INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

(none)

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Pros.	Def.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
622		Telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo to the State Secretary dated 2 August 1940		695 <b>5</b>
623		Memorandum by the Under Secretary of State (Welles of the U. S. of America dated 20 Sept 1940. Appea in Vol. I of "Papers Re- lating to Foreign Relation of the U. S. of America": Japan 1931-1941 (in Two Volumes) Vol. I (pp. 877- 881)	rs	6957
624		Memorandum by the Ambassador in Japan (Grew) dated Toky 20 Sept 1940		6964
625		Report of a Telephone Con- versation Between the Chai man of the French Delegati to the Armistice Commission which took place on 20 Sep 1940	on on	6969

## INDEX

Of

## EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

		(00,10 0)		
Pros.	Def. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
626		Entry of 9 Sept 1940 from M rauis KIDO's Diary		6971
627		Entry of 14 Sept 1940 from	n	6972
628		Statement of Japanese Fore Policy dated 28 Sept 194	ign O	6975
629		Memorandum by Weizsaecker dated Berlin 23 Jan 1941		6981
630		Telegram from Vichy Government to the Secy of State at Washington dated 28 Ja 1941 signed "Leahy"	-	6982
631		Two telegrams: first one is dated 9 Feb 1941 and sign by the German Ambassador in Tokyo; the second is d 21 Feb 1941	ned	6988
632		Extract from the Record concerning the Discussion of the German Foreign Minister with Ambassador OSHIMA in Fischl on 23 Feb 1941	6991	0,00
632-A		Excerpt from the above		6992
633		Letters (2) dated 11 March 1941 exchanged between Foreign Minister MATSUOKA and Ambassador Henri		
				6993

INDEX

Of

## EXHIBITS

## (cont'd)

Fros.	Description For Ident.	In Evidence
634	Nemorandum dated 10 May 1941 from the Chief of Staff of the French Indo- China Expeditionary Force to the Vice-Minister of War KINURA	7000
635	Telegram dated 21 June 1941 from the German Ambassador in Tokyo to the German Foreign Minister Concerning Conversation the German Ambassador had with MATSUOKA	7008
636	Telegram from the German Am- bassador in Tokyo dated 3 July 1941 to German Foreign Minister	7009
637	Report of the Proceedings of the Privy Council concerning the Ratification of the Franco- Japanese Protocol on Guarantee and Political Understanding and of the Thei-Japanese Protocol on Guarantee and Political Under standing dated 3 July 1941	7011

-	
1	Thursday, 3 October, 1946
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building
	Tokyo, Japan
7	
5	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
•	at 0930.
0	
1	
2	
3	Appearances:
1	For the Tribunal, same as before.
5	For the Prosecution Section, same as before
16	For the Defense Section, same as before.
17	
18	
19	(English to Japanese, Japanese to
20	English, French to English and Japanese to
21	French interpretation was made by the
22	Language Section, IMTFE.)
25	
24	
25	

1

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

25

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Oneto.

(Whereupon, Mr. E. Williams

approached the lectern.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Williams.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: Mr. President, may
I inquire as to whether the Tribunal would be prepared
to hear me discuss this matter of certification of
documents at this time?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, on the understanding that it affects more sections of the prosecution; that it extends to other divisions of the prosecution -- more sections than the French section -- and that it extends to other divisions of the prosecution, we will hear you.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

I am directed by the Chief of Counsel to make this statement because of the fact that I have had particular charge of the matter of preparing documents for presentation in Court. I understand that this question arose upon the presentation of prosecution document No. 1411 which has been conditionally received as exhibit 618-A, and upon the presentation of prosecution document No. 985-A which has been conditionally

,

received as exhibit No. 620. Each of these documents appears upon its face to be either a report or an official record of an agency of the Japanese Imperial 'overnment.

The question presented is: Does a document which appears on its face to be a record or an official document of any nation require a certificate of authenticity before it is presented and admitted in evidence?

THE PRESIDENT: No, it does not on its face but somebody must tell us where he got it or where he found it.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: The prosecution has offered from time to time and will hereafter offer two types of documents taken from the official records of various governments.

12

13

14

15

17

19

25

THE PRESIDENT: An important factor would be the receipt or the finding from or in enemy sources. That ought to be disclosed by somebody.

13 MR. E. WILLIAMS: The first class of documents which we have offered and intend to offer are those which do not show on their face that they are official 21 records or documents of any government. In such cases the prosecution has provided in the past and intends to continue to provide under the direction of the Court certificates which show the source and character

of such documents. The second class of documents are those which appear on their face to be records of or issued by officers, agencies or departments of the Japanese and other governments. As to these latter documents the procedure adopted by the prosecution has been to offer the documents without certificates attached. This procedure has been adopted because of certain provisions of the Charter and of certain rulings of this Tribunal. The provisions of the Charter to which I refer are two: The first is Article 13, Subdivision c, Subsection (1), which provides that "a document, regardless of its security classification and without proof of its issuance or signature, which appears to the Tribunal to have been signed or issued by any officer, department, agency or member of the armed forces of any government" may be admitted in evidence.

The second section is Article 13, Subdivision d, --

THE PRESIDENT: The word is "appear," not "purport."

MR. E. WILLIAMS: (Continuing) which provides that: "The Tribunal shall neither require proof of facts of common knowledge, nor of the authenticity of official government documents and reports of any

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

nation nor of the proceedings, records, and findings of military or other agencies of any of the United Nations."

On June 4, before the entire Tribunal, the matter of the interpretation of these provisions was considered and ruled upon by the Court. That ruling which was announced by the President is as follows:

"The Tribunal also orders that the prosecution be at liberty to produce in Court documents, obtained from the Japanese Government offices, purporting to be records and files from such offices, without further authentication."

I continue the quote of the President's language: "Section 13, d, of the Charter provides that the Tribunal shall not require proof of the authenticity of the official government documents and the reports of any nation."

Continuing the President's language: "The purpose of the prosecution is to obviate the needs for hundreds of certificates of authenticity."

Continuing: "Each of the accused will have the right to object to the admission of any document on the ground that it is immaterial or irrelevant or on any other substantial ground."

I continue the President's language: "The

admission of these documents will be in all cases subject to all just exceptions and objections."

This is the end of the language of the Court which appears on page 379 of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: None of which touches the point.

Certificates of authenticity and certificates or evidence by some person as to where these documents were obtained are two distinct things. We wanted to avoid the need for having appended to every document found in a Japanese department a certificate as to its genuineness; but where there is an objection which is preserved by those words of mine we do insist that we be satisfied where the document was obtained. Such proof is not offered here yet in the case of the French documents and had there been no objection we would have admitted the French documents.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: I may say that I am constrained most respectfully to differ with the Court because the language of the Court on June 4 was very clear and very unequivocal; and it was as follows:

"The Tribunal also orders that the prosecution be at liberty to produce in Court documents obtained from the Japanese Government offices purporting to be records and files from such offices without further

20:

authentication." This view is confirmed by a later statement of the President on August 9, 1946, when Mr. Parkinson presented a document from the Japanese Government which contained on its face a certificate. The Court at that time stated that where certificates had been obtained it would be necessary for the prosecution to furnish copies to the Court and the defense; but upon the ruling of June 4 which I have quoted being called to the Court's attention, the President stated as follows: "You are getting into another field. We are not going back on any decision we made, but we are talking about these cases in which you have the certificates, have seen the need for them but have not supplied the defense with copies."

I continue the quotation: "We are not dealing with the case where you are excused from getting the certificates but with cases where you have obtained the certificates." This was at page 3602 of the record.

On one other occasion when a certificate signed by Mr. Danly of the prosecution document division was presented, the President remarked that such certificate was valueless although it showed that the document had been obtained from the Japanese Government.

.

the Tribunal, namely, "to obviate the need for hundreds of certificates," is at this time, in view of our experience, more potent and important even than it was at the time the Court made the statement. We have felt justified in regarding this ruling by the Court as constituting the law of the case and have prepared many subsequent phases which have been put through our processing department upon that basis.

has never been rescinded and the reason assigned by

The rule stated by the Court on June 4

As the Tribunal stated on June 4, the processing of certificates entails an enormous amount of work which, in our submission, is practically useless. For instance, in the phase of the case which was just concluded, the relations between Japan, Germany and Italy, one class of certificates only which had to be provided entailed the processing, making, and individual handling of twenty thousand copies -- twenty thousand separate pieces of paper.

me, Mr. Will ....

MR. E. WILLIAMS: I have finished quoting you, your Honor. I am sorry that I have nothing more I can quote.

THE PRESIDENT: I feel now that I can speak

with some assurance.

Nothing you said touches the real point as to--

MR. E. WILLIAMS: Perhaps I shall get to the real point.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to deal with it here because you may leave the lectern when you have completed what you say now. What we really must have in every case is evidence of the origin of these documents. That would be given by certificate. You would not care to call the person who found the documents, say, in the Japanese Government department. We have never waived evidence of origin where there was an objection. Evidence of authenticity, evidence of signature and issuance, are different things from evidence of origin.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: Your Honor anticipated the matter which I have next on my notes. In each case in which no certificate has been provided, the document bears on its face language which shows the department or agency of the government which issued it or from which it came. In addition to that, the fact that the prosecutors, as officers of this Court, present the document in Court is an implicit representation to the Court that the document is what it

appears on its face to be. In the third place, any defense counsel who has any question as to the authenticity of any document has ample opportunity to investigate.

We feel under the circumstances that there is no valid reason why the Court should deviate from the rules which it has already established, particularly in view of the fact that in reliance upon the Court's own ruling the prosecution has already prepared, without certificates, those documents which appear on their face to emanate from the offices of the various governments, not only in the phase of the case which is now being presented but in other phases of the case which are scheduled to follow immediately after the presentation of this phase. We submit that no possible injustice can be done the defendants by following the rule laid down on June 4.

On the other hand, I may suggest to counsel for the defense who have not yet had the experience that we have had that when the defense starts to put in documents, if they are met with the same necessity for the processing of numerous unnecessary certificates, they will find that their burden has been greatly enlarged and increased. Under the circumstances, we respectfully request that the Court not overrule its

R

previous ruling in this regard and permit us to proceed in accordance with that ruling, it being, of course, understood that in the case of any document which does not bear on its face the token of its authenticity, a certificate will in the future, as in the past, be provided.

Goldborg & Spratt

THE PRESIDENT: The rule of the 4th of June will continue to be followed, as it is not in question. The Court must require some evidence of the place where the document was discovered, if objection is raised. That evidence can be given in simple f rm. There is nothing to prevent a single affidavit, whether joint or otherwise, being filed, saying that prosecution documents numbers so-and-so were found where they were found.

IP. WILLIAMS: Does that mean, if the President please, that it will not be necessary to provide copies of those documents -- of such certificates, but that the original only may be filed with the Tribunal?

THE PRESIDENT: Subject to what the defense have to say, I see no objection to it.

IR. FURNESS: If the Court please, the defense would like copies because when we get these papers and prepare for the next day's work, we would like to know where the documents which are going to be presented came from.

THE PRESIDENT: There would be no difference for your purposes between a single affidavit or a single certificate indicating the source of each document and a certificate attached to each document.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, the defense has no objection whatsoever to a single certificate listing documents coming from the same source. We do not need them to be attached to each document.

THE PRESIDENT: That could be indicated in the one document -- in the one certificate -- pages 1 to 6 showing sources from the Japanese Foreign Office, et cetera, et cetera. At all events, we cannot take the stand: the prosecution have presented this, it must be right.

MR. FURNESS: But we would like copies of that one document, that single certificate listing the complete list of documents. That is all we want.

THE PRESIDENT: We must have proof of origin, and what form is immaterial to us as long as the defense is satisfied.

MR. FURNESS: But we would like copies of that proof of origin, so that all defense counsel can have them.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, Mr. Oneto, you must give us proof of the origin of your documents by way of certificate or oral evidence in the box, or affidavit.

Perhaps I failed to make clear that the defense should be supplied with copies of whatever

8

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15 15

17

18

19

21

22

23

proof or origin the prosecution offer, unless they call oral evidence.

You may proceed with the rest of your case, and you can rectify that matter later, Mr. Oneto.

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I wish to indicate that in accordance with the desire of the Court I have asked for the required certificates, and I shall receive certain of them soon; but I have not yet received those for which I -- for which I presented two evidences yesterday.

THE MONITOR: Correction: "I have received some already."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, your suggestion is approved.

Mr. Oneto, you may now ask Mr. Tavenner to continue reading for you.

We find it most difficult to hear on the IBM this morning.

MR. TAVENNER: I will begin reading at the top of page 9.

(Reading):

"7. France's reply to our first draft of the Exchange of Notes. (Conference between Minister MATSUOKA and Ambassador HENRY on August 15th).

...

"On August 15 Ambassador HNERY visited
Minister MATSUOKA, and after having read a document
corresponding to attached document No. 3 as a reply
to the said first draft of the exchange of notes according to the instruction from the home government,
the following conference was held between the Ambassador and the Minister.

"Minister: Didn't you say to me the other day that France would, as a principle, accept Japan's demands?

"Ambassador: I didn't say that all Japan's demands would be accepted.

"Minister: I think I am sure you told me the other day to the effect that although the French Government is willing to accept the military demands of Japan concerning French Indo-China as a general principle, but such measures as would not injure France's prestige be considered by Japan.

"Ambassador: That is a misunderstanding. I meant to say that Japan's military demands would be accepted within the limits that France would be able to. Japan's military demands are concerned with the passage of troops, usage of sinfields and other provision of facilities, therefore to accept these demands as they are would be equal to giving Japan a

blank cheque. France wishes to accept the Japanese demands which are acceptable to France, after having obtained security and a promise as to territorial integrity.

"Minister: Concerning those points, I said clearly the other day that Japan's military demands are not based on the intention to invade the territory of French Indo-China.

"Ambassador: Without obtaining the promise of territorial guarantee and deciding upon, beforehand, clearly what the contents of Japan's demands are, all French Indo-China will be at the mercy of the Japanese army once it enters, even though that may be only the Tongking province.

"Minister: In our demands it is clearly stated 'for the operations against China', and it should be evident as to what points the Japanese army will pass through. Therefore it is unnecessary to worry that whole French Indo-China will be occupied by the Japanese army.

