.7

18.

simply like to say that I am not reading from any prepared text, that I have notes of these figures which I will gladly show to the Court in demonstration. If that is objectionable, I, of course, accept the Court's ruling.

Bishop Gilman very strongly emphasized the terrible contrast between the pre-war days of severe suppression of opium sales and consumption with the wide-open, well-advertised, well published trade of 1940. I will not trouble you with similar figures from several provincial capitals and other important cities but will mention simply Canton where in the city proper, which at that time had only 500,000 people, there were 852 registered dens beside some 300, unregistered as found by Dr. Thompson, Superintendent of the Canton Hospital.

The situation throughout the occupied areas was one of open sale of opium in government shops or licensed shops and the aggressive peddling of Heroin. In some cases there was attractive advertising of opium. In some cases Japanese soldiers used opium as payment for prostitutes and for labor engaged on military supply dumps. The general testimony of dealers and of officials was that the opium came almost entirely from Dairen although in the year 1939

1	
tł	here were some large shipments brought from Iran.
	Heroin dealers reported that their supplies
Ca	ame largely from Tientsin and secondarily from
Da	airen. Throughout the occupied areas there was no
re	eal effort at suppression. The only apparent re-
ទាំ	riction or control was the effort to force irregu-
18	ar buying into the channels that would produce
re	evenue.
	This 1940 general report was published in
tł	ne China Christian Yearbook, 1938-39. It was also
	printed in the monthly magazine, the Chinese
	corder, published in Shanghai.
	Q When did you leave China following the
J(cupation of Nanking by the Japanese troops?
	A I left in May, 1941.
	Q And when did you return to China?
	A I returned to Nanking in October, 1945,
ıí	ter going earlier to Chengte in West China where
tŀ	e University of Nanking was carrying on.
	MR. SUTTON: The defense may cross-examine
th	e witness.
	CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY	MR. LOGAN:
	Q Mr. Bates, you testified this morning in
	hetonee that you submitted manages and complaints

1	
2	

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to three officers of the Japanese Consul at Nanking, but they were terrified, and they were not able to do anything about them except to send them to Tokyo. Now, will you state, in answer to this question, yes or no, if possible: Do you know of your own knowledge that they were sent to Tokyo by the Japanese Consulate's office?

A Yes.

Q Who in the Japanese Consulate's office in Nanking sent these messages?

A I do not know which one of these three men I named took the actual responsibility for sending messages. Mr. FUKUI was the Consul in charge.

Q Did you see the messages?

A I did not see the messages. If you want to know my reasons --

Q No. I don't.

A All right,

MR. SUTTON: If it please the Court, I respectfully submit that the witness has a right to complete his answer. And the fact that counsel for the defense does not wish to hear it does not deny that right.

MR. LOGAN: I am sure, if the Bribunal please, if anything is left unanswered, it can be

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

`16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

drawn out in redirect by the prosecution if they see fit.

THE PRESIDENT: We agree with you, Mr. Logan. Proceed.

Q So, Mr. Bates, not having seen the messages, I assume you do not know of your own knowledge to whom they were sent in Tokyo, is that right?

A I have seen telegrams sent by Mr. Grew, the Ambassador in Tokyo, to the American Embassy in Nanking, which referred to these reports in great detail and referred to conversations in which they had been discussed between Mr. Grew and officials of the Gaimusho, including Mr. HIROTA.

MR. LOGAN: I ask that the answer be stricken and that the reporter be directed to read my question.

THE WITNESS: I should be glad to give you some more evidence from Japanese sources on that.

MR. LOGAN: If your Honor please, I ask this witness be directed not to give -- to volunteer statements.

THE PRESIDENT: His answer will stand. He must, of course, confine his answer to the question. But he may add any explanation.

