Office of the Staff Judge Advocate

Yokohama, Jupan 20 February 1948

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS TSUTAFU KIMURA

# Review of the Staff Judge Advocate

1. The attached record of trial of Tsutafu Kimura, also known as Tsutau Kimura, at Yokohama, Japan, from 20 to 24 November 1947 by a Military Commission appointed by paragraph 1, Special Orders No. 270, Headquarters Eighth Army, United States Army, dated 18 November 1947, having been referred to the Staff Judge Advocate, this review thereof is submitted to the Commanding General.

# Personal Data Concerning Accused

NAMM: Tsutafu Kimura, aka Tsutau Kimura	DATE OF CONFINEMENT: 22 May
RELIDENCE: 173 Banchi Tokusen, Iizuka shi, Fukuoka Pref.	DATE OF ARRAIGNMENT: 20 Nov
MARITAL STATUS: Married RELATIVES: Wife, three chil-	PLACE OF TRIAL: Yokohama, Japan
dren, mother	- PERIOD OF TRIAL: 20 Mgv 1947 to 24 Mov 1947 DATE OF SETTEME: 24 Fov 1947
employee	SMITTON: CHL for one (1) year and six (6) months
MILITARY CARTER: Japanese Army 1929 to 1931	COMMISSION: NO

and Sentence: Synopsis of Charges, Pleas, Findings, Legal Sufficiency

Charge and Succifications:	Pleas	Findings =	Legally.~ Sustained
Accused, a Japanese civilian employed by the Nittetsu Mining K.K., a Japanese concern employing Allied PW, at the times and places set forth in the specifications hereto attached, did violate the Laws and Customs of War.	.rg	G ·	Yes
Sp 1: On or about September 1944, at or near Fukuoka Branch PW Camp No. 10, Futase, Kyushu, Japan, the accused did willfully and unlawfully mistreat Cpl. Edward H. Howe, an American PW, by beating and otherwise abusing him.	ΝG	C	<b>У</b> ев
Ep. 2: On or about March 1945 at or nea Fukuoka Camp No. 10 accused did willful and unlawfully mistreat Col. Edward H. Howe, American PW, by beating and other wise abusing him.	ly	G :	чеу
Ep 3: In or about 15 May 1945 at or no Fukuóka Camp No. 10 accused did willful and unlawfully mistreat Sgt. Earl V. Gould, American PW, by beating him.	ar NG ly	G	Yes
ember 1945 at of RES Tukuoka Comp No.		<b>G</b>	Yos

10, accused did will rully and unlawfully mistreat Cpl. David R. Spooner, American PV, by beating him.

Sp 5: On or about November 15, 1944 at or near Fukuoka Camp No. 10, accused did willfully and unlawfully mistreat CLM Albert W. Roberts, American PW, by beating him.

y ed TG

Yes

1943 and 21 Sequember 1945 at or near Fukuoka Camp No. 10, accused did will-fully and unlawfully mistreat numerous american Priconers of War by beating and otherwise abusing them.

I'G G, as amended Yes by insertion of words "and Allied" after word "American".

EENTANCE IMPOSED: Confinement at hard labor for one (1) year and six (6) months.

MAXIMUM LEGAL PUBLISHMENT: Death

### 3. Summary of the Evidence:

#### u. For the Prosecution:

on or about Leptember 1944, at or near Fukuoka Branch Prisoner of War Camp Tumber 10, Futase, Kyushu, Japan, mistreated Corporal Edward H. Howe, an american Prisoner of War, by striking him with his fists about fifteen times for moving in ranks when supposed to be standing at attention. The accused then picked up a club and struck the corporal several times, knocking him down and kicking him several times after he fell (R. 5 and Er. 1, p. 2).

poral Edward H. Howe feeling sick was given permission by the guard to leave the mine in which he was working. The accused saw him as he was leaving the mine, ordered him to stand at attention, kicked him in the shins, beat him in the face with his fists and ordered him bolow. When the corporal got below he was given permission by the guard to sit down and rest. While the corporal was resting, the accused saw him, ordered him to his feet, beat him with his fists and ordered him to go to work (Ex. 1, p. 2).

accused beat Sergeant Earl V. Gould, an American Prisoner of War, with a large stick because he would not divulge who had been smoking eigarettes in the coal mine shaft where they were working. The sergeant was not seriously injured (Ex. 2, p. 2; Ex. p. 9. 1).

As to Specification 4: Between 20 May 1343 and 21 September 1945 the accused beat Corporal David R. Specific about the face with his fists. The accused said the corporal was late in getting back from an errand. The corporal suffered no permanent injuries or scars from this treatment (Ex. 4, p. 3).

As to Specification 5: On or about 15 November 1945 Chief Machinist's Mate Albert W. Roberts, an American Prisoner of War, was injured in the leg by an explosion in a leteral tunnel of a coal mine. While being escorted out by comrades, he met the accused who became infuriated when one of the escorts did not want to unwrap the wound and struck the escort twice in the face, then slapped Roberts in the face and kicked him in both legs inflicting great pain especially in the wounded leg. No permanent injuries were suffered (Ex. 5, p. 2).

(p 2, KIMURA, Caso (64)

as to Specification 6: That at divers times between 20 May 1943 and 21 September 1945, at or near Fukuoka Branch Prisoner of War Camp Humber 10. Futase, Hyushu, Japan, the accused abused and mistreated American and Allied Prisoners of War by beating and abusing them (Ex. 1, p. 2; Ex. 3, p. 1; Ex. 4, p. 3; Ex. 5, p. 2 and 3).