"But if France doesn't believe my affirmation, and continues to assume such an attitude as to prolong /all decisions/, it is useless to consult further, and as our military demands are so urgent, it may happen that we may have to discontinue negotic-

tions and execute necessary military actions.

"But convey to the home government that such is not what the Japanese army as well as the Japanese government desires.

"Ambassador: If we accept Japan's demands, Chiang's army will also come into French Indo-China, which will be turned into Sine-Japanese battle-field. This would be a great nuisance.

"Minister: It is hard to understand why
you say such a thing now. When you accepted in principle the passage of the Japanese army, you must have
supposed that there is a possibility of the Japanese
and Chinese armies clashing in French Indo-China.

"We are doing our best to everthrow the Chieng regime, which is an obstacle in the establishment of a permanent peace in the Far East, and as the establishment of a lasting peace is (also) advantageous to France, we ask your cooperation to everthrow the Chieng regime, which is necessary for that purpose.

"In short, whether the centents of our present demands are accepted by France or not, there is still the necessity to carry out this urgently. But as it is not agreeable for us to take military action without agreement, I wish you to consider that I am trying to achieve the objective by consulting with

you. From a general point of view, I want you to persuade the home government to accept our demands as they are seen as possible.

"Ambassador: (Understanding fully the above, he took his leave).

"(In a chet the Minister seid that originally the security of French Indo-Chine territory had long been preserved in fact by the Japanese-French Pact of 1907, and if Japan didn't comply with the conclusion of this Pact, it is impossible to guess what would have happened to the territory of French Indo-Chine, but Japan complied with the conclusion of it, therefore it is France's turn to respond to our demands.)

"8. France's first counter-plan to the First
Draft of our Exchange of Notes and the
Second Draft of our Exchange of Notes
(Conference between /Foreign/ Minister
MATSUOKA and Ambassader Henry on 20 Aug.)

"On the 20th August, the French Ambassador in Tokyo called on /Foreign/ Minister MATSUOKA and furnished to him, in accordance with instructions from the home government, the attached document No. 4, and draft of exchange of notes corresponding to attached document No. 5 and which is based on the nurnort of the above-mentioned document. In regards to the above,

the Minister expressed his gratitude for the efforts taken by the French Government and the Ambassador, and at the same time stated that as to France's proposals Japan would reply upon consideration of the document and draft. But one thing I would like to mention is that although economic problems are included in the above /proposels/ we wish to resolve the military problems prior to occuemic problems as the former are of an urgent nature. However, it will be allright to discuss the details of the military problems on the spot. And as to the particulars of the form of the agreement wish the vice-minister to negotiate with your Excellency within today. Furthermore, when the draft of the exchange of notes No. 1 and 2 of attached document No. 6 in regards to the military question problem and which was prepared by us was submitted, the French Ambessedor stated that the French proposal did not injure their prestige and also was fair. Furthermore, the Minister stressed the necessity to separate the economic problems in order to save time to solve the military problems. According to the French proposal, in regards to the economic problems only the recognition of Japan's predominant benefits in the Far East, and also a predominant position over the 3rd Powers in FIC is mentioned and is for

21

3

10

12

15

15

17

19

20

from our request of French homeland treatment. If
France persists in her proposals the negotiations
are bound to reach a decelock again. In regards to
conomic problems I think that you could reply that
negotiations on the spot be instructed in order to
respond as much as possible to the French homeland
treatment request. Anyway the necessity to decide in
advance on the military problem was advocated."

....

"9. The third (draft of) the exchange of notes. (Conversation on the night of August 20th between Vice-Minister OHASHI and Ambassador HENRY).

"After immediate investigation of the French counter-draft, Vice-Minister OHASHI asked Ambassador 'Henry' to visit him on the night of the 20th, and the following conversation was held between the Vice-Minister and the Ambassador with the chief of the Western-Asia Bureau attending.

"Vice-Minister: In the French proposal the Japanese French Pact is introduced, but the situation has greatly changed compared with that time when this Pact was concluded, therefore it is only complicating the settlement of this matter, to bring up such a Pact today.

"Ambassador: Concerning the above, because /Foreign/ Minister MATSUOKA first mentioned it, we drafted this proposal with that /Pact/ as the basis.

"Vice-Minister: The Minister only referred to the Pact in the course of his discussion, because he intended to show that it was evident Japan had no territorial ambition towards French Indo-China from the standpoint of the spirit the Pact was concluded in the past. In the French proposal, all military problems are left to the negotiations on

the spot, and the passage of troops and two other items have not been given approval as a principle. /We/ object to this point. Moreover, what is the meaning of limiting the providing of facilities to the border zone between French Indo-China and China?

"Ambassador: It is impossible to promise vaguely the provision of facilities over such a vast area like the Tongking Province, therefore, we limited it to the border line which is not so narrow. We cannot permit the stationing of garrisons in the air-bases which the Japanese forces may use.

"Vice-Minister: Thus the provisions of facilities according to the French will be reaningless. And so we wish to settle the problem according to our plan, and to manage the economic problem separately.

"Ambassador: As the French proposal was mede after considering the objections of the Japanese (it is necessary to quote the Japanese-French Pact, and also, the economic problem may be compromised after some additional words are added to the French proposal), if you still object to this, it suggests that there are some oppositionists in the Japanese Government who are against settling the French Indo-China problem with the French Government and they are

24

1

2

3

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

trying to postpone the settlement purposely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

10

21

22

23:

24

25

"Vice-Minister: That is a great misunderstanding, on the contrary, we suspect that the French are trying to delay the settlement. After that we took into consideration the desires of the French again. The Minister informed us this morning, that this military demand was of a temporary and exceptional character, all the expenses of the military action shall be charged to the Japanese /Government/ and concerning the details of the military action and expenses, the Japanese and French authorities on the spot shall consult secretly. We believe that there will be no other way to settle this matter than to add these abovementioned points. Therefore I hope you will kindly convey the emended draft handed over French translation of letter received and sent, Nos. 1 and 2 of attached document No. 7, to the French Government and endeavour to persuade the Government. In case France should further postpone the settlement /of the matter/ the responsibility will be with the French should an unforeseen incident occur in French Indo-China.

"Ambassador: Anyway, I will convey your proposal to my home government.

"10. The concrete military requests which were unofficially presented to the French- (The conference between Ambassador Henry and Chief of Western Eurasian Bureau, held in the evening of August 21).

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

15

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"On the night of the 21st, the Chief of the Eurasian Bureau visited the French Ambassador in Tokyo and stated that although, actually, to notify /you/ of our secret military items is not preferable, and as we observed that the Franch were very doubtful -- much more doubtful then we expected -- as to our military demands, we conferred with the armed forces authorities, and as a result to speedily resolve the matter and dissolve the French suspicion we have decided to unorficially notify you the outline of the contents of our request. Saying this, he handed the following /to the Ambassador/ and said, as we have done all we can regards this metter we only hope that you will transmit our request to your home government and obtain the approved of it quickly without any elterations. Then, the Ambessedor promised that he would transmit the above to his home government.

"(a) The number of air fields in Tongking province which shall be utilized by the Japanese army and navy forces.

"For the time being one place around the districts of Hanoi, Phulang Thuong and Phutho respectively shall be used as permanent air-fields. But according to the situation other air-fields near the FIC-China border may be utilized.

"(b) The approximate number of Japanese forces to be stationed in TONKING province. The units necessary for the guarding of the above-mentioned air-fields, the air force unit to use these air-fields and the supply units, (including guards for transportation) engaged in transporting supplies to the above-mentioned respective units and Japanese units in Chinese territory adjacent to French Indo-China, the total no. of Army and Navel units not exceeding 5,000 - 6,000.

"(c) The route of passage for the Japanese forces in the Tengking province. According to the necessity of operations against China, the zone along the Haiphong, Hanoi and Laokay line and Hanoi and Langson line may be used for the passage of Japanese troops. The strength (including that of the units necessary for the transportation of the above units and supplies to the same and guarding of the transportation) of the units shall be other than those mentioned in (b) but their number cannot

be stated at present.

"Note I: For the transportation of the Japanese Army or supplies or for guarding the transrorts several ships of the Imperial Japanese Navy may enter Haiphong.

"Note II. Communications equipment (mainly wireless) for exclusive use /of the Japanese Army and Navy/ shall naturally accommon the above.

"11. The 2nd French counter-proposel in regards to the draft of the exchange of notes (Conference between Vice-Minister OHASEI and Ambassador HENRY on August 25th).

on Vice-Minister ONASHI and according to instructions from his home government he presented the French draft concerning the military, political and economic matters as attached document No. P and asked our approval. The Vice-Minister pointed out that the acceptance of Japan's three military requests (1) the air-fields in the Tongking Province to be used by the Japanese Army, (2) the number of Japanese troops to be stationed in the Tongking Province /which are such units/ as the air-force units, the maintenance corps and other units to use the airfields and the supply units for Japanese troops

9

...

1.7

18

19

20

22

23

24

now in Chinese territory near the frontier, (3)
the course of passage for the units, had not been
clearly mentioned. Though the/Vice-Minister/
insisted on specifically mentioning the above,
the Ambassador stated clearly that to specify
the above in an official letter is impossible from
the French preside point of view, but actually this
is recognized at negotiations and on the spect,
and insisted on the agreement as it stands.

B

"The embessedor stated that he wished to keep in absolute secrecy and not to announce the careenents after the negotiations have succeeded to which the Vice-Minister gave his consent. Therefore, as a result of consulting with the military, it was decided to accept it in order to settle this matter quickly, and when in the night of the same day the Chief of the Eurasian Bureau showed to the Ambressedor our draft latter which is attached document No. 9 vis-a-vis the French draft latter, the Ambressedor had not objection, and to make sure to make sure wired it back to the home government for instructions."

now in Chinese territory near the frontier, (3)
the course of passage for the units, had not been
clearly mentioned. Though the/Vice-Minister/
insisted on specifically mentioning the above,
the Ambessador stated clearly that to specify
the above in an official letter is impossible from
the French prestige point of view, but actually this
is recognized at negotiations held on the spot,
and insisted on the agreement as it stands.

"The ambassador stated that he wished to keep in absolute secrecy and not to announce the agreements after the negotiations have succeeded to which the Vice-Minister gave his consent. Therefore, as a result of consulting with the military, it was decided to accept it in order to settle this matter quickly, and when in the night of the same day the Chief of the Eurasian Bureau showed to the Ambassador our draft letter which is attached document No. 9 vis-a-vis the French draft letter, the Ambassador had not objection, and to make sure to make sure wired it back to the home government for instructions."

"12. August 30th. The exchange of official notes and the oral application offered from Foreign Minister MATSUOKA to Ambassador HENRI to supplement the exchanged official notes.

"Based upon the results of the negotiation between OHASHI and HENRI on the 25th, an exchange of official notes such as Appendix No.10 (I) and (II) was made on August 30th, at the Foreign Minister's official residence between Foreign Minister MATSUOKA and Ambassador HENRI: and the present Franco-Japanese negotiation which saw much complications, came to a conclusion two months after the opening of negotiations.

"Moreover, for the purpose of promoting an immediate agreement concerning our military requirements in French Indo-China, Foreign Minister MATSUOKA made an oral statement to Ambassador Henri at the time of the aforementioned official note exchange, as follows:

"L.) In your letter there is no clear statement that France would accept our military requirements; the outline of its tangible contents was privately revealed to you by NISHI, the director of the Europe-Asia Bureau. But it seems that you have clearly stated on the 25th to Vice-Minister OHASHI that although France cannot clearly specify

2

4

6

.

9

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

22

24 25 the aforementioned purport in writing from the standpoint of dignity, actually she has the intention of
accepting all the aforementioned requirements. However, as far as the Imperial Government is concerned,
she will place confidence upon the abovementioned
statement of the French Government and will accept
your letter.

"2.) The Imperial Government feels that our military requirements can be filled immediately in French Indo-China. The Japanese troops in hastening to fulfill the requirements under pressure of various situations and the High Command has decided to designate Major-General NISHIHARA, who is the chairman of the Inspection Committee observing the situation of banning transportation of goods destined for the Chiang-Kai-shek Government via French Indo-China, to become the representative of the Supreme Commander of the dispatched Army and Navy; combining both posts. They issued instructions to the said General to conclude a spot agreement at HANOI with the Commander of French Army so that our aforementioned requirements can be filled as quickly as possible, and, if circumstances allow, that this task be accomplished within the fortnight. Therefore, it is desired that the French Government inform

the Governor-General of French Indo-China that
France has substantially accepted the military requirements of Japan revealed privately to you by
NISHI, the director of Europe-Asia Bureau, and
issue instructions to conclude the aforementioned
agreement immediately.

"13. The 1st negotiation for agreement on the spot.