MR. LOGAN: I ask that the previous question

1.	be answered. I don't think he has answered it, your
2	Honor. He has given another explanation to it.
3	THE PRESIDENT: We will get it to save time.
4	(Whereupon, the last question was
5	read by the official court reporter as
6	follows:)
7	"Q So, Mr. Bates, not having seen the mes-
8	sages, I assume you do not know of your own knowl-
9	edge to whom they were sent in Tokyo, is that right?"
10	A I know they were sent to the Gaimusho in
11	Tokyo; I do not know to what individual other than
12	the statement I gave you from Mr. Grew. I have other
13	evidence that they were sent to the Gaimusho.
14	Q Let me see if I understand you, Mr. Bates.
15	Not having seen these messages, the testimony that
16	you have with regard to them is hearsay, comes from
17	somebody else, isn't that so?
18	A Yes.
19	MR. LOGAN: That is all.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
21	BY CAPTAIN KLEIMAN:
22	Q Doctor, on these reports that you say you
23	eaw, wherein you refer to Mr. Grew, was the Privy
24	Council ever mentioned?
25	A The messages I saw, which were strictly

1	limited to the question of what was happening in
2	Nanking in January and February of 1938, referred to
3	the conversations of Mr. Grew with Mr. HIROTA and, I
4	believe, Mr. YOSHIZAWA at the Gaimusho. I do not
5	recall that other persons were mentioned.
6	Q Doctor, did you hear my question?
7	A I thought so.
8	THE PRESIDENT: How would he know whether
9	the Privy Council was consulted?
10	Q How long have you been in China, Doctor?
11	A How long have I been in China? With the
12	exception of furloughs normal furloughs in the
13	United States;, I was there from 1920 to 1941 and
14	from 1945 until a few weeks ago.
15	Q And at the University in China, did you
16	teach history?
17	A I did.
18	Q And are you acquainted with political divi-
19	sions of Japanese Government, Doctor?
20	THE PRESIDENT: You must confine your
21	cross-examination to matters arising in chief. The
22	mere statement that he was a historian doesn't intro-
23	duce any matter of the kind to which you refer.
24	CAPTAIN KLEIMAN: If it please the Tribunal,
25	I am asking preliminary questions to show the unwill-

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ingness of this witness to present the entire truth. We want all those facts out. Whether it hurts or not, we want all the facts out.

THE PRESIDENT: Do not argue with me that way. I said that he has not testified really as to any Japanese political divisions. He is a professor of history. I called him a historian. Perhaps that's a wrong term. But the questions you ask don't arise out of the examination in chief.

if it's possible to take up that question again with respect to confining ourselves to testimony in chief. This witness, I understand, has been here for a time awaiting time to testify. Now, he may have some information which might be of aid to the defense. In order for me to secure this witness, I would have to go to China, bring him here at great expense, and then --

. THE PRESIDENT: You interpret that. Translate that, please.

I told you what the Tribunal's decision was, and I showed how you are infringing it, and you have not shown that you did not infringe it in your question.

You must accept the Tribunal's decision.

We are not going to alter that to meet this particular case.

Tribunal's decision. The only request I made was, your Honor, so that we can save time when the defense is to put in its testimony. Two questions now may lead to two answers which may save us two or three

THE PRESIDENT: I have told you what the

days when the defense puts in its testimony.

decision is. I have told you that it excludes

those questions, and why. You have given me no

 answer that suggested the Tribunal is wrong in excluding the questions. You must obey the Tribunal's

4 decision.

cision that we may not ask questions, aside from what was brought out by examination in chief, for the purpose of attacking credibility of the witness? I'm not saying that I attempted to do that with this witness; I just want to know the ruling so that we can abide by that ruling in the future, may it please the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: You appear to be the only counsel who misunderstands the Tribunal's decision.

That decision does prevent you from examining outside

test						g The	• T/T /	Tim	ann	ry, y	7011 3 0	Hone	7 Ý	
mt. e														
This	•													
that									-			•		
culty	7 in	ı un	der	st	and	ing	the	rul	ing.	I t	n soi	ry,	si	r.
						· .								
				•			÷							
	r										-		٠	
			,						•					
. •														
٠														
					-			•						
		·				•					•			
			•											
									*					

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

	THE	E PRI	ESIDENT	: It so	haj	ppens	that it	is	the
practice	of	the	United	States	and	the	practice	of	its
highest	cour	rts.					•		

CAPTAIN KLEIMAN: If it please the Tribunal, we are, in the States, permitted to ask a witness questions not brought out on direct examination, but we are bound by his answers; he becomes our witness. We cannot impeach him. This is what I wanted to do with this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: There is a limit to this Tribunal's patience, Captain Kleiman.