#### b. For the Defense:

The accused, Tautau Kimura, disguised himself under the name of Tsutafu Kimura to avoid apprehension (P. 3, 4). He has a wife, three children and a mother dependent on him and is 39 years old (R. 52). He was a civilian at the time he inflicted the various beatings for which he was charged. He, having been apprised of his rights as a witness (R. 2), as provided in Paragraph 5b of Letter Order, AG 000.5 (5 December '45) LS, General Headquarters, Supreme Commander for the Allicd Powers, took the stand and testified under oath in his own behalf (R. 32, 39). He handled the prisoners of war working at the Futase Mining Company, Futase, Kyushu from July 1943 until the termination of the war (R. 33). He had a special rest room built for the prisoners of war which was heated with steam for their comfort. He had a special garden planted to give the prisoners better food. He tried to lighten their work and to see that they were properly treated (R. 34). He put in special signal devices to protect the prisoners of war who could not understand Japanese (R. 35). He was continually looking after the well-being of the prisoners of war, He truck one prisoner of war for smoking in the mine. He did net catch the prisoner of war smoking but other prisoners of war reported this to the accused (R. 37, 38). First he reprimanded the prisoner and when the prisoner took a sneering attitude, he struck him with his open hand. He lid not kick or strike the prisoner with a stick. He had continually warned the prisoner of the danger of smoking in the coal mine which was in a group that contained free methane gis. The other prisoners of war condoned the action he had taken (R. 37).

Yukio Kaijimi of the Fukuoka-Ken Kako-Gun, Konagi-Mura, Nittetsu Futase Nine Company, testified in behalf of the accused. He stated the accused had the duty of getting the prisoners of war at the camp gate to the various foremen in the mines and at the end of the work day getting them back to the camp (R. 9). L. some gas-infested mines there were strict regulations against smoking. He never knew of an instance where the accused struck a prisoner (R. 11).

Seiichiro Yoshitsugu, who from 23 June 1944 to 4
February 1945, was camp commandant of the prisoner of war camp in
Futase, identified the accused (R. 13) and stated he had warned
prisoners about smoking in the mine. He never received reports
of mistreatment of prisonens of war by the accused and in reality
the accused had received special commendations for the kindly way
he handled prisoners (R. 14).

Kiyoshi Eakai, camp commandant of Futaso Prisoner of War Cump from 3 February 1945 to 15 August 1945, stated the accused treated prisoners fairly and sincorply.

Yosniwaka Sussain, ductor for the camp from 1 February 1944 until the termination of the war, testified that the prisoners of war feigned sickness and mental illness (R. 22) to get out of work.

Fusayuki Kunne, project supervison of the Futase Nine, identified the accused as the man he had selected in 1943 for

(9 3, KINURA, Case /26-1)

RESTRICTED

unc position of general supervisor over the prisoners of war, and that particular selection was made because the accused was earnest and impartial (R. 24). Kimishiro Kido, who was labor foreman at the Futase Lines supervising prisoners of war between April 1944 to March 1945, never saw the accused abuse a prisoner of war (R. 29). 4. Opinion: The Commission was constituted by proper authority and had jurisdiction over the accused and of the offenses charged. The question of sanity was not raised, nor is it an issue in this case. The accused was given a fair trial and was ably defended. He cooperated in his own defense, produced several witnesses on his own behalf and took the witness stand in his own defense. All ovidence presented by the prosecution was in the form of statements and affidavits. Lergeant Earl V. Gould who was referred to in Specification 3 was not without blame. By his own statement he admits that he with three other PWs did smoke in the shaft (Ex. 3, p. 2). By such deliberate action he endangered the lives of all the prisoners of war. A reprirand and even punishment properly administered would have been justified, but that did not, under the Treaties Governing Law Warfare, justify the manner in which the accused handled this flagrant violation of the rules. No motion asking the disapproval or modification of findings or sentence was filed by the defense. The accused was not adversely affected by any ruling the The record is logally sufficient to support the findings and the sentence of the Commission. 5. Recommendations: The accused was sentenced to confinement at hard labor for a period of one year and six months. To request for elemency was submitted. The sentence is legal and it is recommended that it be approved. It is further recommended that, owing to the length of time the accused has been in confinement prior to the date of sentence, three (3) menths of the sentence be remitted. Action: A form of action to carry these recommendations into offect is attached. I. O. HAGET Major CmL C Reviewer I concur: The sentence is inadequate. Nevertheless, pre-trial confinement is in no way to be considered as confinement served in expidition for offenses of which an accused is later convicted. Therefore a reviewing authority should consider such restraint entirely separately from that adjudged by a commission. The blackest rogue is outitled to an early trial under the enlightened rules of civilized nations. When trials are postponed, as this one was,

(p 4, KHIURA, Case #264)

because of the great number of those awaiting justice, fair allowances should be made for undue pre-trial confinement regardless of the inadequacy of a sentence. This is all the more true since commissions are instructed that pre-trial confinement is not to be considered in adjudging sentences. Specifications 1 to 5 inclusive are necessarily duplicated in Specification 6. In view of the low sentence no harm to the accused resulted. The addition in the finding under Specification 6 of the words "and allied" actually benefited the accused because it insulated him against future trials for mistreating the persons referred to.

ALLAN R. BROWNE Lt Colonel JACD Army Judge Advocate