"On August 31 Major-Gen. NISHIHARA called on the Governor General of French Indo-China and proposed to commence military negotiations according to the aforesaid MATSUOKA-HENRY Agreement, to which the Governor General did not respond, saying that he had not received any instructions from the home government. Thereupon, NISHIHARA decided to evacuate all the observation personnel and Japanese residents if the French /authorities/failed to agree to our requests by the evening of Sept. 2nd, and in regards to the above asked the understanding of our Consulate-General. At noon on the following day, the 31st, the Maj. -General called on the Governor General again, but found no way to commence negotiations. As there were various conditions, strategically and diplomatically, which necessitate immediate opening of negotiations, this ministry /the Foreign

22

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Minister/ wired to Ambassador SAWADA in France to urge the French Government to give instructions to the Governor General of French Indo-China to commence immediately the negotiations on the spot. (Telegram received from Hanoi 2nd Sept. 15 Showa /1940/No. 190 and Telegram sent to France 2nd Sept. 15 Showa /1940/ No.401). In the night of Sept. 2nd, Major Gen. NISHIHARA again called on the Governor General, who stated that although he received instructions from the home government that evening, the message was rather long and had many points which were incomprehensible, so desired the negotiation to be postponed until the morning of the 3rd. Although the Maj. General demanded the immediate commencement of negotiations, the Governor General declined to accept it. Therefore the Maj. General handed the notification previously prepared as to the withdrawal of Japanese residents and the stationing of troops after Sept. 5th /to the Governor General/. Thereupon the Governor General stated that some reply shall be given in one hour's time, so the Maj .-General temporarily withdrew his notification. But at 10:30 P.M. a note from the Governor General was sent in which it was stated that the contents of the instructions from the home government as to the

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

proposal for an agreement on the spot differed to quite an extent with that of ours, and advocated a revision and desired to commence from the 3rd. Major General NISHIHARA, judging that if the negotiation were commenced under such conditions, no conclusion could be attained in a short period, immediately proposed to the Governor General that the Commander-in-Chief of Japanese Expeditionary Force in South China has decided to advance his Army into French India after Sept. 5th. At the same time he also notified the Consulate-General of the above circumstances, consequently Consul-General SUZUKI immediately took steps on the 4th to retain the Bangkok Maru and the Saigon Maru which were at that time in Haiphong and Bangkok respectively and prepared for evacuation on 4th. (Tel. rec. from Hanoi 3rd Sept. No. 197, 198. Tel. sent to Hanoi 3rd Sept. No. 209). As the endeavors of both governments have come to naught, again at Tokyo, Ambassador Sawada was instructed to persuade the French home government to give instructions to the Governor General to accept our demands (Tel. sent to France 3rd Sept. 15 Showa /1940/ No. 405; Tel. Rec. from France 4 Sept. No. 715, 717) at the same time, when the French Ambassador in Tokyo came to see Vice-Minister

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

OHASHI, he also requested that instructions be given to the Governor General of French Indo-China for the conclusions of agreements on the spot by the home government. This the Ambassador accepted. (Tel. sent to France 3rd Sept. No. 406).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"On the other hand, Major Gen. NISHIHARA met with the Commanding General of the French Indo-China Army at 10 A.M. on the 3rd, at which time the Commander said that as he had wired to the Vichy Government yesterday evening that the negotiations had ended in failure, he has now no authority to negotiate, and that as a counter-proposal is being drafted, meeting with the Governor General is desired. Waiting for the said counter-proposal it was sent in the evening of the same day. Therefore Major Gen. NISHIHARA again requested to meet the Commanding General, to which he replied that he wished to postpone the negotiations until 9 A.M. of the 4th, because he was tired. (Tel. Rec. from Hanoi 4th Sept. No. 199). At 8 P.M. on the 4th an Agreement on the spot (Attached document No. 11) was signed between Major General NISHIHARA and Martan, the Commanding General. (Tel. Rec. from Hanoi 4th Sept. No. 200, 5th Sept. No. 201, Tel. sent to France 7th Sept. No.411).

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fif-1 teen minutes. 3 (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings 4 5 were resumed as follows:) M 6 0 r 7 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now 8 resumed. & THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Oneto. A 10 MR. TAVENNER: I will begin reading at the 11 middle of page 16: 12 "The Second negotiation for agreement of 13 details on the spot. 14 "Concerning the military pact which was signed 15 on 4 September, agreement of details was expected to 16 be concluded within the 6th, but a unit of the Japan-17 ese Army crossed the border at Liang-shan district in South China on the morning of the 6th, and on the fol-19 lowing day, the Governor General proposed to Maj. 20 General NISHIHARA to drop the negotiation on the pretext that the above incident was a contravention of the 22 pact. In regards to the aforesaid, Major General 23 NISHIHARA explained in length that it was an arbit-24 rary decision taken by a front line unit which did 25 not know of the conclusion of the military agreement

and was by no means the intention of the Japanese military authorities. However, the Governor General would not change his attitude at all, and it seemed as if he were postponing the negotiations purposely. (Telegram from Hanoi: 8th Sept. No. 205, 9th Sept. No. 206. Telegram to Geneva 8 Sept. No. 84)

instructions to Ambassador SAWADA in France to propose to the French Government that in case the settlement of this problem should be postponed any longer, some unforeseen incident may happen, so the French Government should give instructions to the Governor General of French Indo-China to settle the negotiation with Japan immediately. (Telegram to France, 10th Sept. No. 417. Telegram from France, 12th Sept. No. 736).

"After that, on 11th, the French Ambassador in Tokyo visited Vice-Minister OHASHI, and said
that he had received information that the Thailand
Army was also likely to invade French Indo-China,
coordinating with the advance of the Japanese Army.
Then the matter would be a hopeless case so he proposed that Japan stop Thailand's plan. On the 12th,
the Vice Minister asked the French Ambassador to
visit him, and told him this issue was one between

France and Thailand and Japan had nothing to do with it, moreover, that the French proposal was nothing but her policy to postpone the negotiations. ISHIZAWA, the Chief of the Third Section of European Affairs, too, proposed to the French Embassy Secretary Dangeran /spelling approved by French Division/ to the same effect. (Telegram No. 2018 to Hanoi and Bangkok on Sep. 11; Telegram No. 194 to Bangkok on Sep. 12. Gist of the talk between Vice-Minister and French Ambassador on Sep. 11, Gists of the telephonic conversations between Chief of the Third Section of European Affairs and the French Secretary on Sep. 12, Gist of the talk between Vice-Minister and French Ambassador on Sep. 12).

view with the Governor-General, who told him that in accordance with the instructions from the French Government to continue the negotiations, the Head-quarters of the French Indo-Chinese Army was earnestly studying the details. The negotiations, nevertheless, have shown no progress since that time. (Telegram from Hanoi, Sep. 14, No. 212). In TOKYO, the French Ambassador called on Vice-Minister on the 16th and said again that the Japanese were responsible for the delay of the negotiations and that

Minister contradicted and told him the actual situation on the spot is very serious, and as our army may commence advancement /into FIC/ at any moment without waiting for an agreement of the details, therefore wish the French Government to instruct the French Indo-China authorities to conclude the agreement of the details. (Telegram to France and Hanoi on Sep. 16, No. 2057).

"On the 17th, the Governor-General and the Commanding-General of the Army gave us their general consent to our request. But even after the conclusion of an agreement and the Japanese Army makes a peaceful occupation some clashes with the French Indo-China forces are unavoidable so, for the time being, it has been decided to evacuate all Japanese residents on the 20th."

I will not read the list of telegrams.

"The next day, the 18th, Commander of the
French Indo-China Army replied, but, there existed
a wide difference of opinion between the two. As
it was deemed useless to continue the negotiations,
Maj. Gen. NISHIHARA notified the French authorities
that all the members of the Japanese Observation
Party would leave Hanoi on the 20th. In TOKYO, too,

the Vice-Minister informed the French Ambassador on the 19th that the Japanese forces would advance into TONKING Province at any time after zero hour of Sep. 23rd, whether the agreement on details will be concluded or not.

"On the same day, several negotiations were held on the spot but without any results. The Japanese residents in the Hanoi area and most of the memoers of the Observation Party arrived at Haiphong and embarked on the HAKKAI-MARU and the SURABAYA-MARU, and those in the Saigon area embarked on the ARIZONA-MARU. As soon as the ships started for HAIKOW at noon of the 22nd, the Japanese troops in CHENNANKWANG area began to advance. At 14.30 hours of the same day, they /the French authorities/ suddenly adopted a compromising attitude and, at last, the agreement on details, as per attached document No. 12, was signed.

"On the 24th final agreement was reached in regards to the military agreement between Major-General NISHIHARA and the representative of the Commander of the French Indo-China Army on board the battleship KAWACHI. It was decided that the main part of the expeditionary force will enter Haiphong harbour on the 25th and land on the 26th.

 (Telegram sent by Consul-General SUZUKI through Navy on 24 Sept.).

"l. 'Particulars concerning the evacuation of Japanese residents.'

"Consul-General SUZUKI in HANOI, who has been preparing the evacuation of the Japanese residents in view of the strained condition of negotiations between Japan and French Indo-China, issued private evacuation orders on the 18th to all Japanese residents. At the same time, he has reached an agreement with the Governor-General of French Indo-China as to the method of protecting the lives and properties of Japanese residents in the course of evacuation. Residents under the jurisdiction of the Consulate-General at HANOI reached HAIPHONG at 7 A.M. on the 20th by special train, and at noon boarded the HACHIKAI-MARU (chiefly old people, children and women) and the SURABAYA-MARU (chiefly men in the prime of life) and awaited development. Also, the Japanese residents under jurisdiction of the Consulate-General at SAIGON boarded the ARIZONA-MARU on the same day at SAIGON.

"Various meetings were held concerning the execution of the evacuation HACHIKAI-MARU and the SURABAYA-MARU departed at 10 A.M. on the 22nd for

24

1

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

HAIKOW, On the 20th, the ARIZONA-MARU left SAIGON. "All the members of the Consulate-General at HANCI and the Japanese residents totaling approximately 300 persons arrived at Haikow at noon on the 23rd aboard the HACHIKAT MARU and the SURABAYA-MARU." THE PRESIDENT: Need you read all this? MR. TAVENNER: I will omit the reading of that paragraph. "On the other hand, Consul-General SUZUKI and his party arrived at HATPHONG. . . " I will omit the reading of that paragraph and will begin reading on page 30.

č

"(Attached Document No. 10-2)

"(Copy of letter to be sent by the Foreign Minister)

"Europe 3. Secret No. 38.

"30 August 15 Showa /1940/

"Mr. Ambassador.

"I have the honour to acknowledge the following letter from your Excellency, dated 30 August 15 Showa /1940/.

"I have the honour of notifying your Excellency that the Government of France recognize the predominant interests of Japan in the economic and political fields in the Far East.

"Therefore, the Government of France anticipates the Government of Japan will give their assurance/s/ to the Government of France, that Japan is with the intention of respecting the rights and interests of France in the Far East, especially the territorial integrity of Indo-China and the sovereignity of France in all parts of the Union of Indo-China.

"In regards to the economic field, France is prepared to negotiate speedily as to the promotion of trade between Indo-China and Japan, as well as the method of assuring the most advantageous

position possible, and superior compared to that of the Third Pover, to Japan and its nationals.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"As to the provision of special military facilities which Japan has requested of France, the same understands the purport of the Imperial Government to be completely in the settlement of the conflict between Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek, consequently the aforesaid is temporary, ceasing in the event such a conflict is resolved and shall be applied to only that province of Indo-China adjacent to China. Under the above-mentioned condition the Government of France are prepared to order the commander of the French Army in Indo-China to settle the said military problems with the Japanese commander. The demands submitted by the Imperial Japanese Government shall not be excluded a priori, and orders issued to the French military authorities shall not, in regards to the above points, restrict the competence of the above authorities.

"The negotiations shall be carried out under the following conditions:

"Both military commanders shall, upon their word of honour as soldiers, exchange information which would clarify the necessities of the Japanese army and the method by which the same may be satisfied. The above-mentioned desires of the Japanese army shall be limited to military operations in those provinces in China adjacent to Indo-China.

"Following the exchange of information, mutually reliable contacts shall be made between the French and Japanese military authorities to provide the necessary military facilities to the Japanese Army. The Government of France shall not take any financial responsibilities concerning the various facilities provided to the Japanese Army. These facilities provided shall not be of a military occupational nature, but strictly limited to strategic necessities and conducted under the superintendence and intermediation of the French military authorities.

"Lastly, the Imperial Japanese Government shall promise to take the responsibility of compensating for damages sustained by Indo-China through the act of war of that enemy which may be drawn into the territory of Indo-China because of the presence of, and also by the act of war of the Japanese Army itself.

"In reply to your letter cited above, I have the honour of notifying your Excellency that the Government of Japan are with the intention of respecting the rights and interests of France in the Far East,

1 2

especially the territorial integrity and sovereignity of France in all parts of the Union of Indo-China; 2 accept those proposals made by the Government of France; 3 and desire that negotiations, with the objective of 4 satisfying Japan's demands, be commenced without delay and anticipato the speedy achievement of the objective 6 as well as the Government of France to issue, hereafter necessary instructions to the Indo-China authori- -8 ties for this purpose. 9 "I have the honour be, with the highest con-10 sideration, 11 "Your Excellency's obedient Servent, 12 "Yosuke MATSUOKA 13 Foreign Minister. 14 "His Excellency 15 Charles Arsene HENRY French Ambassador Extraordinary and 16 Plenipotientary. 17 "The Circumstances surrounding the conclusion 18 of agreement between Japan and France." 19 THE PRESIDENT: Omit those numbers. They 20 won't help us. 21 MR. TAVENNER: It is on the following page. 22 THE PRESIDENT: The date is important. 23 MR. TAVENNER: Page 33. Heading is "The 24 Circumstances surrounding the conclusion of agreement 25 between Japan and France."

-

THE PRESIDENT: 18/9/46.

MR. TAVENNER: Yes sir. "Attached Document No. 11.

"Basic data for the prupose of concluding the military agreement between the representatives of the commander-in-chief of Japanese Army and Naval Forces despatched to South China and the commander-in-chief of the French Indo-China Army.

"These basic data originate from the instruction issued by the -rench Government and was communicated to the representative of Japanese militery and Naval forces on September 3, 1940."

I will omit down to Item 2, near the bottom of that page.

## "(2) Basic Date

"No Japanose troops whatever arms they may belong to are permitted to enter 'HANOI' without the special authorization of the French Commander-in-Chief. Movements of Japanese troops are limited to the north side of River Houje.

"In strategic zones both French administrative and military organs will remain in their place
and retain their old authorities. Whenever there arises
the necessity of Japanese Army coming into contact
with the natives, it will be done through the inter-

mediary of the former.

"The numerical strength of the Japanese ground forces existing in the district of Tonkingin-cluding both its combetant and non-combatant personnel must not exceed more than two third viz - 25,000 of the mobilized forces in the district of Tonking

The Japanese Army promises the following items:

"1. It will bear all expenses arising from transportations of men and material, billeting and any other establishment.

"2. It will compensate both the Indo-China government or any private individuals with reard to the damages accruing from the presence of the Japanese Army establishment or through the belligerent actions of both Chinese and Japanese forces in the Indo-Chinese territory.

## "(3) Stratogic Base

"The Japanese Army will select one or more bases for strategical purposes in the aforementioned strategical zone.