CAPTAIN KLEIMAN: All right. No further questions.

MR. SAMMONJI: I am Shohei SAMMONJI, counsel for the defendant, KOISO. With your kind permission, I wish to put a few questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

18 BY MR. SAMMONJI:

Q The witness seems to be greatly familiar with the economic situation in China. Do you know the -- how prices were during the period 1930 to 1939?

A I am sorry I didn't get that word. Do I know what?

Q This is my question: Which was higher, prices in Nanking before December, 1937, or prices in Nanking

1	after 1937, during the years 1938 and 1939?
2	A I would not know how to answer that. I do
3	not claim to be an economic expert. I reported the
4	conditions which I found affecting the life of the
5	people, as I was doing the work of the International
6	Relief Committee in Nanking from 1937 to '41.
7	Q If you have been investigating the standard
8	of living living conditions of the general public
9	I should think you ought to have a great deal of in-
10	terest in the question of prices.
11	A What is the question?
12	THE PRESIDENT: There is no question; there is
13	a statement.
14	Q You seem to be an expert on opium. Have you
15	ever investigated the areas where opium is produced
16	in the world?
17	A I am sorry I haven't had such wide privileges
18	of travel. I have done some general reading on the
19	subject.
20	THE PRESIDENT: That question is beyond the
21	scope of the examination in chief.
22	Q Have you ever investigated which was greater:
23	the consumption of opium and Herain before December,
24	1937, and that in Nanking, and after December, 1937?
25	A Before 1937 there was no consumption that

1	could readily be measured or investigated. There was
2	very little, and as I said, confined to back rooms
3	without open, public sale.
4	Q Then, have you ever investigated the complete
5	the total of opium and Heroin smoked by Chinese in
6	all parts of China before for the whole of China
7	before December, 1937, and the prices thereof?
8	A No, I have not; because there was no local
9	problem which loomed up as conspicuous; my attention
10	was not brought to opium in any grievous manner until
11	the Spring and Summer of 1938.
12	Q But in your testimony you have stated that
13	opium was imported from Dairen and from Tientsin, and
14	that before December, 1937, opium was secretly smoked;
15	so that you seem to have carried on your investiga-
16	tions quite extensively. Then do you know if you
17	know where this opium came from do you not know
18	also where it was produced?
19	A That question is not clear to me.
20	THE PRESIDENT: He said where it came from.
21	THE WISNESS: Before 1937 or after 1937?
22	Q What I want to ask is that in 1937 and after
23	1937 where did this opium come from, and where was it
24	produced?
25	A As for after 1937. I have already given that

21

22

23

24

25

in direct testimony; that my investigation -- the reports from dealers -- regularly brought the statement that the opium came from Dairen with the exception of fairly important shipments which came in 1939 from Iran. Before 1937 I do not have such detailed knowledge, because I did not go into investigation. I only know what were the general statements at that time -- that there was opium imported from various sources, that there was also opium produced in China, particularly in the far western provinces near the Tibetan frontier. From missionary friends in various parts of East China I learned that areas formerly producing opium had ceased to do so. It should be added that under the Japanese occupation in various provinces, such as northern Anhui, Hodail, and Shanghsi provinces, that opium growing was begun locally once more where it had been stamped out over a period of many years. This locally grown opium was usually sold outside the official system.

Q Are you aware that China is the country where opium -- with the greatest consumption of opium and Heroin in the world?

A I think probably that is true, but I should like to see it specified with dates, and I myself do not have such comparative knowledge.

1	Q Since the Opium War to the present day.
2	A From the Opium War to the present day?
3	Q Yes.
4	A. Yes, I think that is probably true.
5	Q Well, there is much opium as you have
6	said, there is much opium consumed in all China. Do
7	you know there is much opium growing in China, but
8	as to opium that comes from foreign sources, do you
9	know from what country was the greatest source of
10	what country is the greatest source of opium, from
11	what country opium is imported, what country produces
12	opium, and what country imported opium the most?
13	A At what time?
14	Q Since the Opium War to the present date?
15	A That would have to be broken up into several
16	different periods, and would be a subject only for a
17	great expert. I can give general statements, but
18	they are not of specific knowledge.
19	THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen
20	minutes.
21	(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken
22	until 1506, after which the proceedings were re-
23	sumed as follows:)
24	
25	

	ì 2
	-
4.0	
	4.
4.5 L	3
	4
	5
	6
1942	
417.5	
1.0	100
	-
	-7
	7
and the second second second	
5	
	4.45
D	0

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

	MARSHAL (OF THE	COURT:	The 1	Interna	tional
Military	Tribunal	for th	ne Far	East is	now r	esumed.

