





International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

Original: English

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Lloyd G. Williams, Q.C., Presiding

Judge Andrésia Vaz

Judge Sergey A. Egorov

Registrar:

Adama Dieng

Date:

18 August 2003



THE PROSECUTOR

v.

EMMANUEL RUKUNDO

Case No. ICTR-2001-70-I

DECISION ON DEFENCE MOTION TO FIX A DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE TRIAL OF FATHER EMMANUEL RUKUNDO OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO REQUEST HIS PROVISIONAL RELEASE

Rule 65, Rule 65 bis and Rule 73 bis of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence

Counsel for the Defence:

Philippe Moriceau Wenceslas Habiyaremye Office of the Prosecutor:

Sylvana Arbia Gregory Townsend Faria Rekkas

THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA (the "Tribunal"),

SITTING in the person of Judge Lloyd G. Williams, Q.C., presiding, designated by Trial Chamber III ("Chamber"), pursuant to Rule 73(A) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal ("Rules") for the purposes of the present motion;

BEING SEISED of the Defence "Motion to Fix a Date for the Commencement of the Trial of Father Emmanuel Rukundo or, in the Alternative, to Request his Provisional Release" filed on 21 May 2003;

CONSIDERING the "Prosecutor's Response to the Defence Motion for Provisional Release (Bail) or to Fix a Date for a Pre-Trial Conference" filed on 27 May 2003 and the Defence Reply thereto filed on 3 July 2003;

NOTING the "Registrar's Submission under Rule 33 (B) of the Rules on Defence Counsel's Motion for Provisional Release of Father Emmanuel Rukundo," filed on 18 July 2003 and the Defence response thereto filed on 28 July 2003;

CONSIDERING the Statute of the Tribunal ("Statute") and the Rules, particularly Rule 65 as it was amended at the thirteenth plenary session of 26 and 27 May 2003;

NOW DECIDES the matter, pursuant to Rule 73(A) of the Rules, solely on the basis of the written briefs filed by the parties.

Submissions of the Parties

Defence

- 1. The Defence prays for the Tribunal to set a date for the pre-trial conference as per Rule 73bis of the Rules, in order to ensure that the Accused is "to be tried fairly and without undue delay in accordance with the provisions of Article 20(4)(c) of the Statute."
- 2. The Defence asserts that the right of the accused to a fair and expeditious trial is a "genuine right whose violation may have repercussions, in particular, on the provisional release of the Accused, or may constitute arbitrary detention."
- 3. In the alternative, the Defence moves for the provisional release of the Accused in accordance with Rule 65 of the Rules.
- 4. The Defence argues that "failure to try [the Accused] without delay constitutes an exceptional circumstance within the meaning of Rule 65." The Accused has been in detention since 12 July 2001, and the Defence asserts that the need to conduct investigations can no longer justify the delay, given that the Prosecutor has had since 1994 to investigate and "no additional evidence has been produced in the last year."
- 5. The Defence also argues that the Accused's "rights were violated in that Prosecution motions, briefs and annexes were not translated into French" and because some decisions had been rendered before the Accused "had the opportunity to read the French translations of the



Prosecution's written briefs and, for that matter, the material time and opportunity to respond."

- 6. The Defence further submits that "there is a serious doubt about the person being prosecuted and the likelihood that Father Emmanuel Rukundo is responsible for the crimes with which he is charged."
- 7. The Defence thus argues that the above considerations "justify exceptional circumstances and warrant application of Rule 65 in the instant case."
- 8. In order to provide the guarantees required by the Tribunal for provisional release of an accused, the Defence presents the Accused's "status and the guarantees he can offer as a person and as a priest." Moreover, the Defence suggests that the Accused could return to his diocese in Switzerland and "avail himself of all the guarantees of representation when the time comes, either at the initiative of the Swiss authorities or the Church authorities."
- 9. The Defence reminds the Chamber that "aware of the crimes with which he was charged, Father Emmanuel Rukundo never sought to flee or escape from justice... he continued his ministry in the diocese in utmost serenity." The Defence explains that the Accused "does not in any way intend to escape from the judges...he wants his innocence to be acknowledged and confirmed."