"The positions of strategical bases are as follows:

"The district of North and North East of the line (including the native settlement) connecting IENU

-

BAICHIYUJENU, KAN, TAINU, KUIENU and KEPU. "The Japanese military authorities have com-2 plete freedom for the purpose of selecting one or severel strategical bases which ere to be chosen under the following conditions; that is, the French authorities will superintend the bases, strictly restrict the losses to be sustained by individuals and make requests 7 for compensation for losses immediately. "The selected landing point is to be 'HAI-9 FHONG!. This port cannot be made a stratogical base. 10 The landing point is to be one, and is to be released 11 12 in as short a period as possible after landing of 13 troops and military supplies. 14 "(4) Lines of Communication 15 "The Japanese authorities may use the fol-16 lowing lines for military operations: 17 "Railroads 18 HAI-PHOLG GIA-IAM CIA LAM LAMC-SON 19 GIA-LAM LACKAY 20 "Roads 21 HAI-PHONG GIA-LAM GIA-LAM LANG-SON 22 GIA-LAM YEN-BAY 23 "According to circumstances the following 24 line can be made available, after obtaining the special 25 consent of the French military suprems commander."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewott.

MR. BLEWETT: With the permission of the Court, the defense would like to ask the prosecution the meaning on page 33 it refers to pages 109-111, 13/9/46, which does not appear on the original.

MR. TAVENNER: Counsel has stated that the reference that he made appears -- does not appear on the Japanese translation. I have no way of knowing that or explaining it.

of the document unless the document is a recent document, and it isn't.

MR. TAVERNER: I think I have the explanation of it. I notice immediately under those figures in parenthesis the language "translated by the army."

I imagine that the two matters belong together and refers to the date of the translation.

THE PRESIDENT: So we thought.

MR. TAVENNER: (Reading)

"GEA-LAH -- THAI-NGUYEN -- GAO-BHANG -TUYEN-QUANG -- HA-GIANG Road

"Howover, the abovementioned roads are to be used only according to the requirements of military operations and only during the period necessary for the operation of transport troops.

"The method of carrying out this transportation

is to be regulated by the agreement between the Japanese and French army authorities.

mander who is qualified to determine the abovementioned course and to grant permission for the sake of reaching one or several strategical bases. The guarding of the communication roads shall be undertaken by French or Japanese troops according to the districts decided.

"On principle the guarding of the part from 'HAI-PHONG' to the solected strategical bases is to be undertaken by the French troops.

## "(5) Air Force

"The three air-fields situated to the north of the 'ROUGE' River /that is, 'PHU-THO', 'VINH-YEM', and 'LAOKAY'/ can be used and equipped by the Japanese air force.

"The military personnel applied for the guarding of these air-fields is to be reduced to the strictest possible minimum and the number of such personnel is to be decided by mutual agreement between the Japanese and French military authorities.

"The French authorities are to decide the areas where aviation is forbidden as well as aviation regulations in the territorial sky.

"The French military authorities are to be

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

informed of the movement of cirplenes without the district of military operations 24 hours in advance.

"(6) War ships and Transportation

of the Japanese military forces must be carried out only by transports. The warships convoying these transports must not enter within six neutical miles off the line connecting DOSON and APPOWING. However, one Japanese warship not bigger than a torpode-boat may enter the port of MAI-PHONG and stay there on the aforementioned conditions.

"The French authorities must be infermed of the arrival of all groups of Japanese transports and also of the number of soldiers to be landed at least 24 hours in advance.

The number of ships to be moored elegated the wharf will be limited by mutual agreement with the Japanese authorities. The number of the port establishments will likewise be limited and it is a metter of course that the use of these establishments will not be permanent.

"The anchoring positions of the Japanese transport ships will be decided by mutual consent.

"The refuelling of ships along the coast of Indo- China must be strictly limited and carried on