Mr. Witness, in regard to the last question, I request that you would answer even in general terms,

THE WITNESS: Mr. President, I wonder just where this gets us. I have not testified in regard to conditions in varying countries around the world nor on conditions since the Opium War. I am willing to start on what little I know on this, but I have . in no way posed as an historian of opium on a world-wide scale.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness merely testified as to conditions in and about Nanking. That does not authorize cross-examination as to conditions in other parts of the world relating to opium nor do I think it justifies questions dealing with the opium trade right back to the Opium War.

MR. SAMMONJI: Then I will go into another question.

Mr. Witness, you said earlier that after the ' Japanese Army entered Nanking in 1937 the Japanese sold opium officially. Was not this selling of opium officially to supervise the illicit trade in opium and also to treat opium patients?

THE MONITOR: Correction: Instead of

3

"Japanese sold opium," it should read: "Opium was sold in open market." "Japanese" should be deleted.

A There was no remedial action of any kind in hospitals or treatment of addicts which I ever saw on the part of the public system in Nanking after the entry of the Japanese. Not only in the general situation of the few years before 1937, but in the first few weeks and months after the Japanese came in, there was no apparent trade and no widespread consumption of opium. Then within a period of a few months the large system of public supply and sales, which I described, was built up.

12

14

15

16

9

10

11

Q Mr. Witness, as far as the illicit buying of opium is concerned and also the selling of opium on open market, do you not think that the selling, buying of opium illicitly proves far more fascinating to these opium addicts?

18

19

. 20

17

A I don't know how to answer that question. I think it was very largely a matter of price and that if opium could be secured illicitly below the price of the official system, people, addicts were very glad to get it that way. So far as I can interpret the situation, so far as I saw the situation, the public sale was greater than the illicit sale, but illicit sale never disappeared. The enormous

23

24

22

24

25

1	scale of the official trade as reported did not leave
2	room for a very big illicit trade.
3	Q Do you not know that in all Chinese families
4	above the middle class they have medical dispensary
5	for opium drinking? Do you not know that in all
6	Chinese families above the middle class they have a
7	room which is suitable for drinking opium smoking
8	opium?
9	THE MONITOR: "Provided for smoking opium."
10	A I do not know that. It is quite contrary to
11	my experience and acquaintance of twenty-five years
12	in Nanking.
13	Q Then I shall ask another question. Do you
14	not know that when a person first smokes opium, he
15	does not become an immediate addict and it takes some
16	time before he becomes an addict to the opium, for a
17	time, say, around one year? At first when a person
13	first smokes opium, he encounters physiological dis-
19	comfort.
20	A Well, that is an interesting observation.
21	What is the question?
22	THE PRESIDENT: That type of cross-examination
23	is useless.

MR. BROOKS: No further questioning.

That will do, Professor.

THE PRESIDENT:

 	-
Unless you wish to re-examine, do you, Mr. Sutton?	
MR. SUTTON: No further examination.	
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)	
MR. SUTTON: The prosecution has asked to	
call as its next witness, Mr. Peter J. Lawless.	
MARSHAL OF THE COURT: Mr. President, the	
witness is in court and will now be sworn.	

	PETER J. LAWLESS, called as a witness on
1	behalf of the prosecution, being first duly sworn,
2	testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. SUTTON:
5	Q What is your full name?
6	A Peter J. Lawless.
7	Q And where do you live?
8	A In Peking, China.
9	Q Of what country are you a citizen?
10	A England.
11	Q How long have you lived in China?
12	A Nearly thirty-six years.
13 14	Q Where have you lived in China during that
15	period and what, if any, official positions have you
16	held?
17	A I have been an inspector of police in the
18	Tientsin British Municipal Council since October,
19	1912; and from July, 1938 to the time I was arrested
	at the beginning at the end of 1941, I was Chief of
20	Police and Secretary to the Diplomatic Mission of the
21	Diplomatic Quarter in Peking.
22	Q What was the population of the British
2324	Concession in Tientsin around 1930?
2 4 25	A About forty-five to fifty thousand.
	4