Prosecution

- 10. The Prosecutor argues that "while the right of the accused to be tried without undue delay pursuant to Article 20(4)(c) of the Statute must be respected, the interests of justice and judicial administration require that the proper procedure must be adhered to." Accordingly, the Prosecutor submits that the Chamber should set a status conference pursuant to Rule 65bis, before setting down a date for a pre-trial conference.
- 11. The Prosecutor explains that "in almost all the cases before the Trial Chambers of the Tribunal, Status Conferences have been held to determine the issues between the parties in preparation for the trial, prior to the setting down of trial dates." Thus the Prosecutor asserts that the Defence is "putting the cart before the horse" in "seeking a pre-trial conference without adequately exhausting the avenues provided in the Rules through the status conference."
- 12. With respect to the application for provisional release, the Prosecutor recalls that the Accused has the burden of establishing the four criteria for provisional release. The Prosecutor further "contends that the Trial Chamber has to take due cognizance of the general complexity of all proceedings, including the investigations in terms of law and of the facts in light of the gravity of the offences the accused in charged with."
- 13. The Prosecutor submits that neither the length of detention, nor the delay of trial, nor the alleged inherent problems in the Prosecution's case qualify as exceptional circumstances,

¹ The Accused has the burden of a) demonstrating exceptional circumstances, b) satisfying the Chamber that the accused will appear for trial, c) satisfying the Chamber that, if released, the accused will not pose a danger to any victim, witness or other person, and d) ensuring that the host country and the country to which the accused seeks to be released have the opportunity to be heard.



and that the failure to prove exceptional circumstances should lead to the dismissal of this motion.

14. The Prosecutor thus prays that the Defence Motion be dismissed.

Registrar

- 15. The Registrar submits that the Defence motion does not meet the requirements for provisional release. "Not only does the Defence have to provide the Chamber with the name of the country willing to receive the accused person should he be granted provisional release, but also he has to establish before the Chamber that the said country has undertaken to transport the Accused to and from the Seat of the Tribunal in Arusha, as required by the rules."
- 16. In addition, the Registrar notes "apart from one document, all the documents referred to by Defence Counsel have been translated and served on the Accused and Counsel," so the call for provisional release based on the Accused's rights being violated by lack of translation is inadequate.

Defence Reply to Registrar's Submissions

17. The Defence responds to the Registrar's submissions regarding translation and provisional release. On the first point, the Defence agrees with the Registrar's report and underlines that what is stated in his motion was true at the time the motion was filed. The Defence justifies this motion by the fact that the Chamber has to protect the rights of the Defence, especially on appeal procedures, violated by the non-availability of French versions of decisions, briefs, etc. On the second point, the Defence criticizes the Registrar for arguing like a party to the Trial. The Defence states that he can provide the Chamber with any further information that may be requested, regarding the guarantees that the Accused will appear at trial.

Deliberations

- 18. The Chamber reminds the parties that the Chamber will set a date for commencement of trial in due time. The motion is unnecessary. This is a matter which should be settled by correspondence. The motion to fix a date for trial is therefore dismissed.
- 19. The Chamber now considers the request for provisional release of the Accused, pursuant to Rule 65. The Chamber recalls that Rule 65 was amended at the thirteenth plenary session on 27 May 2003. The revised version does not contain an exceptional circumstances requirement, and reads:
 - (B) Provisional release may be ordered by a Trial Chamber only after giving the host country and the country to which the accused seeks to be released the opportunity to be heard, and only if it is satisfied that the accused will appear for trial and, if released, will not pose a danger to any victim, witness or other person.
- 20. In accordance with Rule 6(C), the amended version of Rule 65 shall apply immediately, without prejudice to the Accused. As a result, the Chamber will not address the exceptional circumstances arguments advanced by the parties.