11

1

3

7

9

10

14

13

15

17 18

19

21

22

24

```
only in those enchorage harbors determined by mutual
 1
     consent of both parties."
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Dudaa & Barton

15.

## "(7) Wireless telegrams

"Powerful fixed wireless installations, excepting for field wireless apparatus shall not be established in Indo-Chinese territory. This does not apply to ship wireless. The cuestion of laying a submarine cable between the coast of TONGKING and HAINAN will be studied separately.

"(8) Important matters that demand special attention.

"Unless the final agreement is signed by both parties and unless the date of the coming into effect of this agreement is determined, the Japanese military, naval, and aerial forces cannot enter the territory or the territorial airs of Indo-China. In accordance with the consent given by the representatives of the Japanese Army and Navy no action whatsoever of any threatening nature may be resorted to.

"The following will be considered as threatening actions:

"Any attempt to cross the border.

"Any gathering of troops near Indo-Chinese territory.

"(Note) This implies that more forces than at present shall not be gathered for the pur-

pose of the invasion of French Indo-China.

"Actions of warships or transport ships in the offing.

"Flying in the territorial airs. This does not apply, however, to cases where special permission has been obtained.

"Unless the above mentioned conditions are observed by the Japanese forces, the French supreme commander shall suspend the negotiations already commenced and resort to freedom of action.

"It goes without saying that the various basic items decided in these precautions must be kept in utmost secrecy until the time of signing of the final agreement.

"Any breach of this secrecy by the Japanese authorities will be considered as a threatening measure by the French authorities and is liable to bring about the various above-mentioned results.

"(Signed) The representative of the supreme commander of the Japanese Army and Navy dispatched to South-China.

"Major-General NISHIHARA,

"The supreme commander of the French Indo-China forces. General MARTIN."

"Attached Document No. 12. 1 "Agreement Concluded between the Com-2 mander of the Indo-Chinese Army and the delegates 3 of the Japanese Army and Navy in Indo-China. "The following are dealt with in this Agreement. 6 "(1) Use of several air-bases in TONG-7 KING Province. 8 "(2) Stationing of a certain number 9 of Japanese military forces. 10 "(3) Passing of Japanese troops through 11 TONGKING Province in necessary cases. 12 "(4) Entry of Japanese advance guards 13 into French Indo-China. 14 "(1) Use of several air-bases. 15 "Japanese air forces can use the follow-16 17 ing air-bases:-GIA-LAM, LAO-KAY, or PHU-LANG-CHUONG, 18 19 PHU-TO. "Japanese air forces can equip the said 20 air-bases in conformity with the terms set forth 21 in the agreement of September 4. 22 "The number of military personnel for 23 the guarding of the said air-bases shall be fixed 24 by the mutual agreement of both Japanese and French 25

authorities, and shall be limited to the irredu-1 cible minimum necessary to carry out their duty. 2 "(2) Stationing of a certain number of 3 Japanese military forces. 4 "The strength of the various Japanese 5 troops whose duties are given as follows shall be 6 fixed by the mutual agreement of both Japanese 7 and French military authorities. 8 "(a) Guarding of the air-bases referred 9 to in item (1). 10 "(b) Use of the said air-bases (fliers 12 and ground forces belonging to the Japanese air corps). 14 "(c) Transportation and guarding of supplies for the following Japanese troops. 16 "The troops at the air-bases specified under Paragraph 1 and those now in operations in Chinese territory near the border-line between China and Indo-China. "(d) Transportation through HAIPHONG Harbor and management of hospitals to be established in that district.

"The said personnel strength shall be

limited to the minimum degree necessary to ac-

complish the above duties and shall under no cir-

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cumstances exceed 6,000.

"The stationing areas of the said personnel shall be decided with the joint consent of the Japanese and French military authorities.

"A part of the said force limited to the irreducible minimum, as fixed by the agreement of both Japanese and French staff-offices, may utilize villages directly adjoining the air-bases.

"This, however, is not applicable to HANOI. Neither the Japanese military headquarters nor any troops shall station at or pass through HANOI. This is not applicable, however, to the liaison officers between both staff-offices.

"Equipments for the Japanese air corps and their guards at the various air-bases shall be installed by the Japanese military authorities.

"HAIPHONG shall be used as a landing spot under the terms which shall be settled by the joint consent of both Japanese and French staff-offices.

"In any case, the warships shall not be permitted to approach within 6 nautical miles from the line which connects DOSON and ABOWAN.

"One warship not exceeding the class of torpedo boat, shall be permitted to anchor

in HAIPHONG Harbor.

1

2

5

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"(3) The passage of the Japanese forces through TONG-KING State.

"In case the commander of the Japanese forces wishes to conduct the attacking operation with his ground forces, starting from the northern boundary of TONG-KING State (the said commander is not considering this at present), or conduct replacement movement of the forces necessitating embarkation from HAIPHONG Port, the several traffic roads, decided by the commander of the French Forces, shall be utilized by the Japanese forces according to the operational needs.

"The methods of executing the aforementioned transportation shall be prescribed according to the clauses provided in the 'Fundamental Matters of Agreement', signed on September 4, 1940.

"The strength of the passing Japanese troops will be decided upon later according to the degree of necessity. However, the total strength of the passing troops and the troops described in the second clause will not exceed the number established by the 'Fundamental Matters of Agreement', signed on September 4, 1940.

"The entrance of the Japanese vanguard

24

unit (into the country).

"In view of the fact that 2200 hours on 22nd September is due to be observed strictly by the Japanese authorities, the first shipload of troops shall be authorized to enter HAIPHONG Marbor on the fixed time mentioned above.

"However, unless special agreements as to the debarkation clauses of the landing troops and clauses concerning movement to the station points are concluded, the troops shall be detained within the ship and other transport vessels shall not enter the harbor.

"(5) The transportation of the Japanese forces passing through TONG-KING State.

"The Japanese forces now stationed in the vicinity of the border between China and Indo-China are permitted to be transported through the territory of French Indo-China for the purpose of embarkation at HAIPHONG Port, in accordance with the request of the Japanese authorities.

"Since the transportation of these forces necessitates detailed studies, a special agreement is necessary between both General Staff Offices.

"And unless this agreement is concluded, no Japanese corps forces will cross the French Indo-China border.

"General matters.

"Except for the various matters prescribed in this agreement, it is only natural that all of the 'Fundamental Matters of Agreement' signed on September 4, 1940, be effective.

"Henceforth, in order to decide the methods of executing this agreement, the two General Staff-Offices will constantly keep in touch with each other.

"September 22, 1940, in HANOI.

"Second Lieutenant NISHIHARA MajorGeneral, General Officer MARTAN."

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I offer in evidence prosecution cocument No. 4025-E.

THE PRESIDENT: I could not hear a word in French or English.

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document No. 4025-E. This is a telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo to the State Secretary, bearing date of 2 August 1940. It relates to a Japanese request to the French Government for passage of Japanese troops through North Indo-China and the establishment of two airfields in that country.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4025-E(1) will be given exhibit No. 622.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 622, and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 622.

"Telegram (Secret Cipher Process). To be kept in locked file. Tokyo, 2 August 1940. Arrival, 3 August 1940. Urgent!!

"To the State Secretary.

"Foreign Minister MATSUOKA yesterday invited me to the first political conversation, informing me that upon a talk with the departmental minister, the first

,

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

20

21

23

three Embassy requests out of its continuously collected material, which I had transmitted to him through a confident, would be complied with."

I omit the reading of the rest of that page and will begin at the middle of page 2.

"The Foreign Minister told me at the end of the conversation that he had just received the French Ambassador, asking him to obtain the consent of the French Government for the passage of Japanese troops through North Indo-China and the establishment of two airfields since an attack on the Yuman railway and Kunming was possible in no other way. He had assured the French Ambassador that Japan has no territorial ambitions in Indo-China and would later evacuate the area again. The Foreign Minister hoped that the French government would see the point of these demands so as not to put the Japanese army before the necessity of enforcing the passage by violence. The French Ambassador had promised to pass this on, pointing out that France could not decide for herself after the armistice negotiations, and that the matter will have to be referred to the Armistice Commission for a decision.

"The Foreign Minister said he would be grateful if the German Government would not object to the

2

1

5

4

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Japanese wish and would likewise influence the French Government in this sense. I promised to transmit this without committing myself. Ott."

MR. ONETO: The Tribunal's attention is called to prosecution document 4029-E, in evidence as exhibit 547, in which Foreign Minister MATSUOKA on 15 August 1940 requested the German Government to support Japanese demands on Indo-China by influencing the French Government.

I present for introduction in evidence prosecution document No. 219-R. It is a memorandum by the Under Secretary of State of the United States of America, bearing the date 20 September 1940. It relates to an ultimatum by General NISHIHARA to the French Governor General of French Indo-China. It appears in Volume I of "Papers Relating to Foreign Relations of the United States of America," Japan: 1931 - 1941, pages 877 to 881, which is in evidence as exhibit 58.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219-R will receive exhibit No. 623.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 623, and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 623.

9 5

"'Papers Relating to the Foreign Relations of the United States, Japan: 1931-1941 (in Two Volumes) Volume I (pp. 877-881).

"Interference with American Rights and Trade.

"Memorandum by the Under Secretary of State
(Welles). (Washington), September 20, 1940.

"The Japanese Ambassador called to see me
this afternoon at my request. I handed the Ambassador
the 'oral statement' which the Far Eastern Division had
prepared as a reply to the memorandum which the Ambassador had left with me on August 23."

I will omit reading down to the middle paragraph of page 2.

"I said that the Ambassador was undoubtedly aware of the information which had reached this Government that the Japanese military representative in French Indo-China, General NISHIHARA, had been instructed yesterday to present an ultimatum to the French Governor General making demands which were tantamount to a demand for complete occupation of French Indo-China, with the threat that if these demands were not accepted before ten p.m. Sunday, September 22, the Japanese military forces would at once invade Indo-China. I said the Ambassador was likewise in all probability further informed that the French Governor General had

J

refused the demands in question. I said that therefore the civilized world was confronted with a spectacle which in all probability meant that in the immediate future the Government of Japan, in addition to the acts of aggression which it had committed against the Government of China during the past nine years, especially during the past three years, was now about to commit an act of aggression on a colonial possession of the Government of France.

"I then read to the Ambassador from a memoranoum which had been prepared by the Far Eastern Division the various official utterances of Japanese statesmen and the spokesmen during the past six months in which they had repeatedly reiterated as the official position of the Japanese Government the desire of the latter to maintain the status quo in the Far East and in the course of which statements they had upon repeated occasions indicated their entire concurrence with the United States in the expressed desire of the latter that the status quo be maintained. I said that here was once more presented a flagrant case where the official announcements of the Japanese Government were completely counter to the policies and acts of its military authorities, and I concluded by saying that I was, of course, fully aware that the Japanese Ambassador himself

9

11

12

13

7

1

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

23

could be under no misapprehension as to the very serious disquiet and very open opposition which the action threatened by the Japanese Government would create in the minds of the members of the United States Government and on the part of public opinion in general in this country.

"The Japanese Ambassador at first attempted to say that all that the latest demands made by General NISHIHARA amounted to was compliance with the agreement reached on August 30 between the Vichy Government of France and the Japanese Government. I immediately stated that this obviously was not the case since the demands had been rejected by the French Governor General of Indo-China on the specific ground that they were entirely outside of the scope of the agreement of August 30. The Ambassador then said that he had not been informed of the exact terms of the ultimatum presented and that he had not been advised of the confirmation of this information which had been given to Ambassador Grew by Foreign Minister MATSUOKA the night before.

"The Ambassador said that I should bear in mind the fact that there was a very great likelihood that Japan was undertaking the occupation of French Indo-China not only as a means of expediting a conclusion

of the hostilities in China and solely as a temporary measure with no thought of a permanent occupation of the colony, but also as a means of preventing the German Government, should Germany now prove victorious in her battle with Great Britain, from occupying the French, British, and Dutch possessions in the Far East.

"To this I said that it would seem to me obvious that if the Japanese Government found it necessary, for reasons of which we were not aware, to consider taking precautionary measures as a means of preserving, rather than disrupting, the status quo in the Far East, this Government would not only have been willing, but glad, to discuss these possibilities with the Japanese Government since, as I had said before. it had repeatedly been stated by this Government as its considered policy that it would support the whole structure of international treaties and agreements covering the maintenance of the stability and the status quo in the Far East, except in so far as modifications thereto might be agreed upon through negotiation and peaceful processes. I said that I could hardly accept with any sincerity the argument that Japan was now . occupying French Indo-China solely in order to prevent Germany from undertaking such occupation.

"The Ambassador then said that this Government

25

4

1

6

8

9

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

O

18

19

21

22

only recently, as a means of insuring its own security, had obtained air and naval bases on British possessions in the Western Hemisphere.

"I said to the Ambassador that I was corry to have to say that I could imagine no parallel less well chosen than that he mentioned for the action which the Japanese Government contemplated in French Indo-China. On one side -- the Anglo-American side, we had an agreement freely entered into on a basis of give and take, and reached because of the belief of the two Governments that the bargain so consummated enhanced the security of the two nations involved; whereas on the other hand, we had a demand presented by Japan to French Indo-China stating that if the local authorities would not immediately pave the way for complete occupation of the entire territory by Japanese troops, the Japanese troops were going to walk in and take charge by force through acts of aggression. I said I could not for the moment accept any parallel between the two questions."

I will omit reading the next paragraph. Signed "S(umner) W(elles)."

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document 38 (Supplement). It is a memorandum by the United States Ambassador to Japan, bearing the date

2

5

6

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

....

20

21 22

23

24

1 20 September 1940, relating to the movement of Japanese 2 troops through Indo-China. It is taken from an official 3 publication of the United States entitled "Foreign 4 Relations, United States and Japan," 1931-1941, 5 Volume II, which is in evidence as exhibit No. 58. 6 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual -- are 7 you objecting, Mr. Cunningham? 8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I do not believe we have it, 9 your Honor. I do not find it in our files. 10 THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual 11 terms, subject to the defense being supplied with a copy. 12 My earphones are out of order and I do not 13 care to use General Cramer's. He has kindly lent me 14 his. 15 We will recess now until half past one. 16 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was 17 taken.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

## AFTERNOON SESSION

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Oneto.

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I wish to add that included in document No. 38 Supp., there is a second document No. 201-B(2) and that this document is in the hands now of the defense.

Mr. President, allow me to correct an error in interpretation. I wanted to say that the document that I presented this morning, 38 Supplementary, also bears No. 220-D(2) and under this number it has been distributed to the defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Mr. Tavenner.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 38 Supp., also known as 220-D(2) will receive exhibit No. 624.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 624 and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 624:

"Excerpt from 'Foreign Relations, United States and Japan, 1931-41, Vol. 2.

"Advances towards the Southern Area.

"Memorandum by the Ambassador in Japan (Grew)
"(TOKYO,) September 20, 1940.

"An appointment was made with Mr. MATSUOKA on the afternoon of September 20, after the Department's telegram (357, September 19, 9 p.m.) had been received and decoded, and I precisely acted upon the instructions of the Department.

"Mr. MATSUOKA in reply said that apart from some minor changes, the particulars of which were not mentioned, the reports are correct that an ultimatum had been presented to the Governor General of Indo-China by General NISHIHARA. The situation was then explained to be as follows, by the Minister:

"The French Ambassador, under instructions of the French Government, and the Japanese Government on August 30 had signed an agreement in Tokyo. Provisions of the agreement were inter alia for the movement of troops of Japan through Indo-China and the use temporarily of airports. The Governor General of Indo-China was on September 6 ready to sign an agreement which would have implemented the agreement signed in Tokyo on August 30, but he refused for reasons not evident to the authorities of Japan and obstructed the implementation of the agreement signed in Tokyo. The Japanese Government Celt obliged, although with

reluctance, to ask the French Government whether the actions of the Governor General were subject to control by the French Government, since the Governor General continued to be uncooperative, and whether on the basis of the agreement signed in Tokyo the French Government was exhibiting good faith. The French Government said that it was, and as evidently the Governor General was not acting in good faith and as the Japanese authorities were aware that to foreign Consuls stationed in Indo-China the Governor had boasted that he was using obstructive tactics, the Japanese ultimatum reported was necessarily presented.

"The purpose of the measures taken was, said the Minister, to enable the Japanese forces to attack Chiang Kai-shek and to bring peace to China. As soon as hostilities have ceased, he said, the Japanese forces would be withdrawn at once; the integrity and sovereignty of Indo-China would be accorded full respect, and as a consequence there would be no interference in East Asia with the status quo. The Minister said that he, Prince Konoye, and other Government members were representative of a minority opinion in Japan and that it was their determination that Japan should not oppress, exploit or interfere with other countries' integrity. He said that a struggle