Q Did you have occasion in your official capacit
to make raids on opium dens in the British Concession
at Tientsin?
A Yes.
Q Whom did you arrest on these raids and what
disposition was made of the persons arrested?
A Both Chinese and Koreans and after a rest
they were questioned in the Municipal Police Court
and then handed over to their own nationals which
would be the Consular Police in the Japanese Concession
Q If Chinese nationals were apprehended, by
whom were they tried?
A If Chinese nationals were arrested, they
were sent to their own Court in the Tientsin City.
Q Did you have occasion to arrest Japanese
nationals and what disposition was made of those
cases?
A On very few occasions were Japanese, the
actual Japanese national arrested. If he was, he was
handed over to his Consular Court.
Q What disposition was made of the Koreans
that were arrested?
A They were treated as Japanese subjects and
handed over to the Consular Court as well.
Q Did you ascertain in your official capacity

Convert

[
1	where the opium and narcotics were being secured which
2	you found in these raids in the British Concession?
3	A Yes. After arrest the men were questioned,
4	documentary statements taken, and they always said:
5	"We bought it in the Japanese Concession."
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Japanese engaged who came into the British Concession?

The Koreans as itinerant tradesmen.

Japanese, though, came in as small merchants.

23

24

25

A

M	Q Did you make report to the Japanese officials
r	giving them this information which had come to you
s e	in your official capacity?
&	A Yes. All documentary evidence was sent, a
A	copy being sent to the British Consulate and a copy
b r	being sent at the same time as the prisoner was sent
a m	to the court.
	Q What action, if any, was taken by the Japanese
• •	authorities with regard to the Koreans' and Japanese
1	nationals which you arrested and turned over to them?
1	A Officially we were never informed and on no
1	occasion were we called as witnesses.
1,	Q Did you have occasion to arrest the same
1	violators for the same offense at subsequent times?
1;	A Yes, on various occasions.
1	Q Was there any change in the situation about
1	1935 and, if so, what?
18	A Yes, there was a big change by the influx
19	of Koreans and Japanese coming into the British
20	Concession to live. Before that time there were not
2	too many living in the Concession.
2	Q In what business were these Koreans and

1	Q What was the situation with regard to opium
2	and narcotics following 1935 in the British Concession
3	in Tientsin?
4	A There was a great increase in the trade, and
5	very noticeable because we had to make two and three
6	raids a day. May I correct that last statement? I
7	said by day; it should have been by night.
8	Q How was this opium and narcotics trade con-
9	ducted?
10	A I can hardly follow that question. I don't
11	know what you mean.
12	Q Who were the persons whom your investigation
13	disclosed dealt in opium and narcotics in the British
14	Concession in Tientsin following 1935?
15	A Chinese and Koreans.
16	Q Where did they sell the opium and narcotics
17	and in what manner?
18	A The opium was sold in dens in the different
19	streets, the poorer class of streets. There would be
20	so many pipes in a house and the man who was an
21	addict would go to this house to have his smoke.
22	The narcotics, such as morphia and Heroan, was mostly
23	dealt with on the Bund. May I explain, the Bund means
24	walk where all the shipping of Tientsin comes into.
25	Q In what form were the narcotics distributed

to the customers? 1 Α Taking narcotics to mean morphia and Heroin 2 the Koreans invariably -- the Koreans of the poorer 3 class used to go about among the poorer class of 4 Chinese on the Bund or on the walks and give them 5 an injection from hypodermic needles. 6 7 Were these needles sterilized? A No, on no occasion. 8 Did you arrest the violators from time to 9 time? 10 Α Yes. 11 12 Was the punishment, if any, administered by 13 the Japanese authorities to whom you delivered them, 14 such as to prevent them from returning to the same

16

17

15

business?

18

20

19

21

22

here.