- C

- 21. The Chamber notes that while the elimination of the exceptional circumstances requirement somewhat alleviates the task of the Accused, applicants must still satisfy certain criteria. In particular, it remains incumbent upon the Accused to demonstrate that he will return for trial and will not pose any danger to victims, witnesses or others.
- 22. The Defence has informed the Chamber that the Accused wishes to return to his diocese in Switzerland, but expression of the mere wish to reside in a given country is not sufficient to meet the requirements for provisional release. The Defence must provide at least prima facie evidence that the country in question agrees or would agree to accept the Accused on its territory, and that the country will guarantee the Accused's return to the Tribunal at such times as the Chamber may order. The Defence submission, in its reply to the Registrar, that it can provide guarantees that the Accused will appear for trial if requested to do so, is not sufficient. The Defence is obliged to provide such guarantees upon application for provisional release, not at a later date. The information provided in the Defence motion has thus not satisfied the Chamber that the Accused will appear for trial.
- 23. Failure to satisfy a single prong of the Rule 65(B) is fatal to an application for provisional release. Consequently, the Chamber need not consider whether the Accused demonstrated that he would not pose a risk to victims, witnesses or other persons.

ACCORDINGLY, THE TRIBUNAL

DENIES the Defence Motion to Fix a Date for the Commencement of the Trial of the Accused.

DENIES the Defence Motion for Provisional Release.

Arusha, 18 August 2003

Lloyd G. Williams, Q.C., Presiding Designated Judge

(Seal of the Tribunal)



TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR OFFICIAL FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH CMS

(Art. 27 of the Directive for the Registry, Court Management Section, International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda)

То:	· —	Chamber II						
From:	Chamber Defence Prosecutor's Office Other:							
	(name) (name)	(name) (name)						
Case Name:	The Prosecutor vs. Emmanuel Ruku	Number: ICTR - 2001 - 70 - I						
Date:	Transmitted: 18.8.2003 Docume	ent's date: 18.8.2003 No. of Pages: 5						
Original / Transl.								
Doc. Title	Decision on Defence Motion to f	ix a Date for the Communicement of to or in the alternative, to Request his						
Strictly	Classification Level: ☐ Strictly Confidential / Under Seal ☐ Confidential ☐ Confidential							
TRANSLATION STATUS ON DATE OF FILING OF ORIGINAL (completed by Chamber / Filing Party)								
No action	required by CMS regarding translations:	Please take action with regard to translation:						
	Party/Chamber hereby submits BOTH the and the translation to CMS for filing	Party/Chambers hereby submits the original, and will NOT oversee translation.						
☐ Filing F	Party/Chamber will be submitting translation to	ignore the boxes below.						
	ue course, details are provided below. Fill in	Reference material is provided it anney to						
CMS in du	•	Reference material is provided in annex to facilitate translation						
CMS in du	ue course, details are provided below. Fill in es below.	facilitate translation						
CMS in du	ue course, details are provided below. Fill in es below.	facilitate translation Defence is overseeing translation						
CMS in du	ue course, details are provided below. Fill in es below.	facilitate translation						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta	per course, details are provided below. Fill in the below. Deer region translation. (copy of translation requisition tached for reference)	Defence is overseeing translation						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of c	per below. Deer being translation. (copy of translation requisition teched for reference) contact person:	Defence is overseeing translation: Name of contact person: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation service Translation fees will be submitted to DCMS):						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of c	per below. Deer being translation. (copy of translation requisition ached for reference) contact person: ment is submitted for translation in:	Defence is overseeing translation:						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of co The docur Arusha	the course, details are provided below. Fill in the below. Deer the seeing translation. (copy of translation requisition inched for reference) contact person: The ment is submitted for translation in: The Hague	Defence is overseeing translation: Name of contact person: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: Name of contact person: The following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: Name of contact person: The following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Name of service: Address: Email / tel / fax:						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of c The docur Arusha Target La English French	per below. Deer being translation. (copy of translation requisition ached for reference) contact person: ment is submitted for translation in: a	Defence is overseeing translation:						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of co The docur Arusha Target La English	per below. Deer being translation. (copy of translation requisition ached for reference) contact person: ment is submitted for translation in: a	Defence is overseeing translation: Name of contact person: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: The following accredited translation service: The following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: The following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Name of service: Address:						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of c The docur Arusha Target La English French	per below. Deer reing translation. (copy of translation requisition ached for reference) contact person: ment is submitted for translation in: a	Defence is overseeing translation						
CMS in do the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of co The docur Arusha Target La English French Kinyan	per below. Deer reing translation. (copy of translation requisition ached for reference) contact person: ment is submitted for translation in: a	Defence is overseeing translation: Name of contact person: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: Translation received by CMS on: Translation received by CMS on: Defence is overseeing translation: Defence is overseeing translation: Translation received by CMS on: Defence is overseeing translation: Defence is overseeing tran						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of c The docur Arusha Target La English French	per below. Deer reing translation. (copy of translation requisition ached for reference) contact person: ment is submitted for translation in: a	Defence is overseeing translation						
CMS in du the boxe Chamb OTP is over-se slip is atta Name of co The docur Arusha Target La English French Kinyan	per below. Deer reing translation. (copy of translation requisition ached for reference) contact person: ment is submitted for translation in: a	Defence is overseeing translation: Name of contact person: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation service: Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: The document is submitted to the following accredited translation fees will be submitted to DCMS): Defence is overseeing translation: Translation received by CMS on: Translation received by CMS on: Defence is overseeing translation: Defence is overseeing translation: Translation received by CMS on: Defence is overseeing translation: Defence is overseeing tran						