was taking place against extreme elements within the country on this issue. My interruption at this point was to the effect that clauses pertaining to commerce and economics in Indo-China were within the scope of the agreement. No denial was made to my statement but I was assured that exploitation would not ensue.

"The terms of the agreement between France and Japan signed on August 30 were confidential, said the Minister, and their divulgence by either of the signatories would nullify them. He said he could confidentially tell me, however, that France had broached the subject first and a request was made for a renewal of guarantees pertaining to the integrity of Indo-China based on the agreement between France and Japan which was negotiated at approximately the same time as the Anglo-Japanese Alliance was concluded. He did not recall the exact date but said that the agreement was in effect and registered with the League of Nations. (This presumably is the agreement of June 10, 1907, between France and Japan.) The Minister was interrupted with my question as to what country's encroachments France desired guarantees against. MATSUOKA said that this was a moot question. He went on then to say that permission had been asked by Japan for the movement of forces across Indo-China and for

3

11

12

10

8

2

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

the use temporarily of airports as 'compensation' for complying with the French request. This information was given me in confidence, he emphasized, and its accuracy would of necessity be denied in event of publicity.

"The Minister talked lengthily, but in spite of this and his illogical reasoning the meaning of his remarks is reported to the Department with all possible precision. The Minister upon the conclusion of his remarks left at once to fulfill another engagement and I could say only that if Japanese troops moved into Indo-China my Government would regard it emphatically as an infringement of the status quo which the Japanese Government had already pledged to preserve, and that a further statement of the attitude of my Government was reserved until consideration had been given to my report.

"I presented to the Minister the substance of the Department's 355, September 18, 6 p.m., which replied to the oral statement of the Vice Minister to me of September 14, before I left.

"J(oseph) C. G(rew)."

MR. ONETO: I present for introduction in evidence prosecution document 4025-E, Part 2. It is a report of a telephone conversation between the

chairman of the French delegation to the Armistice Commission and the chairman of the German Armistice Commission which took place on 20 September 1940. is not signed but it is a captured German document.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4025-E, Part 2, will receive exhibit No. 625.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 625 and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 625:

"German Armistice Commission, WIESBADEN

"Information by telephone, 20 September

1940, 2300 hours.

"The chairman of the French Delegation to the Armistice Commission, General BOYEN, sent the following note to the Chairman of the German Armistice Commission, General VON STUELPNAGEL tonight:

"General! On orders of my government I have the honor to inform you of the following. Since the beginning of September negotiations have been under way in HANOI between the Governor General and a Japanese military delegation, regarding alleviations to be granted the Japanese troops in Indo-China for marching through. A basic agreement was reached on 5 September,

1,

 determining the details of such a passage. When the technicalities of this agreement seemed thus settled, the Japanese delegation brought forth a series of completely new demands. Under the pretext of air bases to be established, the Japanese now demand, as a matter of fact, the permanent stationing of 32,000 troops in Indo-China. The acceptance of this demand would actually amount to the military occupation of TONGKING, likewise bringing on the danger of serious reactions on the part of the population.

"Since the Japanese military authorities have in the meantime stated that they would have their troops march into TONGKING at midnight, 22 September, whatever the state of the negotiations maybe, the French government has ordered Admiral DECOUX to inform the Japanese military authorities of the desire of the French government to speed up the termination of the pending negotiations as much as possible; that, however, extension of the time-limit was necessary for factual reasons, owing to slow communications. In case the Japanese threat is carried out, Admiral DECOUX is to resist it by force, while endeavoring to localize the incident. The French Ambassador in TOKYO has been ordered to inform the Japanese government accordingly.

-

"Transmitted to Embassy Rome on 21 September 1940, 1.30 hours by Telegram No. 1319 for the information of the German Foreign Minister."

MR. ONETO: KIDO's diary was admitted in evidence for identification only as exhibit 178. I now desire to introduce in evidence prosecution document 1632-W, Part 39, the entry of 9 September 1940 from said diary.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

No. 1632-W, Part 39, will receive exhibit No. 626.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 626 and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 626:
. "Extract from Entry from Marquis KIDO's
Diary -- 9 September 1940.

"The Chief Aide-de-Camp reported that the military agreement parley, which had been making smooth progress with the Governor-General, had taken a turn for the worse since the advance of one battalion or so of our troops into French Indo-China."

MR. ONETO: I now desire to introduce in evidence prosecution document 1632-W, Part 42, which is the entry of 14 September 1940 from KIDO's diary.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

No. 1632-W, Part 42, will receive exhibit No.627.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 627 and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 627:

Diary

14 September, 1940.

"Extract from Entry from Marquis KIDO's

"14th September, 1940.

"Extract.

"At 11:00 a.m. Foreign Minister MATSUOKA met me before and after being received in audience and said that he was going to send an ultimatum to French Indo-China.

"After MATSUOKA, both of their Highnesses, the Chiefs of Staff, submitted reports to His Majesty. In this connection, I was immediately received in audience and was told by His Majesty to the effect that MATSUOKA's statement and that of the Army General Staff Hqrs. did not seem to coincide exactly, but there would be no other way except to let the government carry out its policy under the present circumstances.

On being asked my opinion, I said to His Majesty
that if we let matters continue without doing anything,
the mischief making of England and the United States
would become more and more serious and an opportunity
might be given for them to cooperate with French IndoChina and China. I replied that I should like to request
that His Majesty direct us to be careful before taking
any action, and to give his approval even though
matters stand as they do."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I would like to refer to the language section the last part of the paragraph just read, beginning on the seventh line of that paragraph. The line commences: "On being asked my opinion," and so forth. In that line, "On being asked my opinion, I said to His Majesty that" -- there should be inserted between the words, "Majesty," and "that," either the words, "I heard," or the words, "It is said to be"; and the last line of that paragraph should read, "I replied that I should like to request that His Majesty direct us to be very careful before taking any action and to give his approval to exactly what the government intends to do."

THE PRESIDENT: The matter is referred to the language section. In the meantime the prosecution

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution 1 document 837-A. It is a statement of Japanese Foreign 2 Policy, 28 September 1940, and was obtained from the 3 Japanese Foreign Ministry. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted --5 MR. BLEWETT: If your Honor please. 6 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett. MR. BLEWETT: This document so far as the 8 defense is concerned was not supplied with a certificate 9 as to arigin and there is no signature on the original 10 and I am also notified by our Japanese counsel that 11 there is no official stamp attached to the original. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted conditionally and 13 otherwise on the usual terms. 14 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 15 No. 837-A will receive exhibit No. 628. 16 (Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit 17 No. 628 was received in evidence.) 18 19 MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 628: 20 "JAPANESE FOREIGN POLICY" 21 I will omit the first four paragraphs. "VERY SECRET "Outline of Japanese Foreign Policy "(28 Sept. 1940)"

I will omit down to item IV on page two.

22

23

24

Establishment of the Greater East Asia "IV. Co-Prospericy Sphere. "(1) In the regions including French Indo-

1

3

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

China, Dutch East Indies, Strait Settlement, British Malaya, Thailand, the Philippine Islands, British Borneo and Burma, with Japan, Manchukuo and China as centre, we should construct a sphere in which politics, economy and culture of those countries and regions are combined.

"(a) French Indo-China and Dutch East Indies. We must, in the first place, endeavor to conclude a comprehensive economic agreement (including distribution of resources, trade adjustment in and out of the Co-Prosperity Sphere, currency and exchange agreement, etc.), while planning such political coalitions as the recognition of independence, conclusion of mutual assistance pact, etc.

Thailand. We should strive to strengthen "(b) the mutual assistance and coalition in political, economic, and military affairs."

I omit reading down to the end of "(e)" on 22 page four.

"Very Secret

"Tentative Plan for Policy towards the South-24 25 ern Regions

"October 4, 1940.

"Although the objective of Japan's penetration into the Southern regions cover, in its first stage, the whole area to the west of Hawaii excluding for the time being the Philippines and Guam; French Indo-China, the Dutch East Indies, British Burma and the Strait Settlements are the areas where we should first control. Then, we should gradually advance into the other areas. However, depending upon the attitude of the United States Government, the Philippines and Guam will be included.

- "(1) French Indo-China
- "(a) We should maneuver an uprising of an independence movement, and should cause France to renounce its sovereign right. Should we manage to reach an understanding with CHIANG Kai-shek, the TONGKING area will be managed by his troops, if military power is needed. However, Japanese military advisers should be appointed to the positions which cannot be ignored by the operations section. According to circumstances, we should let the army of Thailand manage the area of CAMBODIA.
- "(b) The foregoing measures must be executed immediately after a truce has been concluded with CHIANG Kai-shek. If we do not succeed in our move with

1 CHIANG Kai-shek, these measures should be carried out upon the accomplishment of the adjustment of the battle line in China. However, in case the German military 4 operations to land on the British mainland, which is to be mentioned later, takes place, it may be necessary 6 to carry out our move towards French Indo-China and Thailand regardless of our plans for CHIANG Kai-shek. (This is to be decided according to the liaison with Germany.)

10

13

18

24

25

"(c) If military and economic alliances are concluded between Japan and China, and Japan and Thailand; the TONGKING area shall be subjected to China, the CAMBODIA area to Thailand, and the other areas shall be independent. Between Japan and these areas, a protective treaty under the title of military and economic alliance shall be concluded so that we can hold the real power. However, steps shall be devised to enable Japan to hold strategic points in every area.

"(d) In the new independent States, the right 19 of enterprise for the nationals of the third countries with which we are at peace will be recognized. But, they will have to follow the Government's instructions in developing important resources, and in disposing of the products."

I will omit reading down to the item "(b)" on

page six.

"(b) We should conclude a military alliance with Thailand, and use Thailand as a rear base. However, in order to delay her in making preparations, it is well to pretend that the diplomatic relations between Japan and Thailand are not secure until we start military action. (In case we consider that the military alliance cannot be kept in strict secrecy because of the internal affairs of Thailand, there is room for consideration that we should set up a secret committee based on the non-aggression treaty between Japan and Thailand to enable us to enter into a military alliance as soon as we start military action.)"

I omit reading down to item "(e) on page seven.

"(e) Following the case of French Indo-China, the former territory of Thailand shall be returned to Thailand and the other regions shall be made protectorates. But the Strait Settlements must be placed under the direct rule of Japan.

"(f) In the newly established independent countries the enterprise rights of the nationals of the third countries with which we are at peace shall follow the case in French Indo-China."

I omit reading down to the item "(d)" on page nine.

"(d) The enterprise rights of the nationals of the third countries with which we are at peace shall follow the case in French Indo-China."

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I would like to call the attention of the Court to the fact that the prosecution omitted on page two to show that this was an outline of the plan with regard to Japanese foreign policy and it was not the policy of Japan itself; and furthermore, it does not appear whose plan this is.

THE PRESIDENT: They have omitted many material matters, apparently because they are going to be presented by the prosecutors. That certainly does not consider our convenience.

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I selected only the most important passages, but I am at the disposition of the Court if it wishes to hear it in its entirety.

THE PRESIDENT: Present your case as you have prepared it.

21

1

2

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document No. 4025-E, Part 5. This is a memorandum by Weizsaecher, dated Berlin, January 23, 1941, a copy of which was distributed to the Foreign Minister. It relates to a conversation Weizsaecher had on that date with Ambassador KURUSU, who gave Weizsaecker the background for the Japanese desire for Germany to influence Vichy.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Furness.

MR. FURNESS: In this case, if your Honor please, there is no certificate of origin. The only certificate is one of translation.

I withdraw the objection, sir. A loose certificate was delivered and was not attached to my copy.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4025-E, Part 5, will receive exhibit No. 629.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 629 and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 629: "Berlin, 23 January 1941

"Today the Japanese Ambassador mentioned to me the conversation between Ambassador Ott and the

Japanese Vice Foreign Minister re Indo-China. "(Tokyo Telegram Report No. 85). 2 "KURUSU gave me the background to the 3 Japanese desire for Germany's influencing of 4 /Einflussnahme/ Vichy as follows: "A Japanese advance southward in the 6 direction of Singapore was unthinkable without using 7 the land bridge of the Malacca peninsula. For this 8 Japan has to pass through both Indo-China and Siamese territory. Therefore, any British influencing 10 /Einflussnahme/ in Siam must be prevented." 11 I will not read the remainder of the 12 13 telegram, signed "Weizsaecker." MR. ONETO: I present document 4012 for 14 15 introduction in evidence. This is a telegram from 16 Vichy to the Secretary of State at Washington, dated 17 January 28, 1941, and signed "Leahy," regarding the 18 refusal by the Germans for the removal of any troops 19 to Indo-China. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 21 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 22 No. 4012 will receive exhibit No. 630. 23 (Whereupon, the document above 24 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 630 and was received in evidence.)

Goldberg & Spratt

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit No. 630. (Reading): "Telegram Received.

"This telegram must be closely paraphrased before being communicated to anyone. (br)

"FROM "(Paris) Vichy. Dated January 28, 1941
"Received 6:35 p. m.

"Secretary of State, Washington.

"117, January 28, 5 p. m.

"Admiral Platon, Minister of Colonies told
me today that the Germans have now refused the removal
of any troops to Indochina. He said that this includes
not only the Senegalese battalions at Djibouti for
which the English had previously refused permission
but also the transfer of any troops from Dakar to that
area. In other words, he said, the Germans definitely
do not wish to see French defenses in Indochina strengthened." (Signed) "Leahy".

MR. ONETO: The Tribunal's attention is invited to prosecution's document No. 4032-B, which is in evidence as exhibit No. 562. This document is a telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo to the Reich Foreign Minister, 31 January 1941. It is stated in this telegram that activistic circles in Japan demand preventive attack on Singapore as the key position in the West Pacific Ocean.

MR. ONETO: It was the conclusion of the military specialists of the German Embassy in Tokyo that the chances of success of such an attack are favorable, that it will have to be carried out in steps by occupying Saigon and landing on the Malayan Peninsula; and it was suggested that the role of intermediary between Thailand and Indo-China gives Japan the opportunity to establish herself in Saigon militarily as the first politically unimpeachable step towards the action against Singapore.

The Tribunal's attention is called to prosecution document No. 4037-A which is in evidence as exhibit 564.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Court please, for a minute I didn't know what was being read, but we object to the testimony of the counsel. It seems that he is not reading from any document that is in evidence but merely giving additional information concerning one.

THE PRESIDENT: The point of the document is already before us. I think it was put by Mr. Tavenner.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President, I would like to enter an objection that it is all right to point out to the Court the document that is in evidence, but I believe counsel is overstepping the line and is

arguing to the Court in that proper part of the proceedings that was can be read from the record. That will show argument should be stricken, and we move it be stricken from the record, the argument at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, each nation is entitled to put its case separately and to put it clearly; and that involves the right to describe these documents so far as description is necessary, although the documents have already been described for the purposes of other sections of the prosecution.

MR. BROOKS: If the President please, I would like to refer it to the Court for a reading of that portion of the proceedings of the record and to see if he did not overstep the bounds of description and did not enter into argument on that phase; and if argument is found, ask that the Court take action as it sees fit.

THE PRESIDENT: Each defendant putting his case may claim that same right later.

MR. ONETO: I am speaking of the document in evidence as exhibit 564. This document is a telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo dated 6 February 1941. The document shows that at that time the Japanese Government intended to obligate France and

Thailand by a secret agreement during the negotiations concerning the settlement of the boundary dispute of France and Thailand to make no political or military agreement with a third power which was a part of the strategic plan which finally culminated in the use of French Indo-China and Thailand as a springboard for the launching of the attack against Singapore.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please, I would like to object for the reason that the counsel is placing his interpretation upon these documents instead of reading pertinent material, and his introduction of the documents is certainly more than a mere description of what he expects to prove by it.

THE PRESIDENT: I think his reference to the culmination of events does exceed description and enters upon argument.

Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I object to the description that counsel used because I think the words he used describing these documents import more than what the documents themselves say. In other words, he is talking about a springboard here. I don't think you will find anything like that in any of the documents to which he is referring. The same

\* 4 4 2

18

.17

1

6

9

10

.11

. 12

13

14

15

16

. 70

19

22

21

thing happened yesterday when he was describing five men who were involved in this phase. He said they were in power in Japan at the time. It is the descriptive adjectives which this counsel uses to which we object.

THE PRESIDENT: I think he may show the drift of the documents, but he should avoid argument. I do not think he has offended seriously so far.