23 24 THE PRESIDENT: Well, I think the question is a bit clumsy. I think he might have asked were they punished, what is the effect of the punishment, but there is nothing in it really. The materiality

like to object to the form of the question. Also on

the ground it is leading, and further on the ground

like to know what this has to do with the war crime

of the materiality of this particular phase. I would

MR. McMANUS: If your Honor please, I would

1	has already been considered. It is alleged that this
2	is a type of warfare, really, or preparation for it
3	by making the Chinese drug addicts. The objection is
4	overruled.
5	A No. They invariably returned. The punish-
6	ment didn't fit the crime, in our experience.
7	Q Did you have occasion to make raids on any
8	narcotic factories in the British Concession?
9	A Yes.
0	Q By whom were they being operated?
1	A Chinese and Koreans.
12	Q What was the production rate of these factories?
13	A Some of the largest factories would turn out
14	as much as fifty or sixty pounds of morphia per week,
15	and perhaps two or three pounds of Heroin.
16	Q Did you ascertain from the operators of these
17	factories where they secured the opium?
18	A Yes, and the answer was invariably from the
19	Japanese Concession.
20	Q Did you report from time to time to the
21	Japanese authorities the situation with regard to
22	their nationals dealing in opium and narcotics in the
23	British Concession?
24	A Yes.
25	Q What response did you get from them?

1	A We were told that the man would be punished
2	and the serious cases would be deported.
3	What type of punishment, if any, was meted out
4	by the Japanese authorities?
5	A That we never knew.
6	Q Did they deport the persons whom you reported
7	to them as being engaged in the opium and narcatic
8	business in the British Concession?
9	A They may have done on some occasions, but
10	others invariably got back into the Concessions and
11	continued their trade.
12	Q When did the Japanese occupy Tientsin?
13	A In 1937.
14	Q What was the situation with regard to opium
15	and narcotics in Tientsin after that city was occupied
16	by the Japanese Army in 1937?
17	A There was a noticeable increase, a great
18	increase, but we police officers had more to do with
19	the protection of the Concession than we did with the
20	actual arresting of narcotic dealers.
21	Q When did you take over the duties of chief
22	of police in the diplomatic quarter in Peiping?
23	A In July, 1938.
24	Q What was the situation with regard to opium
25	and narcotics in Peiping at that time?

1	A From my observations in Peking, other than
2	the diplomatic quarter it was very bad.
3	Q What was the situation thereafter in the
4	diplomatic quarter?
5	A At times it was bad but we were strong
6	enough to hold it down. May I qualify that state-
7	ment by saying what I mean by saying we were strong
8	enough, we didn't let them get too far ahead with
9	their narcotic factories.
10	Q Were attempts made to operate narcotic
11	factories in the diplomatic section in Peiping?
12	A Yes.
13	Q By whom were these factories operated?
14	A Mostly Koreans.
15	Q Did you have occasion to raid these factories
16	from time to time?
17	A Yes.
13	Q What did you do with the persons arrested if
19	they were Koreans?
20	A Handed them over to the consular police in
21	the diplomatic quarter with statements of their crime.
22	Q And what authorities handled the case after
23	you handed them over to the consular police?
24	A The Japanese consular authorities, consular
25	police authorities.

2	
3	
4	
5.	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

13

14

15

16

17

13

1

Q Did you learn the disposition of these cases?

That will take rather a long answer because as Secretary of the Diplomatic Commission and as Chief of Police I had to report to all the embassies and legations what was occurring from a police point of view in the Legation quarter. Also at the meetings of the Diplomatic Commission, which consisted of three embassy officials, one being British, one being American, and one being Japanese, two civilian members -- the committee consisting of five men -- and at these meetings these cases were invariably reported very strongly to the Japanese member who promised that he would inquire into the case and, if possible, report at the next meeting of the Commission. At the next meeting of the Commission, if the case had not been reported back the question was again asked and we never got very satisfactory results from any of the answers to our questions from our Japanese member.

19 20

Q Did you report to the Japanese authorities specific cases that had come to your attention?

21 22

23

A Yes. When we made an arrest, the man or men were handed over to the consular police of the Japanese Embassy and a circular letter from me, as Secretary, to all embassies and legations which

1	would reach the member in the Japanese Embassy in
2	the Commission.
3	THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until
4	half past nine tomorrow morning.
5	(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjourn-
6	ment was taken until Tuesday, 30 July 1946,
7	at 0930.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2 2	
23	
24	
25	. •
Á	