International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

Arusha International Conference Centre
P.O.Box 6016, Arusha, Tanzania - B.P. 6016, Arusha, Tanzanie
Tel: 255 57 504207-11 504367-72 or 1 212 963 2850 Fax: 255 57 504000/504373 or 1 212 963 2848/49

PROOF OF SERVICE – ARUSHA PREUVE DE NOTIFICATION – ARUSHA

Date: 18 August, 2003		Case Name / affaire:		The Prosecutor v.		- EMMANUEL RUKUNDO			
		Case No / no.	de l'affaire:	ICTR-2001-7	0-I				
To:	TC1		received by / r	eçu par:	ALO:	received by	reçu par		
A:	Judge J. R. R	gorov)' \(\)					
	Judge S. A. E E. Nahamya,								
	TC2 Judge W. H. S Judge A. Z. G Judge A. Ram	unawardana	18 (A. 18/8)	18/13					
	A. Lorey, Co-	ordinator	nator			•••••			
X ₀₀₀	TC3 Judge L.G. W Judge A. Vaz Judge S. A.E R. Adjovi, Co	, Vice Presiden gorov	10 12 18 18 18	2-03 8-03 8-3		Tolor -	17-5-6-7		
	OTP / BUREAU Trial Attorney DEFENSE:	DU PROCURE in charge of cas	^	NA ARBIA	red }		Jan 17 Janul 08/03		
	Accused / Accu Lead Counsel /			PICEAU /		complet	e / remplir " CMS4 FORM"		
						nature) by fax complete / remplir "CMS3bis FORM"			
	Co-Counsel / Counsel / Cou	•		(s	ignature)	☐ by fax complete	e I remplir "CMS3bis FORM		
All	Decisions:	□ Appeals	Chamber Unit, 7	he Hague	☐ Su	zanne Chenaı	ult, Jurist Linguist		
All	Decisions & Im	portant Public	Documents:	☐ Press	& Public	Affairs	☐ Legal Library		
De:	JP. Fomété (Chief, Cl	vfS) □ N. Diallo		ouambo (TC 2)	⊠ F. A. Ja	ilon (TG-3)	F. A. Talon (Appeals)		
Cc:	A. Dieng (1) 103	A. Miller, OLA,	NY B	G. Munlo	☐ M. Nian	g d () = 5 9	S. Van Driessche		
	wvss- D	WVSS-P	E. O'Donnell	☐ DCMS	·	☐ P. Nyambe GLSS (P. Enow		
Subject Objet: Kir	dly find attached	the following do	cument(s) / Veuil	lez trouver en a	nnexe le(s) document(s) suivant(s):		