MR. ONETO (Speaking in French.)

THE FRENCH INTERPRETER: This has already been translated.

MR. ONETO: I repeat: -- which was a part of the strategic plan which finally culminated in the use of French Indo-China and Thailand as a springboard for the launching of the attack against Singapore.

THE FRENCH INTERPRETER: Mr. Oneto had not actually spoken those words before this time.

MR. ONETO: Prosecution document No. 4037-F
is now offered in evidence. This document consists of
two telegrams. The first telegram is dated 9 February
1941 and is signed by the German Ambassador in Tokyo.
This telegram was sent to Tokyo. The names of the
persons to whom these messages were addressed do not
appear; however, each of the telegrams was found in
the files of the German Foreign Office.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 1 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 2 No. 4037-F will receive exhibit No. 631. 3 (Whereupon the above-mentioned document 4 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 631 and 5 received in evidence.) 6 MR. TAVENNE': I will read exhibit No. 631. 7 (Reading): 8 "TELEGRAM (Secret Cipher Process) 9 "Tokyo, 9 February 1941 10 7:50 ofclock "Arrived: 9 February 18:00 o'clock 11 "No. 192 of 9 February 1941 MOST URGENT ! 12 "SECRET ! 13 "In answer to telegram No. 123 of the 3rd. 14 "The Vice Foreign Minister personally 15 handed to me a memorandum in the following content: 16 "1. Japan is honestly willing to support 17 Germany in the procurement of rubber and other raw 18 materials from the South-East Asian Sphere. 19 20 "2. The Japanese Government has taken notice of the fact that Germany has concluded a contract for 21 the purchase of over 25,000 tons of raw rubber from 22 23 Indo-China and prepares the transport of this amount 24 from Indo-China to Dairon. 25 "3. Instruction requested as to what the

.

total amount of raw rubber is, which Germany (aside from Indo-China rubber) still wants from South East Asia this year.

port of raw rubber from the Malayan States and the Netherlands Indies to Japan will be made difficult, Japan will only be able to cover its own most necessary requirements with difficulty there. In consideration of this circumstance it will be necessary to reach a compromise between the amount of raw rubber desired by Germany and the amount actually able to be delivered. The negotiations concerning this /are to be made/ exclusively through diplomatic channels, not, however, as has happened several times until now, through military authorities.

"5. The Japanese Government requests information as soon as possible as to what raw materials
(kind and amount) besides rubber, Germany wishes to
obtain from the South East Asian areas still in this
year.

"The commercial execution of the business will be taken over by Showa TSUSHU (compare Report No. 220 of 2 August 1939 and following /ones/). As representatives of the Hamburg exporters in question, NIGGEMANN, Dairen, shall for the Germans, together

D

with SHOWA undertake the internal winding up. For this purpose I have requested NIGGEMANN by telegram to come here at once. I request that he be given the necessary instructions via the Embassy.

Signed "OTT"

"Berlin, 17 February 1941

"Diplogerma TOKYO

"No. 179

"TELEGRAM IN CIPHER

"(Secret Cipher Process)

"(Secret Appendix to Secret Matters b)

"URGENT !

"To telegram No. 175 of 6 February.

Government that the German Government welcomes the Japanese intention that Thailand and France reach no political or military agreements whatsoever with a third power, whereby this obligation, as far as it concerns France, was to apply only to Indo-China. I request that you add that the armistice treaty, of course, applies to France with all her possessions, colonies, protectorates, so that we remain interested, if only for this reason, in the further development of affairs in Indo-China and therefore were awaiting current information on affairs in Indo-China."

MR. ONETO: I now invite the Tribunal's attention to prosecution's document No. 4037-C which is in evidence as exhibit 565. It was a telegram from the German /mbassador in Tokyo to the Reich Minister personally dated 17 February 1941, in which it is pointed out that the Japanese Government was then working on a proposed compromise settlement in the Thailand-Indo-China negotiations with respect to which Japan would presently request the German Government to influence the Vichy Regime to accept.

Prosecution's document 531-A is now offered in evidence. This is an excerpt from the record concerning the discussion of the German Foreign Minister with the Ambassador OSHIMA in Fusckl on 23 February 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 531, to wit, extracts from a record of a conference
between Ribbentrop and General OSHIMA is given exhibit

No. 632 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the above-mentioned document was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 632 for identification only.)

No. 531-A, an axcerpt therefrom, is given exhibit No.

632-A.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

25

("hereupon, the above-mentioned document was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 632-A and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 632-A.

(Reading): "Top Secret: Excerpt from the Record Concerning the Discussion of the German Foreign Minister with Ambassador OSHIMA in Fuschl on 23 February 1941.

"The friendship of Japan, so continued the Foreign Minister of the Reich, had rendered for Germany the possibility to arm, after the conclusion of the Anti-Comintern Pact. Japan had, on her part, been able to penetrate deeply into the English sphere of interests in China. The victory of Germany on the continent now brings to Japan also great advantages after the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact. France is eliminated from the position of a power in East Asia (Indo-China), England is likewise greatly weakened already, and Japan has been able to advance closer and closer to Singapore."

I will not read the remaining part.

MR. ONETO: I now offer in evidence prosecution's document 800-A which consists of two letters each dated 11 March 1941. The first letter is

addressed to His Excellency Charles Arsene Henry, 1 French Ambassador, by Foreign Minister MATSUOKA; the second letter is addressed to Foreign Minister 3 MATSUOKA in which the Ambassador acknowledges receipt 4 of the first-mentioned letter. These letters relate 5 to the proposed mediation plan for the Thailand --French Indo-China dispute. MR. FURNESS: Here again, if the Court please, 8 there is no certificate of origin. 9 10 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted conditionally and 11 otherwise on the usual terms. 12 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 13 No. 800-A will receive exhibit No. 633. 14 (Whereupon, the above-mentioned document 15 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 633 and 16 received in evidence. 17 MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 633. 18 (Reading): 19 "Exchange of letters between Foreign Minister 20 MATSUOKA and Ambassador HENRY (Re: Proposal of Med-21 iation Plan for the Thailand-French Indo China 22 Dispute) 23 "11 March 1941 24

"His Excellency Charles Arsene MENRY

"French Ambassador Plenipotentiary

"Dear Sir:

"It is an honor for me to inform you that the Imperial government attaches extreme importance to the maintenance of peace in Greater East Asia. According to the agreement of 30 August 1940, special relationship between Japan and French Indo-China has been agreed upon. It is with anxiety that the Imperial government observes the development of the dispute to which French Indo-China is a party.

"In view of the fact that peace must be preserved in Greater East Asia and remembering our peaceful and friendly intentions upon which we concluded the above treaty, the Imperial government purposes mediation to the governments of France and Siam in order to end the dispute between French Indo-China and Siam. The Imperial government has drafted a mediation proposal as per enclosure, to which we expect the French government to accept unconditionally. If the French government accepts this proposal, the Imperial government is prepared to guarantee the French government that the decision of the dispute in the mediation proposal will be final and unalterable.

"On the other hand, the Imperial government believes that the French government will pro-

fess to maintain peace in Greater East Asia; especially to establish good neighbor friendly relationship and also to promote close economic relationship between Japan and French Indo-China. The Imperial government also believes that the French government will proclaim not to conclude any treaty or agreement between French Indo-China and a third power that will presuppose the nature of political, economic or military cooperation to oppose Japan directly or indirectly.

"It is comprehended that the aforementioned guarantee of the Imperial government and declaration of the French government should be confirmed by a formal note at a later time when the treaty to settle the dispute between France and Siam is concluded.

"I, Foreign Minister, avail myself of this opportunity to renew to Your Excellency the assurance of my highest consideration.

"Yours respectfully,

"Foreign Minister Yosuke MATSUOKA"

On page 2.

"To His Excellency

"Yosuke MATSUOKA, Minister of Foreign Affairs

"Tokyo, 11 March 1941

"No. 21

"By a letter of even date, Your Excellency has informed me of the following:

"The Imperial Government, attaching the highest importance to the preservation of peace in Great East Asia, has witnessed with anxiety, the development of a conflict involving French Indo-China with which it maintains particularly close relations which were further tightened by the agreement of 30 August 1940.

"Looking toward the preservation of peace in Great East Asia and taking its inspiration from the same peaceful and friendly spirit which presided over the establishment of the above-mentioned agreement, the Imperial Government offered its good offices to the Governments of France and Thailand so as to put an end to the conflict between French Indo-China and Thailand. Therefore, the Imperial Government offers, for unconditional acceptance by the French Government the plan of mediation annexed hereto. In the case of an affirmative answer, the Imperial Government will be prepared to guarantee to the French Government the final and irrevocable character of the settlement achieved by such plan.

"Besides, the Imperial Government is confident that the French Government will work towards 1 2

4 5

the preservation of peace in Creat East Asia, and in particular towards the establishment of friendly good-neighborly relations and towards the progress of close economic relations between Japan and French Indo-China, and that it will declare to the Imperial Government that France, in regard to French Indo-China, does not intend to enter with a third power into any agreement or entente providing for political, economic or military cooperation or indirectly opposed to Japan.

"It is understood that the above-mentioned guarantee of the Imperial Government and statement
of the French Government will be confirmed later
on in a solemn document at the time of the establishment of the Treaty on the settlement of the conflict between France and Thailand.

"I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of this communication and to inform Your Excellency that the French Government, although neither the local situation, nor the fortunes of war compel it to renounce the benefit of treaties freely negotiated and included with the Government of Thailand, is prepared to yield to the insistences of the Japanese Government. By its acceptance of the plan of mediation annexed hereto, the French Government,

which has constantly proved mindful of the preser-1 vation of peace in East Asia and never entered upon 2 any course of action that might disturb such peace. 3 affords new proof of its fidelity to the spirit that 4 inspired the agreement of 30 August 1940. In this 5 6 same spirit and desirous, moreover, of avoiding all engagements of such a nature as to involve its Far 7 Eastern possessions into a conflict between third 8 powers, it declares that it does not intend, in re-9 gard to Indo-China, to enter with a third power upon 10 11 any agreement or entente providing for political. 12 economic or military cooperation directly or indirectly 13 opposed to Japan. It anticipates, moreover, that the 14 Japanese Government will be anxious to assure the 15 strict observance of the agreement of 30 August 16 1940 and of the subsequent military arrangements. 17 "Please accept, Monsieur le Ministre, the 18 assurances of my highest esteem. 19 "Charles Arsene Henry 20 "Ambassador Plenipotentiary of France" 21 THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for 22 fifteen minutes. 23

24

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1505, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Oneto.

MR. ONETO: The attention of the Tribunal is invited to prosecution document No.4038-A, which is in evidence as exhibit No. 566. This document is a telegram from BOLTZE an official in the German Embassy in Tokyo and is dated 12th March 1941, and refers to the visit of the Foreign Vice Minister OHASHI, setting forth that the Japanese Vice Minister OHASHI had asked the German Representative in Tokyo to convey to the Heich Foreign Minister, the gratitude of the Japanese Government for the valuable and effective support they had received from Germany in the mediation of the dispute between Thailand and French Indo-China.

The attention of the Tribunal is also invited to prosecution document No. 907, which is a basic document and is in evidence as exhibit No. 47. It is a copy of the Peace Agreement between France and Thailand, dated May 9, 1941.

Prosecution document No. 653 A is presented for introduction in evidence. This is a Memorandum dated 10 May 1941 from the Chief of Staff of the French Inco-China Expeditionary Force to the Vice

A b 2 r a 3

& 4 M 5

m

o 6 r s 7 e

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

Minister of War, the accused KIMURA, Heitaro. It is related to the actions of a French Indo-Chinese official in relation to the injury of a Japanese military employee by a French Indo-Chinese soldier, 10 May 1941. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. Mr. Logan. MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal will note our objection, there is no certificate attached to this document either. THE PARSIDENT: Well, any document without a certificate will be admitted conditionally. I need not repeat that. That will be one of the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 653 A will receive exhibit No. 634. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 634 and received in evidence.)

21 22

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

23

MR. TAV

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 634.

"Indo-China --

THE PRESIDENT: Omit the heading; we already have it. I said, omit the heading; we have it already.

MR. TAVENNER: (Reading)

" CHO, Isamu, Chief of Staff of the French Indo-China Expeditionary Force.

"To: Vice Minister of War, KIMURA, Heitaro.

"We shall inform you as follows our secret

agent's report (accuracy A) on the aforementioned subject:

military employee by a French Indo-Chinese soldier in an Annamese house of prostitution, Citadel Street, Hanoi, on the night of the 24 April caused a great shock to the French Indo-China authorities. At present there exist both a pessimistic and an optimistic view among the official concerningthis matter. But the majority of them possess pessimistic views and generally look upon this affair as a regretful event that would bring about a grave crisis in the friendly relations between Japan and French Indo-China.

"They are possessed with the credulous thought that this affair might serve as a fuse giving possibility of opening hostilities between the two countries,

or the presentation of grave demands by the Japanese to French Indo-China. They are in a state of panic and are giving sincere efforts to bring about an amicable settlement of this affair and at the same time fear the retaliatory actions of the Japanese. They strictly forbid the French in general, notably the military service men, to visit the Japanese streets and areas where the Japanese frequent, especially near the houses of prostitution and liquor serving places. In this way, they are only endeavoring to avoid unforeseen accidents in the future. The state of affairs is as follows:

"Actions of the French Indo-China authorities, according to the views taken by the French Indo-China authorities:

## "(1) Pessimistic views

22-

"They consider this affair as a fuse with probabilities of inciting a war between Japan and French Indo-China, judging from the example which gave cause to the outbreak of the China Incident, incurred through the kidnap and murder of a Japanese soldier by the Chinese Army near the Merco Polo Bridge in July (SHOWA 12) 1937.

"On the other hand, anticipating that the Japanese Army might demand expansion of the rights of self-defense, using this affair as a pretense, and with

3

5

8

7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

25

such wording as 'necessary for the self-defense of the army', or to make a demand to increase additional forces, they are racking their brains to find means of establishing counter-measures.

"In order to prevent aggravation of the situation they have adopted policies in which they are ready to give sacrifice, to some extent, for an amicable peace settlement of the affair. They are trying to moderate the firm attitude of the Japanese by resorting to policies of .coouetry, such as the arrest of the offender, his strict punishment, the condoling of the victim and the consolation and favours to be extended to the bereaved family. Thus, they are trying to lead the affair to a friendly settlement. Anticipating that the Japanese side will take retaliatory measures, the Bureau of Administrative Affairs of the Governor General's Headquarters gave orders to the Hanoi Detective Bureau to compile and submit a list of the houses of prostitution and bars "hich the Japanese frequent, directly after the said incident. At the same time they strictly forbade the French, in general, especially military men, to enter the zones where the Japanese gather and places near the houses of prostitution, and thereby avoid unforeseen occurrences.

"(2) Optimistic Views:

"There are some among the French who point

out and refer to the changed international situation since the previous year, especially on the recent increase of crises in the Far East, emphasizing the aggravation of /merican-Japanese relations.

"They maintain that as the Japanese Army is now in a position where it is being forced to reorganize its troops in preparation against the extreme aggravated situation prevailing in the relations between Japan and America, it is impossible for the Japanese to carry out positive military policy in French Indo-China availing itself of the said incident. They advocate the optimistic view that it would be very easily settled on friendly terms.

"The views maintained by the Army regarding the above:

"The views maintained by the French Indo-China authorities are as above-mentioned and they are extremely in fear of the expansion of this incident and the action of the Japanese Army on the right of self-defense.

"Since the actual state of affairs is one in which they are desirous of having an amicable settlement, regardless of the cost of compensation, we think that it is only fair for us to demand the investiture of power from the French Indo-China authorities, as the

4 5

.

following, with a firm attitude and a definite purpose:

"1. The increase and strengthening of the rights of stationing troops. I espite the fact that the number in military force amounts to 6,000, according to the current Japanese-French agreement, the Japanese Army shall station the required additional number of forces and expand the area of stationing troops to the whole of Tongking if found necessary for the solution of the Sino-Japanese conflict.

"2. The enlargement of the area of action of the Japanese Army. The Japanese Army will be given the right to act freely within the Province of Tongking when required from the point of military operation and educational training. Desrite the fact that at present the Japanese civilian populace, excepting the military men, are permitted to travel in Chuong Binh and Lang-son areas, which are considered most important from the standpoint of military official duties, the military men are forbidden to travel. Moreover, when a small unit is to engage in manoeuvres, negotiations must be done beforehend with the French Indo-Chinese authorities. The situation is that permission by the Governor-General is required, with condition that a French military officer be allowed to study and observe the manoeuvre. In manoeuvres where many secret matters

2

1

4

0

0

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

are involved we had to suffer special disadvantages.

Japanese Army barracks the Annamese primary schools are chiefly provided, which offers but narrow and unsanitary places. They intend to gain two advantages, - killing two birds with one stone that is, of alienating Japan from Annam and that of distressing the Japanese Army. Furthermore, they have set up a new police substation near the officers' billet to keep eyes on the movements of the officers. They are also secretly oppressing the Annamese, who show favours to the Japanese Army, and in this way they are trying to force out pro-Japanese tendencies. They are by no means friendly.

"It is necessary that they provide appropriate buildings that could be used for headquarters and barracks of the Japanese Army.

"4. Freedom of travel in French Indo-China.

"At present we have to file an application to the Governor-General requiring his permission, and even if we receive permission, they would always attach a Frenchman to go with us, thus restricting our freedom of actions. It is necessary that we acquire the rights of freedom to trivel; like the French-Indonese, except in zones where no trespassing is allowed because of

military reasons. 3

use of them.

"5. We demand the free use of sirfields. Desp: the fact that the Army is installing new facilities such as runways, etc. at the Haiphorg airfield now being used by the Japanese troops, the amount in rent charges demanded by the French Indo-China authorities amounts to ¥35,000 annually. When we add the rent charges of the Gia-lam Airfield the amount rises to a considerable sum. On this occasion we deman free

" Report: NAMI Group.

"Reference: To the Vice-Chief of the General. Staff; Vice-Minister of War."

14

10

11

12

13

0

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

Mk. ONETO: The Tribunal's attention is now invited to prosecution document No. 4061 A, which is in evidence as exhibit No. 586. This is a secret memorandum from WOERMANN to the Reich Foreign Minister, dated the 10th June 1941, setting forth that Ambassador OSHIMA wanted to see the Reich Foreign Minister and to discuss with him among other things the desire of the Japanese Army to obtain bases for the fleet and the Navy in French Indo-China.

I now offer in evidence prosecution document No. 4081 E, which is a telegram dated 21 June 1941. This telegram is from the Ambassador in Tokyo to the German Foreign Minister, concerning a conversation the German Ambassador had with MATSUOKA on the latter's invitation.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4081 E will receive exhibit No. 635.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 635 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 635: "Telegram (Secret Cipher Process). To be kept in locked file. Tokyo, 21 June 1941.

1030 hours. Arrival, 21 June 1941, 2015 hours. Most

€ .

urgent. For German Foreign Minister.

"MATSUOKA, who had invited me, told me the following information during a long conversation:

"1). Renewed negotiations between Japan and the Netherlands Indies is not to be expected. Existing tension unbearable in the long run. For proceeding against the Netherlands East Indies, air and naval bases must be set up in French Indo-China. Hence he had asked through Ambassador OSHIMA whether French consent to this could be obtained by Berlin, otherwise he would take up the matter with VICHY directly."

I will not read the remainder of the telegram.

NA. ONETO: I now produce prosecution

document No. 4062 A for introduction in evidence.

This document is a telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo, dated 3 July 1941, to the German Foreign

Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4062 A will receive exhibit No. 636.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 636 and received in evidence.)

Barton & Duda

MR. TAVENNER: I will read the exhibit.

"Telegram (Secret Cipher process). To be kept in locked file. Tokyo, 3 July 1941. Arrival, 3 July 1941. No. 1102 of 2 July 1941.

"For German Foreign Minister TELKO, 3 July '41. Following telegram of 2nd, No. 1101.

"Note: Sent on to special train under No. 2131.

"Text of declaration of Japanese Foreign

Minister follows: Oral statement. Strictly confidential. (Translation).

"Please convey the following to His Excellency
Herr von Ribbentrop:

"I have duly noted your Excellency's request made through Ambassador General Ott in Tokyo and Ambassador General OSHIMA in Berlin. I have taken particular care in studying the views set forth by your Excellency in approaching the Japanese Government with the request."

I will omit reading the next paragraph.

"At the same time I beg to state that the Japanese Government have decided to secure points d'appui in French Indo-China which will enable Japan further to strengthen her pressure upon Great Britain and the United States. In this connection I would like to draw your Excellency's attention to the fact that Japan has been keeping constant vigil in the Pacific,

including the southwestern ocean with a view to restrain-1 ing these two powers and will continue the efforts and 2 even intensify them, if necessary." 3 I omit reading the rest of the telegram. 4 "Signed OTT." MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution 6 document No. 1077, which is a report of the proceedings of the Privy Council concerning the ratification of 8 the Franco-Japanese Protocol on Guarantee and Political Understanding, and of the Thai-Japanese Protocol on 10 Guarant and Political Understanding. 11 12 this was held on 3 July 1941. 13 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 14 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 15 No. 1077 will receive exhibit No. 637. 16 (Whereupon, the document above 17 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 18 No. 637, and was received in evidence.) THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks. MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, I would like to call to the Court's attention, on exhibit 636, that there is an afficavit attached as to the origin of the document.

I submit to the Court's attention, paragraph 4

of that affidavit, that "the document to which this

24

19

20

21

22

23

afficavit is attached is a true and correct photostatic copy of an original German Foreign Office document which was captured from said German Foreign Office, filed in archives, and which came to my possession and custody and under my control in the manner above set forth."

I would submit for the Court's consideration, first, that the affidavit is not attached, as stated here, to the original document. There is no attachment. And second, that in lieu of that, there is not sufficient showing of the origin of this document or tying in between the affidavit and the document or the substance referred to as being put in evidence.

I submit that for the Court's consideration, as to what action should be taken as to the type of certificate of origin, whether there should not be a tying in of the affidavit with that by mentioning, by the signature on that affidavit, of the document in the affidavit, itself, rather than just by attachment. In several instances there is actually no attachment or any evidence that there has been attachment of the affidavit to the document which it tends to declare the origin thereof.

THE PRESIDENT: Let me see it, Mr. Mantz.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the

President.)

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we have the affidavit, and we have not on the exhibit attached, or the next two attached, anything connecting it with the affidavit; that is quite true, and that is not in order. The Court may be satisfied with its source and authenticity, nevertheless, or its origin, I should say. I will refer that to the Members of the Court. It is certainly not in order.

It will be received conditionally.

MR. BROOKS: The last two documents are that way; and it is not charging any intent on the part of the prosecution to do anything wrong, but there is a possibility for, inadvertently. a mistake or an error to be made in documents of that type.

THE PRESIDENT: Of course, there may be enough in the affidavit and in the document to place the identity of the document beyond question. We will have to examine that.

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 637.

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

"Proceedings of the Frivy Council, 3 July, Showa 16 (1941)

"The Ratification of the Franco-Japanese Protocol, on Guarantee and Political Understanding, and of the Thai-Japanese Protocol on Guarantee and Political Understanding.

"Of the two protocols, the first states in its preamble, that the Japanese and French Covernments, desireing to maintain peace in East Asia. recollecting the peaceful and friendly spirit which led to the establishment of an agreement through official documents exchanged on August 30 of last year between Foreign Minister MATSUOKA and French Ambassador HENRI, and prompted by the sincere desire to uphold this spirit, desire to secure the stabilization of the friendly relations which have been restored between France and Thailand. In its body the protocol provides:

"(1) That the Imperial Japanese government guarantees to the French government that the settlement of the dispute between France and Thailand resulting from Japan's mediation and as embodied in the aforementioned peace treaty and in the annexed documents is definite and unalterable;

"(2) That the French government accepts

the above guarantee, and will strive to maintain peace in East Asia, and especially to establish good neighborly, friendly relations and to promote intimate economic relations between Japan and French Indo-China; that furthermore, the French government declares that it has no intention to conclude with third powers any agreement or understanding concerning French Indo-China which anticipates political, economic, or military cooperation of a nature which directly or indirectly opposes Japan. In addition, the protocol fixes the ratification procedure and the date of the enforcement of the protocol.

"The contents of the second protocol are
the same as those of the first protocol, except
that it cites in its preamble the treaty concluded
on 12 June last year between Japan and Thailand
concerning the continuation of friendly relations
and mutual respect of each other's territorial
integrity, and places no special regional restriction
on the arrangements with Third Powers, in the declaration contained in the second paragraph of the body
of the protocol.

"It seems that these various arrangements aim to secure the fulfilment of the stipulations of the peace treaty which had been concluded as the

result of the settlement of the dispute between
France and Thailand through the Japanese Imperial
Government's mediation and also to promote good
neighborly, friendly relations between Japan and
French Indo-China, and between Japan and Thailand.
Inasmuch as these instruments will maintain the peace
of East Asia and contribute to Japan's establishment
of the New Order, their objects may be regarded as
proper, and the clauses contained therein deemed
to involve no special difficulties. Hence the
Judging Committee has unanimously decided that
each of the items of the bills under review be
either approved or ratified as drafted without any
amendment. We hereby report our findings.

"No. 10. (MATSUOKA): I have something to say here as the responsible minister concerning this subject. The subject should have been laid before the plenary session, of the 18th of last month, but was postponed for the convenience of the session. Subsequently, however, in view of the fact that we learned through the reports of our Ambassader to France and also the representations of the Vichy Government during the course of the treaty negotiations, that the Vichy Government held many opposing views and the Treaty was signed

almost solely on the responsibility of Delegate
Roban /?/ I came to entertain the fear that the
French Covernment might not ratify the subject
treaty and also the Economic Agreement between
Japan and French Indo-China. Accordingly, I
requerted a further postponement of the decision
on this item. However, having received from our
Ambassador to France at the end of last month that
the French government had finally decided to ratify
the two treaties, I decided to submit them for
your deliberations here. I trust that you would
appreciate the circumstances responsible for the
postponement.

"No. 22 (ISHII): Once, on the occasion of the deliberation for the ratification of the Soviet-Japanese Neutrality Treaty, I asserted that the conclusion of that treaty was not only a success of Japanese diplomacy, but also a great victory. Probably the word 'Success' was not sufficient to show the whole state of affairs. I called it a 'victory', because at that time there was an intense secret strife between Japan on the one side and Britain and America on the side centering around the Soviet Union. And yet Foreign Minister MATSUOKA successfully overthrew Chamberlain and

Roosevelt and concluded a treaty with the Soviet Union. The same applies to the various items now under consideration. It is the victory of our diplomacy that through the success of our mediation in the dispute between Thailand and France, we have caused a peace treaty to be concluded between them. That is, Britain, looking upon Theiland as if it were its own sphere of influence, had carried on secret activities in various directions under the leadership of Minister Crosby, who had been a resident of Thailand for the past twenty years, and had schemed to settle the dispute between the two countries to its own advantage when the oprortunity presented itself. It is very gratifying that Japan availed herself of the opportunity and finally succeeded in placing Britain in the shade. Well, it has been advocated by our government and people in every way that our country is the stabilizing force of East Asia, but now in the opportunity that has presented itself for the first time we have been able to truly prove our position. Especially the point which I welcome with satisfaction is the fact that our country's right to mediate, and hence our position of leadership, has been acknowledged by the provisions of Article 10 of the Franco-

0

18 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

24

Thai Peace Treaty, which provisions are, moreover, permanently effective since the treaty is valid for an indefinite period of time. Probably, this adventage is more valuable than any other adventages to be derived from both of Thailand and France. If our country had obtained the cession of a distict or a province, it would no doubt become the cause of enmity toward us in the future, but the disposition made by our country at this time has all the more enhanced the reputation of our country by an unselfish, fair act, seeking nothing whatever for ourselves.

"It should be called the fruits of our so-called Imperial Way diplomacy. From these points of view, I wish to express my mespect and congratulations to Foreign Minister MATSUOKA and the other members of the present Cabinet."

"No. 26 (SHIMIZU): Recently several countries of Europe have recognized the National Government at Nanking, but what is the attitude of Thailand towards that government?

"No. 10 (MATSUCKA): On the one hand, from
the standpoint that Thailand is farther from Nanking
than from Chunking, she is in a delicate situation;
and on the other hand, even though Japan's mediation
has proved successful, British influence in Thailand
remains unshakable. Therefore, it is very difficult
to except Thailand to recognize the Nanking Government.
When our country's political and economic power has
steadily penetrated into that country and when the
Thailand Government authorities will not find themselves in a perplexing position should they recognize
the Nanking Government, we shall grasp the opportunity then to take proper measures.

"No. 26 (SHIMIZU): In spite of the existence of a treaty pact of non-aggression between Germany and the Soviet Union, war has broken out between the two powers. Whom should we regard as the breaker of the treaty? Japan has concluded an alliance treaty with Germany and a neutrality treaty with the Soviet Union. For our information, please let us know our country's future attitude in this connection.

"No. 10 (MATSUOKA): Two years ago Germany, focing the imminent crisis of a war with Britain, concluded a treaty with the Soviet Union as a temporary expedient lest the latter should be won over to Britain's side. At that time Germany explicated to Japan that this was an unavoidable disposition, and explained that her real intention was to detest and suppress, when opportunity presented itself, not only the Soviet Union's aggressive principle, but also the ideology embraced by that country. It seemed that the Soviet Union also knew the circumstance very well and planned to strike Germany after waiting for a favorable time. The recsons for starting the war have been published by both governments, but, after all, the Non-Aggression Treaty between Germany and the Seviet Union was but a temporary expedient to both of them.

the opinion of Councillor ISHII, I am glad to offer my congreulation to the government authorities. However, I think there is one point in the subject item which deserves consideration. Perhaps third powers might take it as a symbol of our aggressive policy if we look upon curselves as the stabilizing force of East Asia. For instance, in regard to the documents dated August 31 of last year which were exchanged be-

9

20 21

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23 24

tween Japan and France, our Prime Minister explained that he was unable to take the formalities for the submission of the documents for the deliberation of the Privy Council, because he had to seize a moment when France was fearful of our country's actions. Although I think that such a measure is sometimes necessary and should not be repreached, yet, insofar as our purpose is to become truly the stabilizing and guiding force of East Asia and to show the world our Imperial Way, we should have the fundamentally required attitude of mind. That is, on the coession of the deliberations on the Sinc-Japanese Basic Treaty, the Privy Council made it clear that the cardinal point is to win the sentiments of the Chinese people. I think the same can be said in our relations with French Indo-China and Thailand. Unless we succeed in winning the sentiments of the people, it cannot be said to be nerfect. In my opinion this deserves full consideration. It is under such a state of mind that I support this subject item.

"President (HARA) As there are no further remarks, we shall immediately take a vote. All those in favor, please rise.

"(All rise).

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"President (HARA) It has been carried unanimously. "President (HARA) Next we shall take up for deliberation the item of the retification of the Franco-Japanese Treaty of Residence and Navigation concerning French Indo-China, and of the Franco-Japa-nese Agreement concerning the customs system, trade and the modalities of settlement of accounts between Jepen and French Indo-Chins." I will emit reading the rest of this document. If the Tribunal please, I will emit reading only that part of the document down to No. 10 on page 10. 

"No. 10 (M.TSUOKA): Looking back at the circumstances surrounding the exchange of the official documents on August 30 of last year, Japan made Franco acknowledge our political superiority and at the same time, as the result of it, to agree to the advance of Japanese forces into weach Indo-China. Later on France submitted herself to our mediation in the dispute with Theiland. These two points are the most conspicuous embodiments of our country's political superiority. Concerning the economic problem, which constitutes the substance of this dreft, our people are able to enjoy in French Indo-China the same treatment as French nationals in respect to specially dosignated matters. That is, these are the results of the acknowledgment of our superiority. We find almost no example of it in the history of colonization. In respect to other points, I cannot say that they are clurys satisfectory. However, my reason for considering this dreft as satisfactory is based on the fundamental diplomatic concept which demands the retrieval of our international reputation which has been completely lost since the Manchurian Incident.

"Inasmuch as Franco, in view of the fact that she had been defeated in the war against Germany, already recognized last year our superior position and

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

20 21

22

23

24

agreed to export to Japan the full quentity of polished rice as demanded by Japan, which is a matter of life and death to Japan, I thought that it would enhance our country's reputation if we were satisfied with half of our demands in respect to other matters. Through the offorts of my subordinates, we finally succeeded in getting 30% of our demands. While on the one hand I approciated their services, I reproached thom for not stopping at a point where 50% of our domands had been secopted. On reflection, I observe that the feet that Prence recognized our leadership in East Asia is in itself alone a very important matter. We will do our best to expand and embedy it in the future. It is impossible to realize all of the itoms in a short period of time. Considering from the foregoing circumstances, I think the agreement, as it is, gives us more than we should expect.

"No. 22. (ISHII): I am very surprised at your explanation. I did not discuss the exchanged official documents of August last year, but I asked how the content of the said official documents, that is, the recognition by France of our country's superior position, has been utilized and how it has been embodied. Now that France has recognized our superior position, we do not by any means lose our prostige if we make

25

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

her offer the real substance of this recognition. While on the one hand I do not grudge due admiration and praise for the fact that, in modiating between Theiland and France, we did not demand for ourselves may cossion of a district or a state, on the other hand I cannot but regret that you consider that we obtained more than we should expect when we obtained 80% of our demands in concluding the sconomic agreement under review. Although we obtained the necessary quentity of polished rice, it is only a normal dealing and nothing strange for us to purchase the surplus nico left after filling the requirements of France and her colonies."

23

24

I will not read the remainder of the report. THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is four o'clock now. We will recess until half past nine tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 4 October 1946, at 0930.) .9