Documents name / titre du document

OR,

Pages 5

Date Filed / Date enregistré

18/08/2003

DECISION ON DEFENCE MOTION TO FIX A DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE TRIAL OF FATHER EMMANUEL RUKUNDO OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO REQUEST HIS PROVISIONAL RELEASE RULE 65, BIS AND RULE 73 BIS OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND EVIDENCE



International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

Arusha International Conference Centre P.O.Box 6016, Arusha, Tanzania - B.P. 6016, Arusha, Tanzanie

Tel: 255 57 504207-11 504367-72 or 1 212 963 2850 Fax: 255 57 504000/504373 or 1 212 963 2848/49

PROOF OF SERVICE TO DETAINEES PREUVE DE NOTIFICATION D'ACTES AUX DETENUS

Upon signature of the detainee, please return this sheet to the originator as proof of service. Formulaire à être renvoyé à l'expéditeur dûment signé par le détenu.

Date:	18 August, 2003	Case Name	/ affaire:	The Prosecu	tor v.	EMMAN	IUEL RUKUNDO
		Case No / a	ffaire no:	ICTR-2001-70)-I Z E	104	
To: <i>A:</i>	Name of detainee / non	TO BE FILLED IN BY THE DETAINEE A COMPLETER PAR LE DETENU					
	RUKUNDO		document Je confirm du/des doc	eception of the s) listed below. e réception cuments (s) ci-dessous.	Sign Buy	nature om d	Date, Time / Heure 20/0(1203) A // Shoo
Via:	Security Officer Commanding Officer	, UNDF	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	pame / nom	Sign	nature	Date , Time / Heure
From: De:	☐ JP. Fomété (Chief, CMS) ☐ F. A. Talon (Appeals)	S) N. Diallo (TC1) R. Kouambo (TC2) F. A. Talon (TC3)					
Subject Objet:	Kindly find attached the	following doc	cuments / V		n annexe	e les doc	uments suivants.

18/08/2003

DECISION ON DEFENCE MOTION TO FIX A DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE TRIAL OF FATHER EMMANUEL RUKUNDO OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO REQUEST HIS PROVISIONAL **RELEASE**

RULE 65, BIS AND RULE 73 BIS OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND **EVIDENCE**



TX/RX NO PGS.

0740 5

TX/RX INCOMPLETE TRANSACTION OK

ERROR INFORMATION

(2) *72* #9031705125358

1CTR-2001-70-I 18-8-2003 (1734-1730)

1734 #m



International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

Original: English

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Lloyd G. Williams, Q.C., Presiding

Judge Andrésia Vaz

Judge Sergey A. Egorov

Registrar:

Adama Dieng

Date:

18 August 2003

1003 AUG 18 P U: 14

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

EMMANUEL RUKUNDO

Case No. ICTR-2001-70-I

DECISION ON DEFENCE MOTION TO FIX A DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE TRIAL OF FATHER EMMANUEL RUKUNDO OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO REQUEST HIS PROVISIONAL RELEASE

Rule 65, Rule 65 bis and Rule 73 bis of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

0729

CONNECTION TEL

#9033559224101

SUBADDRESS

CONNECTION ID ST. TIME

18/08 17:45

USAGE T PGS. SENT 03'27 5

RESULT

OK

1CTR-2001-70-I 18-8-2003 (1734-1730)

1734 #M



International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

Original. English

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Lloyd G. Williams, Q.C., Presiding

Judge Andrésia Vaz

Judge Sergey A. Egorov

Registrar:

Adama Dieng

Date:

18 August 2003

THE THE STATES

THE PROSECUTOR

ν.

EMMANUEL RUKUNDO

Case No. ICTR-2001-70-I

DECISION ON DEFENCE MOTION TO FIX A DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE TRIAL OF FATHER EMMANUEL RUKUNDO OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO REQUEST HIS PROVISIONAL RELEASE

Rule 65. Rule 65 his and Rule 73 bis of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence